```
separate set of questions for the professor.
 1
 2
                   SEN. CARONA: Okay. Sen. Ellis, for
     what purpose do you wish to be recognized?
 3
                   SEN. ELLIS: On this point to just ask a
 4
 5
     couple of questions.
 6
                   SEN. CARONA: Certainly.
 7
                   SEN. ELLIS: Dr. Chandler, thank you for
     being here at this late hour. I know you've been here
 8
 9
     all day. I think you mentioned the year the poll tax
     was enacted in Texas. I think you said it was 1901.
10
11
                   MR. CHANDLER: Yes, sir.
12
                   SEN. ELLIS: And then it was abolished
13
     by the courts in 1966, so from about --
14
                   MR. CHANDLER: It was the 24th
15
     Amendment.
16
                   SEN. ELLIS: Yeah. So I guess the 24th
17
     Amendment abolished it, but I think it took us a
18
     little longer to --
19
                   MR. CHANDLER: It was actually the
2.0
     result -- I mean, once that had been abolished, there
21
     was a court case that was heard --
22
                   SEN. ELLIS: Yeah.
23
                   MR. CHANDLER: -- in Texas I think right
24
     after the Voting Rights Act was passed, yeah.
25
                   SEN. ELLIS: So I assume the Attorney
```

```
General in Texas at the time or the Members of this
 1
 2
     body didn't see fit to come in and abolish it right
 3
     away?
                    MR. CHANDLER:
                                   That's correct.
 4
 5
                    SEN. ELLIS: It was a long and tortious
 6
     road to get there. So about 62 years we had a poll
 7
     tax in Texas.
                    Now, I assume you're guessing, but I
 8
 9
     just want your opinion on, do you think anybody on
1.0
     this floor has pulled up the legislation and read the
11
     poll tax bill in Texas? Just a guess.
12
                    MR. CHANDLER: I doubt it.
                                                I don't
1.3
     know.
1.4
                    SEN. ELLIS: Okay.
                                        Just as someone was
1.5
     asking you whether or not you read every word in this
16
     bill, I happen to agree with you, this bill is nothing
17
     more than a modern day poll tax. And if it hurts
18
     somebody's feelings because it's referred to as that,
19
     well, let their feelings fall where they may.
20
                    You're a student of history, a great and
21
     respected student of government and history.
                                                    I assume
22
     when Members sat in these chairs on this floor at
23
     these desks in 1901, 1902 or maybe 1899 in a session,
24
     maybe a special session -- I don't know if they had to
```

suspend the rules to do it by 16 votes or if they

25

```
could get their 21 or 31 pretty darn easily.
 1
 2
     have any sense -- could you give us some sense of what
     you think the discussion was like, or do you think
 3
 4
     anybody got up in one of these -- behind one of these
 5
     desks and said "Maybe if we have a poll tax it will
 6
     have a disproportionate impact on certain groups of
 7
     people"?
 8
                   MR. CHANDLER: I think that was
 9
     certainly well understood whether it was said on the
10
     floor or not.
11
                   SEN. ELLIS:
                               If you were guessing, what
12
     kind of people probably would not have had a sex
13
     change or have two forms of government -- two letters
14
     that they can bring in, maybe they wouldn't have a
15
     light bill or maybe they wouldn't have a library card
16
     because they're not reading books, would you assume
17
     that most of those people are probably low income?
18
                   MR. CHANDLER: Yes, sir.
19
                   SEN. ELLIS:
                                Most of them are probably
20
     African-American or Hispanic?
21
                   MR. CHANDLER: Yes, sir.
22
                                Would you assume that most
                    SEN. ELLIS:
23
     of them would probably vote in the Democratic Party
24
     for whatever reason?
25
                   MR. CHANDLER: Now, we're talking about
```

```
1901?
 1
 2
                   SEN. ELLIS: No, no, we're talking about
     this bill.
 3
                   MR. CHANDLER: Oh, now, yes.
                                                  I'm sorry.
 4
                                 I'm sorry. Yes, under this
 5
                   SEN. ELLIS:
     bill.
 6
                   MR. CHANDLER:
 7
                                   Yes.
                   SEN. ELLIS: Yeah, I know my colleague
 8
 9
     went through a long litany of things. And as I
10
     listened to him, I was thinking maybe some of our
1.1
     colleagues on this floor don't run into people who
     fall into those categories because they don't get
12
13
     invited to dinner parties, or maybe they don't show up
     at the legislature. Maybe they don't have the ID to
14
15
     get a Southwest Airlines flight to get here. Maybe
     they don't like getting searched or going into the
16
17
                 Maybe they don't have the money to get a
     back room.
     ticket on Southwest Airlines.
18
19
                   So my question was, do you think most of
20
     those people who would fall under that laundry list of
     people who wouldn't have those forms of identification
21
22
     would probably be African-American or Hispanic?
                   MR. CHANDLER:
                                   Yes, sir.
23
24
                   SEN. ELLIS: So there is a corollary
25
     between the people who would not meet the requirements
```

```
laid out in this bill and the people who would not
 1
 2
     comply with the requirement to pay a dollar or dollar
 3
     and a half to pay a poll tax?
                   MR. CHANDLER: Yes, sir.
 4
 5
                   SEN. ELLIS: Okay. I think it's a
     perfect analogy, and I appreciate you being here.
 6
 7
                   MR. CHANDLER:
                                   Thank you.
 8
                   SEN. CARONA: Senator Shapleigh, do you
 9
     wish to be recognized?
1.0
                   SEN. SHAPLEIGH:
                                     I do, Mr. Chair.
                   SEN. CARONA: You're recognized.
11
1.2
                   SEN. SHAPLEIGH:
                                    Dr. Davidson, you were
13
     questioned about representations by the Attorney
14
     General, and I think you accurately had actually read
15
     his press release. Do you recall that he sent out a
16
     press release in connection with his investigation
17
     launch that he intended to do in March of 2006?
18
                   MR. CHANDLER: Yes, sir. In fact I went
19
     back and just tread it a couple of days ago.
20
     his Website.
21
                   SEN. SHAPLEIGH: And do you recall him
22
     in that press release saying "In Texas an epidemic of
23
     voter fraud is harming the electoral process"?
24
                   MR. CHANDLER:
                                  Yes, sir.
25
                    SEN. SHAPLEIGH: And it's in his own
```

```
press release where he announces he's going to
 1
 2
     dedicate a $1.5 million grant from the Governor's
     Office. That's where that number came from.
                                                    Correct?
 3
                   MR. CHANDLER: That's correct.
                                                    Not out
 4
 5
     of the newspaper, but from him.
                   SEN. SHAPLEIGH: Mr. Chair, if I may,
 6
 7
     I'd like to make this a part of the record as
 8
     Exhibit 30, which is the Attorney General's press
     release titled Helping Stamp Out Voter Fraud in Texas
 9
10
     from March of 2006.
                    (Exhibit No. 30 marked and admitted)
11
12
                    SEN. CARONA: Senator Shapleigh, if
13
     you'd bring it forward, please? Have you concluded
14
     your remarks?
1.5
                    SEN. SHAPLEIGH:
                                     Yes, sir.
16
                    SEN. CARONA: The Chair recognizes
17
     Sen. Van de Putte.
18
                    SEN. VAN de PUTTE: Thank you,
     Mr. Chairman.
19
20
                    Professor, thank you for being here.
21
     know that it has been a long day and now I guess
22
     beginning a couple hours into the second day.
23
     probably one of the best national scholars on historic
24
     suppression and disenfranchisement of certain classes
25
     of voters. Is that correct?
```

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC. 512.474.2233

TX_00004439

JA 003862

MR. CHANDLER:

SEN. VAN de PUTTE: And because of that 3 you are now -- you are considered Professor Emeritus

Yes, ma'am.

at Rice, one of our prestigious universities here in Texas and one that is nationally and internationally

And is that right, you are --6 renowned.

but because of the literacy test.

1

2

4

5

7

8

9

1.0

11

12

1.3

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. CHANDLER: Yes, ma'am.

SEN. VAN de PUTTE: -- Emeritus? the work that you have done, much of what had been documented in other states was a poll tax. I would like for you to comment on literacy tests and how they were used. And I think one reason why that is so important is because for the first time the State of Texas was called into that Section 5 on Hispanics and language barriers because not just of the poll tax,

And I'm going to explain what happened to my own very mother, and if you could elaborate in your research if this was something that occurred rarely or something that occurred pretty often. mother in 1952 was going to cast her first vote in a presidential ballot. My mother, a college sophomore at the time, went to the polls with her poll tax to cast that ballot.

Now, in San Antonio, what they used to

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC. 512.474.2233 TX 00004440 JA 003863

2.0

do with people who had Spanish names is they put them in a room until enough people got there, and then somebody would go administer a reading test. And for my mother she was one of about ten or twelve she said, and she waited and then she got administered a reading test. Now, she was in a group of she said ten or twelve, she didn't remember, and being a college sophomore she thought she probably had a pretty good chance of passing that reading test. But because she had the audacity to be in a group with someone who supposedly failed, none of those people were allowed to vote, none of them. That's how they disenfranchised my mother and people with Spanish surnames.

And so when I took my mom to the Democratic National Convention in August of last year, she cried every day because she was at a convention, and the first time she tried to cast a ballot she was discriminated against because her maiden name was Aguilar, and her name was Maria Isabella Aguilar.

Knowing now what you know about my family, and some of my colleagues just can't seem to understand why we just can't get over it, that happened a long time ago, and they keep questioning "Why do we have to go to the Justice Department? Why

2.0

do we have to do this?" Well, that's what happened to my family, and yet we know there are records not only of poll tax, but we have pretty good accounts of what occurred in South Texas communities as well.

Given the fact that that's just personal family history and that you have studied this, how prevalent was that discrimination and those tools, not just the poll tax, but reading tests and literacy tests and not just owning property, but how was that used and how is that different from just one more barrier, one more hoop to jump for someone to cast a ballot as proposed in this bill today?

MR. CHANDLER: Well, it's certainly true that Latinos in Texas have been severely discriminated against. The White Primary, the Democratic Party, in most places allowed Latinos as distinct from blacks from voting, but they were local White Primaries along the border that were set up by individual counties. And so they suffered much of the same discrimination in that regard that blacks did.

The State of Texas officially did not have a literacy test like some of the other southern states did, but at the same time there is much anecdotal evidence of the kind that you have just described about Hispanics being treated differently

1.2

and being required essentially to pass an informal literacy test.

And continuing up into the current time, there are efforts that have been documented to put the fear of God into Latinos going to vote, such things as people standing outside the polling place and taking a video — videos of them and of their license plates. There have been incidents of people dressing up in official looking outfits, police—appearing uniforms and informing Latinos who come up to the polls that they better look out. If they are not legal, they may be in big trouble. And some of my historian colleagues and I at Rice a few year ago uncovered a number of those instances in Texas and throughout the southwest with regard to Latinos.

So this is not -- what your mother experienced, well, it is not ancient history that Latinos are still being discriminated against at polling places in the southwest, including the State of Texas.

SEN. VAN de PUTTE: Professor, I know that you've done a lot of work in this, and certainly the books that you've used and much of the case law and much of the folks that studied this have said that you are pretty much the expert on this. And although

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

reading our bill I'm still a little bit confused because as I read it, everybody is going to have to have at least that voting -- the certificate that's issued by the elections administrator of that jurisdiction and a photo identification. But I worry that if you don't have that with you and with no money put in to the fiscal note to do any education, training of poll workers, when in your work, in your research, is there any effect when those officials who are supposed to be administering the election, the election clerks and judges, is there any evidence to show us when there is not sufficient training of those? And particularly with the litany of documents that could be possibly used, is that -should that give us pause with no training about how this is going to be enacted and what's going to happen

should that give us pause with no training about how this is going to be enacted and what's going to happen basically at the grassroots level? Does this have the potential to cause further disenfranchisement of Hispanics, blacks and those people in poverty, given the fact that we have zero dollars in that fiscal note for the training?

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$ CHANDLER: That would certainly be my supposition, Senator.

SEN. VAN de PUTTE: Thank you,

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC. 512.474.2233
TX_00004444
JA 003867

```
I appreciate you being here till two in
     Professor.
 1
 2
     the morning.
 3
                   MR. CHANDLER:
                                   Thank you.
                   SEN. CARONA: Members, is there anyone
 4
 5
     else with a question for the professor?
                   SEN. LUCIO: Mr. President?
 6
 7
                   SEN. CORONA: It appears not.
                   SEN. LUCIO: Mr. President?
 8
 9
                   SEN. CORONA: Senator, for what purpose?
                   SEN. LUCIO: Just to ask a question or
1.0
11
     two. I might not have another chance to do this with
12
     this professor. I'd like to ask a question.
13
                   SEN. CARONA: You're recognized.
14
                   SEN. LUCIO: Thank you, Mr. President.
1.5
     And very briefly, Professor, thank you. I join my
16
     colleagues in welcoming you here and commending you
17
     for all your studies over the years.
18
                   MR. CHANDLER:
                                   Thank you.
19
                   SEN. LUCIO: Leticia Van de Putte,
20
     Senator Van de Putte, reflected on literacy tests.
21
     father worked at the Sheriff's Office for 30 years in
22
     Cameron under about four different Sheriffs and, of
23
     course, he took me to a lot of political parties in
24
     the old days when I was just a kid, and I heard many
25
     stores obviously along the way.
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1.0

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

And I want to ask you, in your studies -- you also included some of these studies, and one was when the poll tax was in effect, I'm told that the politicos, those obviously in power, would buy all the poll taxes that people needed as long as obviously they had control over those votes. MR. CHANDLER: Yes, there were machines along -- along the border, yes. SEN. LUCIO: Exactly. Now, that being the case and putting it -- comparing that to today's standards in terms of illegal activities during the election -- during the elections that took place then, buying or purchasing the poll tax for somebody and then driving them to the polls and then making sure they voted a certain way, what kind of -- what kind of -- let's say the Attorney General -- what kind of prosecution would those individuals be -- you know, be in effect -- be affected by under the laws of today? How could they be prosecuted? I mean, in today's -with today's laws, how would those people back then be prosecuted? Could they be prosecuted? MR. CHANDLER: You mean the bosses who would buy poll taxes? SEN. LUCIO: What would they be subject to in terms of today's laws and being prosecuted for

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC. 512.474.2233

TX_00004446

JA 003869

```
those kinds of political activities? Is what I'm
 1
 2
     trying --
                   MR. CHANDLER:
                                  Well, they -- I mean, it
 3
     would certainly be illegal, and they would be -- if
 4
     the law were carried out like it was supposed to be,
 5
     they would certainly be prosecuted if their behavior
 6
 7
     came to light.
                                 And the point I wanted to
 8
                   SEN. LUCIO:
 9
     make is simply this: That that, in fact, took place.
10
                   MR. CHANDLER: Yes.
11
                   SEN. LUCIO: And that was part of the
12
     suppression.
                   That was part of what Hispanics or
13
     Latinos or Mexican-American citizens on the north side
14
     of the border, Texas-Mexico border went through, and
15
     maybe some of the Members here on this floor are
16
     not -- are not aware of, and I wanted to share that
17
     because that was just rampant. That was just part of
18
     any politics at the time in the 19 what, '40s, '50s?
19
                   MR. CHANDLER:
                                   Into the '50s certainly.
2.0
     The old machines were the Patrones, and it was almost
21
     a feudal relation. And the Latinos were looked upon
22
     as inferior, as foreign in some sense, as people to be
2.3
     manipulated and used for the purposes of the -- of the
24
     Patrones, and that's -- that is undeniable Texas
25
     history.
```

```
SEN. LUCIO: I lived those days as a
 1
 2
                 I remember them, and I think that's what
     voung bov.
     Sen. Van de Putte was pointing out as to bad memories
 3
 4
     of past. Thank you.
 5
                   MR. CHANDLER: Yes.
                                         Thank you.
                   SEN. DUNCAN: There are no more -- no
 6
 7
     more questions in the queue. So you are free to -- or
 8
               Thank you for your testimony.
 9
                                   Thank you, sir.
                   MR. CHANDLER:
10
                     TESTIMONY BY ED JOHNSON
1.1.
                   SEN. DUNCAN: The Chair calls
12
     Ed Johnson. Okay. Mr. Johnson, I think you have some
13
     documents you'd like to introduce into the record.
14
     We'll mark those as Exhibit No. 31, and they'll be in
15
     the record.
16
                    (Exhibit No. 31 marked and admitted)
17
                   SEN. DUNCAN: Identify -- let me
1.8
     identify these as it looks like records that are from
19
     specific voting documents. So at some time in your
2.0
     testimony I assume you'll explain these. Exhibit 27
21
     or -- 32?
                I'm sorry. Exhibit 32 will be received in
22
     the record.
23
                    (Exhibit No. 32 and 33 marked and
24
     admitted)
25
                    SEN. DUNCAN: You have ten minutes.
```

TX 00004449

State your name and who you represent.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1.1

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. JOHNSON: Okay. Good morning. name is Ed Johnson. I'm with the Harris County Tax Office, and in Harris County the Tax Office does voter I have worked for the voter registration. registration department for eight years now at the Harris County Tax Office. I was asked to come and present to you-all today some cases of voter rarity or voter registration fraud that we have presented to the House in previous testimony. We have a sampling of it to show you here today. I was asked by the new Tax Assessor/Collector of Harris County, Leo Vasquez, who was just appointed this last December the 23rd. he's only been in a couple of months, and he asked me to give the, I guess, testimony today.

One of the things that Leo is real proud of and really works on hard on our voter registration staff is -- his goal for us is to have every citizen that is eligible to vote registered. That is his goal, and we are making all kinds of strides to make that possible in voter registration. On the same note, he also doesn't want any of these real, valid voter registrations to be disenfranchised by someone taking their vote. So the integrity of the voter roll is also very important to us.

1.0

2.0

out is a case of voter fraud. It was committed by a volunteer deputy, Janice Shelvin. Janice Shelvin was a college student that became a volunteer deputy in one of her college classes. And according to her testimony, her professor asked her to do a voter registration drive as part of her, I guess, class for credit or some course. Ms. Shelvin unfortunately procrastinated in her testimony and waited to the last day and realized that she had to turn in quite a few applications.

phone book and started filling in voter registration cards. After 25 names she ran out of names in her phone book and then proceeded on with the other 36, making up names and filling them in. She turned this in. I think several of her classmates were all gathered into one bundle and were delivered to the Harris County Tax Office to be registered.

It was the, I guess, observance or diligence of one of our very good clerks as they were processing these applications actually started to note that these applications all had very similar handwriting and were all used by the same pen. So there was a red flag waived in her head that there's

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC. 512.474.2233

TX_00004450

JA 003873

1.0

1.3

1.4

2.0

something amiss here and brought it to the attention of her supervisor, where we then challenged these applications because they did appear to be all signed by the same person and written all in the same penmanship. So there were 61 cases.

We, under the I guess Texas Election

Code, sent these voters a letter challenging these applications thinking they were fraudulent. We got 25 replies from voters, and then the other 36 were -- no reply was found. In fact, the post office were sent certified mail as part of the documentation that you-all received, and the post office said that there was no residence there to deliver it to. So they were returned. So I think that those were the fraudulent ones.

District Court, and Ms. Shelvin, I guess, pleaded guilty to this offense and was convicted for 61 cases of voter fraud. If -- and this was back in the year 2000. At that point in time as in the election code, voter registration cards are accepted on face value. When the voter signs the bottom, or the alleged voter, when the alleged voter signs the cards, they are accepted on face value until they are challenged. So that's what happened to these.

11.

1.5

And if they were not caught by our clerk that had the real judgment here, these people would have become registered voters. We would have sent them voter registration cards with the possibility of someone collecting those cards and possibly voting them in an early voting scenario or something like that.

The second case I would like to present is -- we refer to it as the Dashwood case. This happened in 2006. We received 121 voter registration applications. The resident address that they -- these vultures were trying to use was a street called Dashwood. There is a street in Houston called Dashwood, but the address range that they were writing on the voter registration card was nonexistent. There isn't that address block on Dashwood.

And in our office, the procedures are when our processors can't find it in the database, the street database, a street range, they send it to our mapping department who has all the plots and the plats and all the new maps and everything, and they start researching to find it. They found out that this address of Dashwood is nonexistent and then really quickly realized "Oh, boy, I have a whole bunch of applications here all in this block range of

Dashwood." And so we started looking at them and found that, once again, they were similar penmanship, the wide variations of the name, they switched the first name, last name, they would turn the dates of the birth date around. They had them all just jumbled up trying to register a whole bunch of different people that were fraudulent at this address on Dashwood.

Thank goodness they didn't know Houston that well to know that that was a nonexistent address, or we never would have caught these for that. But the only other characteristic they had was all these applications were actually mailed from El Paso to us. So whoever was doing it was mailing them from El Paso here. And those were turned over to our D.A., and they are still under investigation. I think they took it to the D.A. in El Paso where they did have some people that were questioned. I don't know what the final outcome of that one was.

Then the last case I'd like to present is we have 24 examples here of deceased -- people that are deceased, and they voted after their date of death. These are 24 examples that, once again, we had a diligent clerk as we were going through and cleaning up our voter roll, taking the social security death

2.0

list and matching it to voters to send notices, that she started to realize that some of these people had voting history after their date of death on the social security list. And some of these 24 people voted numerous times here.

I can tell you that these were registered voters in Harris County, and they are now deceased, and they do have a voting history. Our County Clerk keeps our voting history records, and the County Clerk has voting history for these people in elections that were past their date of death.

In this documentation, you will see that we have a complete voter file for each one of these voters. It's their voter registration application, how they get registered, if they had any changes of address. It will have a front cover page that has the computer screen shot of that voter's record in our office, and then also on the bottom corner it will show what elections they voted in and the date of those elections. And then on the last page is a notice from the social security department of their date of death, and you can go through these, and you-all can get the documents and look at them.

Several of these voters voted early in person during early election. We had a couple of them

on election day and a couple by ballot by mail. 1 majority of them were done early in person in early 2 3 voting. And I know it's getting late, and so 4 5 I'll stop with this fine set of documents. QUESTIONS FROM SENATE FLOOR 6 7 SEN. DUNCAN: The Chair recognizes Sen. Huffman. 8 9 SEN. HUFFMAN: Mr. Johnson, thanks for staying with us so late. 10 11 MR. JOHNSON: You bet. 12 SEN. HUFFMAN: I appreciate it. I want 1.3 you to go over again that last group that you were 14 talking about to make sure that it's clear. I'm not 1.5 sure that it was. As I understood your testimony, 16 you've established that, in fact, that last group 17 of 24, at least some of those people, or someone, actually cast a ballot in Harris County after it was 18 19 determined that they were dead. Is that correct? 20 MR. JOHNSON: That is correct. 21 SEN. HUFFMAN: Okay. And what are the 2.2 How many of those were you able to establish? 23 MR. JOHNSON: Well, we have proof here 24 that 24 -- and this is just a random, you know, 25

```
sampling that we found -- 24 of these people are
 1
 2
     deceased, were registered voters in Harris County they
     are deceased now, and they had voting history after
 3
     their date of death on the social security list.
 4
 5
                    SEN. HUFFMAN: And how was it that you
     came to investigate that particular group of people?
 6
 7
                   MR. JOHNSON:
                                  This is something that we
 8
     routinely do. Almost on a daily basis now in our
 9
     office we get probate court records and go through
10
     them to find if any of those people were registered
11
     voters to remove them from the voter roll. Right now
12
     what we're working on is we get notes in poll books,
13
     family members will write notes in poll books, you
14
     know, this was my husband or wife and is deceased, and
15
     we go through these, we mail these people letters
16
     asking them to confirm this information.
17
                   And this particular project we actually
18
     purchased a copy of the social security death database
19
     and started comparing our voter roll to it to see if
2.0
     we had any matches to clean our voter roll up.
21
                    SEN. HUFFMAN:
                                   Is that a procedure
22
     that's required by the Secretary of State, or is that
23
     something that Harris County has taken on?
24
                   MR. JOHNSON: That's something that
25
     Harris County took on as an attempt to, like I said,
```

```
make a clean and accurate voter roll. It actually
 1
 2
     cost our department quite a bit of money to make that
 3
     purchase and to do that work.
                    SEN. HUFFMAN: And is that a cost that
 4
 5
     Harris County has taken on itself?
 6
                   MR. JOHNSON:
                                 Yes.
 7
                    SEN. HUFFMAN: Do you have knowledge as
 8
     to whether or not there are other counties in the
 9
     state that are taking this additional obligation on?
                                 Yes, in fact -- yes, there
10
                   MR. JOHNSON:
11
     are other counties that take this on. In fact this
12
     particular run here, we did this in partnership with
13
     Tarrant County at the time.
14
                    SEN. HUFFMAN:
                                   Okay. Do you know
     whether or not all the other counties in the State of
1.5
16
     Texas are participating in this?
17
                   MR. JOHNSON:
                                 No, I do not know if all
18
     the other counties in the State of Texas are.
19
                    SEN. HUFFMAN:
                                   Okay. Do you believe
20
     that there could be some counties that are not purging
21
     their rolls of deceased individuals?
22
                   MR. JOHNSON: I would believe that
23
     that's very possible.
24
                    SEN. HUFFMAN:
                                   Okay. I want to go
25
     through something with you because I think you've
```

```
established through some of the cases that you've
 1
     brought to us and the investigations that have been
 2
     done that there are, in fact, people being registered
 3
     in Harris County who -- in some fraudulent manner.
 4
 5
     But let's take an example, and I'm going to ask you
 6
     about a situation where an individual would fill out
 7
     the -- a Texas Voter Registration Application.
     is just a form that is provided by the Secretary of
 8
 9
     State.
             Is that correct?
10
                   MR. JOHNSON:
                                 Yes.
11
                    SEN. HUFFMAN: And do you have one of
     those forms in front of you?
12
13
                   MR. JOHNSON: I have several copies.
14
     Would you like for --
15
                   SEN. HUFFMAN:
                                   Okay. All right.
                                                      If you
16
     could just make those available? And if anyone who
17
     would like to look at this, we'll provide you a copy.
18
     And these are the original ones. Actually you brought
19
     a stack from Harris County. Is that correct?
20
                    SEN. DUNCAN:
                                  Senator, do you want to
21
     put these in the record?
22
                   MR. JOHNSON:
                                 These were actually
23
     produced by the Secretary of State's Office.
24
                    SEN. HUFFMAN: Yes? Yes, sir?
     Mr. Chair?
25
```

TX 00004459

```
SEN. DUNCAN: Do you want to put that in
 1
 2.
     the record?
                    SEN. HUFFMAN: Yes, could we put this in
 3
     the record and mark it as an exhibit? I don't know
 4
 5
     what number we're on.
                   SEN. DUNCAN: It will be Exhibit No. 34,
 6
 7
     and describe it, if you would.
 8
                    (Exhibit No. 34 marked)
 9
                    SEN. HUFFMAN: Yes, it is a Texas Voter
10
     Registration Application. This is one that is
11
     particularly from Harris County, but it's -- I believe
12
     it would be produced by the Secretary of State.
13
     that -- is that correct, Mr. Johnson?
                   MR. JOHNSON: Yeah, these are produced
14
     by the Secretary of State, and they can be used in any
15
16
     county across the State of Texas.
17
                    SEN. HUFFMAN: All right.
18
                    SEN. DUNCAN: It will be -- it will be
19
     received in the record.
20
                    (Exhibit No 34 admitted)
21
                    SEN. HUFFMAN:
                                   All right.
                                               Thank you,
22
     sir.
23
                    Just to see how this process would work,
     let's just pretend that -- let's pretend that I'm
24
25
     Elvis Presley. Okay? And let's say I fill out this
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

```
Texas Voter Registration Application and I mark -- and
there's a place at the bottom that provides space to
present a Texas driver's license number and a social
security number. Is that correct?
              MR. JOHNSON: Yeah, that's Step No. 9.
Let me have my glasses -- let me put my glasses on.
              SEN. HUFFMAN: All right.
              MR. JOHNSON: Or 8 -- that's 8.
              SEN. HUFFMAN: That's No. 8.
              MR. JOHNSON:
                            Sorry.
              SEN. HUFFMAN: And at the bottom of
No. 8 is an option "Check if you do not have a Texas
driver's license or Texas personal identification
number," and then next to it is "Check if you do not
have a social security number." So let's say I do not
have either one of those, either one, do not have a
TDL or an ID card, nor do I have a social security
number. So I check both, I sign it and I mail it off.
Where does it go? Does it go to your office?
              MR. JOHNSON: Yes.
              SEN. HUFFMAN: And then what would
happen when it arrived at your office with that
information from me asking to register to vote?
              MR. JOHNSON: The first thing when one
of these applications comes into our office, we check
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1.1

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

```
it for what the date is on it because if you mailed
it, it becomes effective 30 days from the date it was
postmarked or the date we receive it from a volunteer
deputy or some other government agent. We check it
for completeness, make sure all the boxes are filled
    If the application is not complete, we'll mail
them a letter of incomplete and ask -- with another
application in it and ask them to try again and make
sure they fill all the required boxes out.
              In the scenario you were talking about,
if you checked the box that said "I do not have a
Texas driver's license" and you checked the box that
said "I do not have a social security number," under
the Help America Vote Act, the Secretary of State is
supposed to provide a unique ID number for this voter,
             They provide a state voter ID number,
and they do.
and this person becomes registered.
              SEN. HUFFMAN: Okay. So that is
happening, and that is the law in the State of Texas
at this point. Is that correct?
              MR. JOHNSON: Correct.
              SEN. HUFFMAN: Okay. Once they provide
that unique ID number, then what would happen?
              MR. JOHNSON: Well, then we mail them a
voter certificate card.
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SEN. HUFFMAN: Okay. What form of identification would they then need to vote with that voter registration card?

MR. JOHNSON: In this particular example, you are marked on the poll book. When we print the poll book or the list of registered voters for an election, you would be marked as not being ID compliant because you haven't shown ID to who you are. And in the state law, what it states there is that you can use any one of the currently prescribed IDs with the exception of the voter registration card. the list here. You know, you can use your driver's Most people do something like that. license. use, you know, birth certificate, citizenship papers, you know, a passport. The one that I find real interesting is you can use a letter, an official letter mailed from a government entity. So if I had mailed them a letter saying that they hadn't had a complete application to try again, that is a letter from a government entity, and they could use it for proof.

SEN. HUFFMAN: So if I had no other form of ID, I could use the letter that you had sent to me as meeting the requirements under 63.0101 described as official mail addressed to the person by name from a

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC. 512.474.2233

TX_00004462

JA 003885

```
governmental entity. Is that correct?
 1
                   MR. JOHNSON:
                                 That is correct.
                                                    That is
 2
 3
     an acceptable form of ID.
                   SEN. HUFFMAN: Okay. So a person who
 4
     wanted to commit fraud could, in fact, send in
 5
     applications -- is that correct -- using false names
 6
 7
     as long as they had an address where they could
 8
     receive mail, go through this dance with the Secretary
 9
     of State and the tax assessors and get voter
10
     registration cards along with letters from your
11
     office, and they could use those things to get
12
     individuals to go in to the poll to vote, and it would
13
     be virtually impossible under the current state of the
     law for them to be detected. Is that correct?
14
15
                   MR. JOHNSON: Correct. The election
16
     judge could not deny them the right to vote.
17
     on the poll book. They are listed as a registered
1.8
     voter.
             They have shown one of the acceptable forms of
19
     ID, and they would be allowed to vote on election day.
20
                   SEN. HUFFMAN:
                                  Okay. So that's just one
21
     way that voter fraud can be committed in the State of
22
     Texas under the current law, and no one would know
23
     actually that it was going on probably other than the
24
     individuals involved with the committing the offense.
2.5
     Is that correct?
```

```
MR. JOHNSON: That is correct.
 1
 2
                    SEN. HUFFMAN: All right. One of the
 3
     other records that you provided to us was the young
     woman, I believe, who was registering people for a
 4
 5
     school project. I think you indicated that she as
 6
     well managed to successfully register some individuals
 7
     who you believed were nonexistent individuals.
 8
     that correct?
 9
                   MR. JOHNSON: No, she was never able to
10
     register anybody.
1.1
                    SEN. HUFFMAN: All right.
12
                   MR. JOHNSON: We caught them before.
13
     Like I said, the clerk that was working these
14
     applications noticed this pattern before we mailed any
15
     voter registration certificates out, and we were able
16
     to stop them and challenge these voters. But we had
1.7
     officially accepted the applications and noticed
18
     everything being complete, and they were in the
19
     process of being registered.
2.0
                    SEN. HUFFMAN:
                                   All right.
                                               What is your
21
     experience as you find cases that you believe are
2.2
     cases of voter fraud and you bring them to the
23
     District Attorney's Office? Has it been your
24
     experience that those cases had been difficult to
25
     prosecute?
```

1.7

2.0

MR. JOHNSON: Yes, we have turned quite a few cases over to the District Attorney, and I will tell you our District Attorney has made attempts to prosecute this, going back to one of our long-time District Attorneys Johnny Holmes. And he basically said that there is not the tools in the election law to prosecute a voter. Basically in order to get voter fraud prosecuted, you have to have that person committing the offense confess to that offense for prosecution.

One of the things they always state was because -- just a signature on the poll book is not really enough evidence for them so far to get a conviction, that if we ever had some other form of ID that they contend it was a harder match, you could actually have successful convictions probably on some of these voter fraud issues.

SEN. HUFFMAN: Isn't it true that the current state of the law does not facilitate proof that a particular person cast a ballot? Is that -- is that a fair statement?

MR. JOHNSON: Yes.

SEN. HUFFMAN: Do you think that the current Public Integrity Division of the Harris County District Attorney's Office is meeting the same

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC. 512.474.2233

TX_00004465

JA 003888

```
problems that were met, as you mentioned, back in
 1
 2
     Johnny Holmes' days?
                   MR. JOHNSON: Correct.
 3
                   SEN. HUFFMAN: All right.
 4
                   MR. JOHNSON: There was a recent case
 5
     that I just saw pending on a Website. We had an open
 6
 7
     record request for -- right before this last
 8
     November 2008 election from Texas Watchdog.
                                                   They sent
 9
     us a list of over 4,000 voters that they believed to
10
     be deceased. This was information that they found
     from the social security death list. We are currently
11
12
     working that list trying to, you know, find out if
13
     they are deceased or not, and several of them we have
14
     removed from the rolls.
15
                   Texas Watchdog also gave that same list
16
     to one of our local news stations, Channel 2 in
17
     Houston. Channel 2 did an investigation and actually
     found two of the voters on that list as being deceased
18
19
     and voting during the Primary, and that was after they
20
     had passed away. They actually placed a vote in the
21
     Primary, and they had interviews with the family
22
     members showing the death certificates and everything.
     And the family members were really upset about this,
23
24
     that someone would steal or fraud their deceased
25
     relative's name.
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1.0

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

Watchdog actually turned these over to the District Attorney's Office, these cases, and they were unable to -- the District Attorney had to put a comment back they were unable to get any prosecution on these cases because they didn't have anyone to prosecute nor anyone to confess to the crime. SEN. HUFFMAN: All right. So each one of these cases is actually pretty much a "Who done it?" Is that correct? MR. JOHNSON: That is correct. SEN. HUFFMAN: And just like any other criminal case, the State of Texas has to prove these cases beyond a reasonable doubt. Is that correct? MR. JOHNSON: I believe that is correct. SEN. HUFFMAN: So they have to prove identity, they have to prove intent, they have to prove the elements of the offense. And many times they're confronted with a situation where they just don't have any way to prove it. Is that correct? MR. JOHNSON: That's what I have been told. SEN. HUFFMAN: All right. Do you think that just because -- you know, we've had a lot of talk today about the fact that there's just -- there's no evidence that there's any kind of voter fraud or voter

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
impersonation going on because if there -- if it was
happening, then there would be all these convicted
cases out there. Do you think that because it is so
difficult to prove these cases and because the law
does not facilitate the proof, that that may be one of
the reasons why there aren't a lot of convictions that
have been shown through the records? Would you agree
with that?
              MR. JOHNSON:
                           Yes.
                                  I will say that the
Texas Election Code does not give us the tools to
really do the job that we're, you know, trying to do,
even the D.A.'s Office. It's very difficult to get
prosecution in these cases.
              SEN. HUFFMAN:
                            All right. Would it
surprise you to know that this -- well, in the General
Election in November of '04 that the Harris County
District Attorney's Office received 3,324 electric
fraud complaints for that one election, or that for
the Primary Election in March of '08 that they
received 1,502 complaints of election fraud that came
in as -- I would assume slightly -- during the
election and probably for a couple of days thereafter?
Do those numbers surprise you?
              MR. JOHNSON: No, they do not.
              SEN. HUFFMAN: As the election is taking
```

place, does your office along with the D.A.'s Office 1 and Beverly Kaufman's Office monitor the situations 2 that are going on, try to field phone calls and 3 receive complaints of election fraud violations? 4 5 MR. JOHNSON: Well, I can speak for our office, the tax office. We're actually by election 6 7 code obligated to review the poll book and all the 8 documents from the election after the election has 9 taken place, to validate that everybody that was 10 marked as voting were registered voters. If we find someone that had been written into the poll book and 11 wasn't a registered voter and allowed to vote, we're, 12 13 by the Texas Election Code, supposed to turn those 14 names over to the District Attorney, and we do that after every election, and, you know, they do their 1.5 16 best in trying to work this. And I know Beverly 17 Kaufman's Office, who runs the election, has the same 18 responsibilities for any voter fraud that goes on. 19 They compile a report after every election and submit 20 it to the D.A.'s Office. 21 SEN. HUFFMAN: Just a couple of more 22 quick questions, Mr. Johnson. Based on your 23 experience, your years at the Tax Assessor's Office 24 and working on these issues all these years, do you 25 believe -- in your opinion, do you believe that there

```
is a voter fraud problem in Harris County?
1
2
                   MR. JOHNSON: I will tell you there is
     voter fraud in Harris County. We have one of the few
 3
     convicted cases of it. We have examples here. I
 4
     can't tell you how extensive it is. We have never
 5
     done a voter fraud study on our voter roll. The cases
 6
 7
     that I presented to you here today were just found due
     to workers, clerks in our office being diligent and
8
     accidentally stumbling across these records to
 9
10
     discover them.
                   SEN. HUFFMAN: Does current law actually
11
12
     make it difficult to assess the scope of the problem?
13
                   MR. JOHNSON:
                                 Yes.
                                        The current election
     law is very loose and makes it very difficult to --
14
     doesn't give us the tools to do the types of
15
     investigations needed for these projects.
16
17
                   SEN. HUFFMAN: All right. Thank you
     very much, Mr. Johnson. And thank you, Members, for
18
19
     bearing with me. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
20
                   SEN. DUNCAN: Thank you Senator.
     Members, we've been going for over two hours, and we
21
22
     need to give our court reporter an at ease. So we'll
23
     be at ease for ten minutes. That will be until 2:35.
                             2:25 a.m. to 2:38 a.m.)
24
                   (Recess:
25
                   SEN. DUNCAN: The Committee of the Whole
```

```
will come back to order. Sen. Gallegos, did you want
 1
 2.
     to yield to Sen. West?
                    (No response heard)
 3
                    SEN. DUNCAN: Sen. West?
 4
 5
                    SEN. WEST: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
     Mr. Johnson --
 6
                    SEN. DUNCAN: If we could have order,
 7
 8
             Go ahead, sir.
     please.
 9
                    SEN. WEST: It's Mr. Johnson.
                                                   Is that
1.0
     correct?
                    MR. JOHNSON: Yes.
11
12
                    SEN. WEST: Okay.
                                       I'm sorry.
13
     position there in Harris is --
14
                    MR. JOHNSON: I'm sorry I didn't say
15
     that earlier. I'm the Associate Director of Voter
16
     Registration in Harris County.
17
                    SEN. WEST:
                               Okay.
                                       Is it true that at
18
     least 13,000 timely voter registration applications
19
     had not been processed when early voting began in
20
     Harris County during the fall of 2008 elections, and
21
     that many of them were not processed before early
22
     voting concluded?
23
                    MR. JOHNSON: I do not have those
24
     figures, you know, right off the top of my head.
25
     could find those answers out for you, but I can't --
```

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC. 512.474.2233

TX_00004471

JA 003894

```
SEN. WEST:
                                But let me ask it this way:
 1
 2
     Was there a substantial number of voter registration
     applications not processed during that particular time
 3
     period?
 4
                   MR. JOHNSON: You know, that's -- you
 5
     have to define "a substantial number." We received
 6
 7
     about 120,000 voter registration applications the
     day -- the day of and day after the cutoff.
 8
     start of early voting, we had probably 95 percent of
 9
     those worked. And by the time we got to election day,
1.0
11
     all of them were complete.
                    SEN. WEST: So you did have a backlog
12
     prior to the start of early voting? You only had --
13
     I'm sorry. You had only completed about 95 percent of
14
1.5
     the voter registration applications at the time that
16
     early voting started?
                   MR. JOHNSON: Correct.
17
                    SEN. WEST: Okay. Do you know that
18
     Harris County disqualified nearly 70,000 voter
19
2.0
     registration applications that were received in time
                             Do you know that?
2.1
     for the 2008 election?
22
                                  No, I do not know that.
                   MR. JOHNSON:
                    SEN. WEST: Were a substantial number of
23
     people disqualified?
24
25
                   MR. JOHNSON: I do not -- you know, you
```

```
have to define what is "disqualified." What's your
 1
     definition of "disqualified"?
 2
                   SEN. WEST: Seventy thousand. You know,
 3
     in terms -- were there --
 4
 5
                   MR. JOHNSON: Are you talking about we
 6
     received 70,000 applications that were incomplete, or
 7
     are you telling me that we didn't --
                   SEN. WEST: You disqualified.
 8
 9
                   MR. JOHNSON: -- register and rejected
     70,000 applications? There's a big difference between
10
     the two.
11
12
                   SEN. WEST: Did you know that Harris
     County disqualified nearly 70,000 voter registration
13
     applications that were received in time for the 2008
14
15
     election?
16
                   MR. JOHNSON: I will tell you we had
     quite a few applications that were not completed
17
1.8
     correctly, and we mailed those people letters, the
     letter with a new application, and they had ten days
19
20
     to reply, and they received the original date of the
21
     first application, which still made them qualify.
22
                   SEN. WEST: So there's a process?
                   MR. JOHNSON: Yes, there's a process.
23
                   SEN. WEST: Okay. All right. Now, did
24
25
     you know that Harris County Election Officials have
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

1.4

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

acknowledged that in many polling locations in Harris County when voters were in line to vote at seven o'clock and had the right to cast a ballot, that they were routinely given provisional ballots rather than standard ballots? MR. JOHNSON: That unfortunately is not my department. You would have to ask the County Clerk about that. The tax office just handles voter registration. SEN. WEST: Okay. But you have processes in place in your office to handle different types of election-related issues? MR. JOHNSON: Yes. On election day for that scenario you were just talking about, we had over 240 people on the phone, and we actually answered close to almost 60,000 -- I guess 55,000 phone calls on election day, helping people find their polling locations, helping the election judge qualify voters they were having problems with. SEN. WEST: In terms of -- my colleague Senator Huffman went methodically through the voter registration application card, and I assume that you have processes in place there to verify all the information necessary to determine whether or not a person should be issued a voter -- voting registration

```
certificate?
 1
                   MR. JOHNSON: We follow the Texas
 2
 3
     Secretary of State -- I mean, the Texas state law on
     elections.
 4
 5
                   SEN. WEST: But you do have processes in
 6
     place in order to --
 7
                   MR. JOHNSON: Yes.
                                        We have manuals that
 8
     we have for all of our employees on the processes to,
     you know, handle voter registration applications.
 9
1.0
                    SEN. WEST: All right. In terms of the
11
     handling of these applications, are individuals given
12
     certain specific duties as it relates to the
13
     applications, or do they just kind of look at the
14
     application, you have individuals looking at
15
     application after application?
16
                   MR. JOHNSON: With the volume that we
17
     handle, yes, you know, the assembly line method is
18
     proven to be the most efficient for handling high
19
     volumes, you know, in a quick manner. So, yes, we
20
     have different departments that do different tasks in
21
                And the very first step when we receive an
     each one.
22
     application is it is validated to make sure it is
23
     complete. And then after it's completed, even after
24
     that, they put a document number on it and image it,
25
     and that is cataloged in our voter registration system
```

even on the incomplete ones so we can refer back at 1 any point in time and find out if a voter submitted a 2 3 complete or incomplete. But that is the very first step when they come through the door of our office. 4 5 SEN. WEST: Is there a statutory duty 6 imposed by Texas law to go through and purge the voter 7 registration rolls of persons that are deceased? If you're referring to --8 MR. JOHNSON: 9 and the definition of "purge" in the Texas Election 10 Code is by the National Voter Registration Act when you have reached the end of your suspense cycle. 11 12 SEN. WEST: Explain "suspense." 1.3 MR. JOHNSON: Okay. I'll start at the beginning on the definition of "purge" in the election 14 15 code. 16 SEN. WEST: Okay. 1.7 MR. JOHNSON: If every two years we 18 mail -- and this is where the majority of people end 19 up on suspense. Every two years we mail every voter, 20 registered voter, a new voter registration certificate 21 or renewal certificate, the card that you get in the If that card -- and it has instructions on that 22 mail. 23 card. If the postman cannot deliver it, if the 24 resident no longer lives at that address they're 25 trying to mail it to, then the post office is

instructed to return it to our office as being undeliverable.

1.0

1.7

When your voter registration card is returned to our office as being undeliverable, that you no longer live at that address, we put you on what's called "suspense." You're still a registered voter. You can still vote. When you walk into a polling location, you're on the poll book, you're going to have an "s" by your name, which stands for "suspense." And the election judge will ask you, "Sir, would you please fill out a statement of residence?" An address correction card is basically what that is with your new address. Once you fill out that card or any other voter application, your name is removed off suspense.

By the National Voter Registration Act, once you go on suspense and you remain on suspense without updating your address, without filling out a change of address card or application for two General Election cycles, you are then removed from the voter roll.

SEN. WEST: Okay. Thank you. As it relates to checks and balances, do you have checks and balances in your office?

MR. JOHNSON: Yes, we do.

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC. 512.474.2233
TX_00004477
JA 003900

Describe those checks and SEN. WEST: 1 2 balances. MR. JOHNSON: I mean, are you asking me 3 what the procedure is that I'm checking and balancing? 4 5 SEN. WEST: Of the registration cards. MR. JOHNSON: We probably have the most 6 7 robust in the state. And the fact that every document that comes through our door, the very first thing that 8 9 happens to it is I give it a document number and I image it, and then it is logged into our computer 1.0 11 system, and I can find that document at any point in So I have a count of how many documents I 1.2 1.3 receive. As the processors are working these 14 15 documents, it records all the steps that happened to 16 it throughout the way and will finally record when it is completed and the person is registered or they have 1.7 been sent some notice of incomplete. I can run a 1.8 report at any time and find out how many applications 19 2.0 I have and what status. As it relates to --21 SEN. WEST: Okay. 2.2. you have several -- I think you have about three different exhibits up there, and I'll refer to them 2.3 24 that way. One of them you have -- I think it's 121 25 applications.

```
MR. JOHNSON: Yeah, we referred to that
 1
 2
     as the Dashwood.
 3
                   SEN. WEST: Dashwood, okay. Let's talk
     Dashwood.
 4
 5
                   MR. JOHNSON:
                                 Okay.
 6
                   SEN. WEST: Did the system -- did your
 7
     system work in terms of the Dashwood applications?
 8
     And what I mean by that --
 9
                   MR. JOHNSON: Yes, it did.
1.0
                    SEN. WEST: What I mean by that -- hold
1.1
     on for one second. And what I mean by that when those
12
     applications came in, I assume that you assigned them
13
     a number, you imaged them and then sent them through
14
     the process to determine whether they were complete?
1.5
                   MR. JOHNSON: Yes. One of the hearts of
16
     any voter registration system is what we call the
17
     street guide, the list of streets broken into what
18
     streets and block ranges belong to a precinct.
19
     Because in order to register a voter, you have to
20
     assign them to some precinct so they receive the
21
     correct ballot, so they're voting for the correct
22
     districts and correct members, you know.
23
                    SEN. WEST: And that would be one of
24
     the, I guess, checks so to speak?
25
                   MR. JOHNSON: Correct.
```

```
SEN. WEST:
                               All right.
 1
 2
                   MR. JOHNSON: So that is the heart of
 3
     any voter registration system is the street guide.
                   These particular -- these particular
 4
 5
     addresses or cards when they came in, the processor
 6
     could not find this -- these streets, these addresses
 7
     in our master list of addresses. Now, we get new
 8
     streets all the time, so that's why we have a whole
     separate mapping department. These applications were
 9
1.0
     sent to our mapping department so that they could do
     research to find this address. They did extensive
11
12
     research, actually drove to the street to look it up
13
     and found that this block range of that street did not
14
     exist.
15
                   SEN. WEST: Okay. And were all of the
16
     applications properly filled out?
17
                   MR. JOHNSON: Not all of them were
18
     properly filled out.
19
                   SEN. WEST:
                               In fact the majority of them
20
     were not properly filled out.
                                     Is that correct?
21
                   MR. JOHNSON:
                                  I have not done a count on
22
          I would say, you know, a good percentage of them
23
     were not properly filled out.
24
                    SEN. WEST: Okay. So in that instance,
25
     the system worked?
```

MR. JOHNSON: Yes. 1 SEN. WEST: Okay. And so the process 2 3 worked, and you were able to stop those particular applications from being processed. 4 As it relates to the issues where we had 5 people that were voting that were deceased, can you 6 7 tell us whether or not -- you can't tell us whether -how those persons appeared, whether they went to the 8 9 polling place, anything like that. Your records just 10 indicate that someone voted. Is that correct? 1.1. MR. JOHNSON: No. Now, the voting 12 information actually comes from our County Clerk's Office. 13 14 SEN. WEST: Okay. 15 They are in charge of MR. JOHNSON: 16 elections, but it does appear on our computer system. 17 We did a screen shot of those in these records. 18 you want to -- I mean, I can go through the first one. 19 SEN. WEST: I mean, just a question. 20 Can you tell us whether --21 MR. JOHNSON: Yes, and let me just 2.2 describe the code for any of you-all that have it. 23 SEN. WEST: Well, hold on; hold on for 24 one second because I just want to know whether you can 25 tell us whether or not they voted at the -- someone

```
voted at the poll.
 1
 2
                   MR. JOHNSON: Yes, we have a code.
 3
                    SEN. WENTWORTH:
                                     Okay. Now, let me --
                   MR. JOHNSON: In the very first
 4
 5
     column -- let me tell you something.
                    SEN. WEST:
                               Hold on for a second; hold
 6
 7
     on for a second, please. You can tell us that.
     That's all I wanted to know.
 8
 9
                   MR. JOHNSON:
                                 Okay.
10
                    SEN. WEST:
                               Now, as it relates to your
11
     office's responsibility for doing any type of check --
12
     well, let me back up.
13
                    Does your office have a process that you
14
     utilize on a regular basis to check the probate --
15
     check the probate court, anything like that, or check
16
     any type of records to determine whether persons on
17
     the voter roll are now deceased?
18
                    MR. JOHNSON: Correct.
19
                    SEN. WEST: How often -- you do have a
20
     process?
21
                    MR. JOHNSON:
                                  We have several processes.
22
                    SEN. WEST: Okay.
23
                    MR. JOHNSON: And to start with the --
24
     you asked first about the probate. Probate courts
25
     are, in the Texas Election Code, required to send us
```

```
1
     their cases that they process.
 2
                    SEN. WEST:
                               Okay.
 3
                    MR. JOHNSON: We receive that
 4
     documentation probably once a week from the probate
 5
     courts.
                    SEN. WEST: Once a week?
 6
 7
                   MR. JOHNSON: Yeah, I would say roughly,
 8
     from Harris County.
 9
                    Then our office takes this upon
10
     ourself -- because I will tell you it's probably one
11
     of the things that's most upsetting to a voter is when
12
     they come in and see their deceased familiar member on
13
     the roll.
                It's really upsetting. So we actually go
1.4
     through the Houston newspaper every day and cut out --
15
                    SEN. WEST:
                               Every day?
16
                    MR. JOHNSON: Every day.
17
                    SEN. WEST:
                               Okay.
18
                    MR. JOHNSON: -- and cut out the copies
19
     of the obituaries to check for those people to see if
20
     they're registered, to remove them.
21
                    And then the final way that this happens
2.2
     is actually through the Secretary of State's Office.
23
     They are really now the voter registrar for the State.
24
     They received from -- I believe it's the health
25
     department, the list on -- I don't know if it's weekly
```

```
or monthly basis, but some basis they receive the
 1
     records from the health department of the people that
 2
     have passed away, and they pass that through the
 3
     statewide system and send us notification.
 4
                               Let me ask you something.
 5
                    SEN. WEST:
     Is that the three methods that you utilized, the
 6
 7
     Secretary of State --
                   MR. JOHNSON: Yeah.
 8
                    SEN. WEST: -- the obituaries and also
 9
     the probate records, court records?
1.0
11
                   MR. JOHNSON: Yeah.
                                         Then the other one
12
     that I found that is currently not being recorded that
13
     we do periodically is we also go get the
14
     secretary's -- I mean not the Secretary's -- the
     Social Security Administration's death list.
15
     Unfortunately our Texas Secretary of State just
16
17
     receives death notices from the State of Texas.
                    SEN. WEST: How long --
18
                   MR. JOHNSON: So if you pass from
19
2.0
     outside the state, you would not be on that list.
                    SEN. WEST: How long has that process or
21
2.2
     those processes been in place?
23
                   MR. JOHNSON: They had been in place --
24
     Help America Vote went into place in what, 2004.
25
     probably didn't get the computer system implemented
```

```
until 2006.
 1
                    SEN. WEST: Until 2006?
 2
                   MR. JOHNSON: Yes.
 3
                    SEN. WEST: And so when you look at
 4
 5
     the -- those persons that -- quote-unquote that are
     dead and someone else is voting, how far back does
 6
 7
     that go?
                   MR. JOHNSON: I believe most of these
 8
 9
     people were canceled in the year 2000.
10
                    SEN. WEST: I'm sorry?
11
                   MR. JOHNSON: I believe most of the
     people on this list were canceled in the year 2000.
12
13
                    SEN. WEST:
                               They were canceled?
14
     you say "canceled," they were purged from your roll?
15
                   MR. JOHNSON: Yes. Well, a purge is for
16
     suspense voters.
17
                    SEN. WEST: Okay.
                                       What I --
                   MR. JOHNSON: Cancellations are for --
18
19
     you know, if they are a deceased, felon, noncitizen --
20
                    (Simultaneous discussion)
21
                    SEN. WEST: Okay. So they were canceled
22
     using the process or at least part of the process you
23
     just mentioned?
24
                   MR. JOHNSON: Yes.
25
                    SEN. WEST: And part of it had not been
```

```
implemented by that time, probably 2005, but at least
1
     maybe the Secretary of State going through the
 2
     obituaries was utilized for purposes of canceling
 3
     those individuals?
 4
                   MR. JOHNSON: You know, since I have
 5
     been working in our office, we have -- we have done
 6
 7
     all four of those activities before -- before TEAM
     came into place where the state was in charge of it.
 8
 9
     We used to get records on about a monthly, quarterly
     basis from the health department that we would run
10
11
     against our voter roll, too. We requested those
12
     records, and we'd run them against our voter rolls to
13
     try to clean them up, but that was basically
14
     implemented in about the year 2000 when
     Mr. Bettencourt became the Tax Collector/Assessor.
15
                               Would it be a fair statement
                   SEN. WEST:
16
1.7
     that -- say that at least as it relates to those two
18
     that Dashwood and those persons that have been
19
     canceled that there were processes in place in your
2.0
     office that enabled you, "you" being the office
21
     generically, to find those individuals -- find out
22
     those applications and then turn around and deal with
23
     them?
24
                   MR. JOHNSON: Well, we found these
25
     cases.
```

```
SEN. WEST:
 1
                               Okay.
 2
                   MR. JOHNSON:
                                 And we found these cases,
     you know, I believe just by the due diligence of a
 3
 4
     good processor.
 5
                   SEN. WEST: Okay. But you --
 6
                   MR. JOHNSON: I think this is probably
 7
     the tip of the iceberg --
 8
                   SEN. WEST:
                               Okay.
                   MR. JOHNSON: -- because we're not out
 9
1.0
     looking for these cases. They were just ones we came
11
     across.
12
                   SEN. WEST:
                               Okay. But the reality is
13
     that if someone submits a voter registration
14
     application that's not filled out correctly, that goes
     into a pile?
15
16
                   MR. JOHNSON: Well, that day we actually
17
     generate a letter out of our system, a letter of
18
     incomplete --
19
                    SEN. WEST: Okay. But I mean --
2.0
                   MR. JOHNSON: -- and we mail it to the
21
     voter.
22
                    SEN. WEST:
                               But if you have an
23
     application that's not complete --
24
                   MR. JOHNSON: That's correct.
25
                    SEN. WEST: -- you will not issue any
```

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC. 512.474.2233

TX_00004487

JA 003910

```
type of voter registration card.
                                       Right?
 1
 2
                   MR. JOHNSON: That is correct.
 3
                   SEN. WEST: Okay. You have a process in
 4
     place that you can cancel out persons that are
 5
     deceased also. Is that correct?
                   MR. JOHNSON: That is correct.
 6
 7
                   SEN. WEST: All right. And so you have
 8
     obviously competent individuals working these
 9
     processes because otherwise you wouldn't have them in
10
     your office. Now, so there are processes in place as
11
     it relates to those two?
12
                   MR. JOHNSON: That is correct.
13
                   SEN. WEST: Okay.
                                       Now, as it relates to
1.4
     the young lady that was -- received deferred
15
     adjudication, she wasn't convicted, she received
     deferred adjudication --
16
17
                   MR. JOHNSON: Okay.
18
                   SEN. WEST: -- what type of case was
19
     that?
           I me, what actually did your records show to
20
     lead you to believe she had done something?
                   MR. JOHNSON: What made us discover the
21
22
     case?
23
                   SEN. WEST: Yeah, what made you think
24
     that there was some fraud going on?
25
                   MR. JOHNSON: Like I said, a
```

```
1
     processor --
 2
                   SEN. WEST: Okay.
                   MR. JOHNSON: -- one of the ladies that
 3
     actually keys in all the information into our computer
 4
 5
     system from the cards, received -- went over to the
 6
     tray to be worked, picked up this pile. And as she's
 7
     flipping through these cards processing them, she
     noticed right off the bat that these were all the same
 8
 9
     handwriting. You know, when you're looking at card
10
     after card --
11
                   SEN. WEST: Okay.
12
                   MR. JOHNSON: -- you notice that it's
1.3
     the same handwriting. In fact it was the same pen.
14
     You know, normally when you pick up a stack of cards,
15
     one would be blue, one would be black --
16
                   SEN. WEST: Sure.
17
                   MR. JOHNSON: -- you get purple, pastel,
     all kinds of colors. Now, they were all the exact
18
19
     same pen. You know, 60 of them in a row, the same
20
     writing, same pen, it sends off a red flag.
21
                   SEN. WEST:
                               Okay. And I agree with you
22
     on that.
               And so again, the process was able to pick
23
     that up?
24
                   MR. JOHNSON: Correct.
25
                   SEN. WEST: Okay. All right. Now, you
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

```
would agree with me -- you may agree with me, I'll put
it like that -- that you have processes in place in
order to make certain that you don't certify or
provide a voter registration card for someone that
doesn't complete the application appropriately; that
you have a process in place to cancel out individuals
that are deceased; and you have competent individuals
working within your establishment to -- if they see
instances of what they believe rises to the level of
voter fraud, that they take those cards out and review
them or investigate them. Is that correct?
              MR. JOHNSON: We have processes to try
to catch, you know, voter registration fraud when it
comes through. I will tell you the election code is
written real loosely and doesn't give us the proper
tools to do this job, I would say, in the best manner
it could be done.
                          Okay. Let's -- you said
              SEN. WEST:
"the tools."
             What tools would you need?
              MR. JOHNSON: Well, this one -- one that
was just brought up here for an example, that you can
take our current voter registration application, write
any name you want to on here, check the boxes that you
don't have a driver's license or a citizenship,
there's no validation check at all. All I'm looking
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1.1

12

13

14

15

16

1.7

1.8

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
for is did you fill in every box on here, and you're
registered to vote. And there's nothing -- there's no
tools, there's nothing in the law that allows me to
validate this. There's no list to validate it against
that this is a real person. So I consider that kind
of a hole in the -- in the system.
              SEN. WEST:
                         Now, would you agree or
disagree that most states have the same process,
though?
              MR. JOHNSON: I'm not real familiar
with all states' laws. I do know that all state laws
have to comply with the National Voter Registration
Act and Help America Vote.
              SEN. WEST: Does this particular voter
registration card comply with that act?
              MR. JOHNSON: It does comply with that
act, but I will tell you there are a lot of different
voter registration cards. I do see other states'
applications because they can mail them to me. You do
not have -- in Texas you do not have to use this
official card to register. As long as you have the
information that's required to register written on a
piece of paper, we can use it as a voter registration
card.
              SEN. WEST: But it does comply with the
```

```
1
     national act?
                   MR. JOHNSON: This does.
 2
                    SEN. WEST: All right. Thank you on
 3
           I don't think I have any other questions.
 4
     that.
 5
     Thank you.
                   MR. JOHNSON:
                                 All right.
                                              Thank you.
 6
 7
                    SEN. DUNCAN: The Chair recognizes
 8
     Senator Gallegos.
 9
                    SEN. GALLEGOS:
                                    Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
1.0
                   Mr. Johnson, you said you're the
     Assistant Registrar at Harris County?
11
12
                   MR. JOHNSON: Associate Director.
13
     have one person above me. Then he reports -- that
14
     person above me reports to the Tax Collector/Assessor.
15
                    SEN. GALLEGOS: Who is the Director?
16
                   MR. JOHNSON: Leo Vasquez is the Tax
17
     Collector/Assessor in Harris County now.
18
                    SEN. GALLEGOS: So he's the one right
19
     above you?
2.0
                   MR. JOHNSON: No.
                                       I have a person in
21
     between us.
22
                    SEN. GALLEGOS: Oh, who is the one in
23
     between?
24
                   MR. JOHNSON: His name is George
25
     Hammerlein.
```

```
SEN. GALLEGOS:
                                    Who?
 1
                   MR. JOHNSON: His name is George
 2
     Hammerlein.
 3
                    SEN. GALLEGOS: Oh, okay. All right.
 4
     But I mean, you work in the registrar's office?
 5
                   MR. JOHNSON: Yes. George was not able
 6
 7
     to make it today --
                    SEN. GALLEGOS: Okay.
 8
 9
                   MR. JOHNSON: -- as your colleague
10
     behind you knows because of a knee injury.
11
                    SEN. GALLEGOS: But he's lucky; he's
12
     lucky, let me tell you. Okay. So you work in the
     registrar's office.
13
14
                   Now, Sen. West asked you about these
1.5
     first -- one of the first questions he asked you
     about these -- and I live in Harris County, by the
16
17
     way.
18
                   MR. JOHNSON: Yes, sir.
                    SEN. GALLEGOS: And that 13 timely --
19
2.0
     13,000 timely voter registration applications had not
21
     been processed for early voting.
                                       That came out in the
     news. You worked under Bettencourt.
2.2
                                           Right?
23
                   MR. JOHNSON: Yes, sir.
24
                    SEN. GALLEGOS: Okay. It came out in
25
                Bettencourt was there. We had no answer
     the news.
```

```
You didn't know that? You told Sen. West
 1
     from him.
 2
     you didn't know that. You're the Assistant Registrar,
 3
     or whatever your title is, and you didn't know that?
     I was there.
                   Were you there?
 4
 5
                   MR. JOHNSON: Where is "there" that
     I'm --
 6
 7
                    SEN. GALLEGOS: In Harris County, that's
 8
     where "there" --
 9
                   MR. JOHNSON: I'm there in Harris
1.0
     County. Now, you know what I need is --
11
                   SEN. GALLEGOS: Well, if you didn't know
12
     that and you're the Assistant Registrar, is that your
13
     job?
14
                   MR. JOHNSON: If you give me a date --
15
                   SEN. GALLEGOS: Is that your job?
16
                   MR. JOHNSON: No, it's not my job.
17
                   SEN. GALLEGOS: It's not your job to
     look at these?
18
19
                                 It's my job to process
                   MR. JOHNSON:
20
     those voter registrations.
21
                    SEN. GALLEGOS:
                                    I understand that, sir.
22
                   MR. JOHNSON: If you tell me a date --
23
     sir, if you tell me a date, I can tell you exactly how
24
     many cards we had worked and not worked.
25
                    SEN. GALLEGOS: Well, I can tell you
```

```
1
            If I had your job, somebody asked me that
     this:
 2
     question, about 13,000, the majority of them
 3
     minorities that had not been processed when early
     voting started, I would know that because that's my
 4
 5
     job. That's what the taxpayers pay me for.
 6
                   MR. JOHNSON: Can I ask you a question?
 7
                    SEN. GALLEGOS: You didn't know that?
 8
                   MR. JOHNSON: Can I ask you a question?
 9
     How do you --
10
                    SEN. GALLEGOS: No, no, no. I'm asking
11
     the questions. You cannot ask questions. You're a
12
     witness. I'm asking the questions.
13
                   Now, answer me yes or no. Is that your
14
     job?
15
                   MR. JOHNSON: I have not seen the
16
     article that you're referring to.
17
                   SEN. GALLEGOS: There's no article.
                                                         Ιt
18
     was in the papers. Everybody, everybody, everybody
19
     was arguing with Bettencourt.
20
                   MR. JOHNSON: Well, in the paper there
21
     was an article.
22
                    (Simultaneous discussion)
23
                    SEN. GALLEGOS: Obviously you were on
24
     vacation.
                I'm sorry.
25
                    SEN. DUNCAN: Let me please instruct
```

```
both of you to allow -- or not talk at the same time
 1
     because the court reporter can't get your testimony.
 2
                    SEN. GALLEGOS: I'll ask you again.
 3
     Were you -- were you on vacation during that period?
 4
 5
                   MR. JOHNSON: In which period of time
     are you referring to?
 6
 7
                    SEN. GALLEGOS: Well, the election.
 8
     That's exactly the question that Sen. West asked you,
 9
     the election -- the election in the fall, the
     Presidential Election when early voting started.
10
11
                   MR. JOHNSON:
                                  If you're talking about
12
     the month before the election, no, I was not on
13
     vacation.
14
                    SEN. GALLEGOS: Well, then you should
15
     know these answers. That's what we pay you for. Is
16
     that not correct?
1.7
                   MR. JOHNSON:
                                 I'm sorry, sir.
18
     not seen that article. I don't know what you're
19
     referring to.
20
                    SEN. GALLEGOS: All right.
21
                   MR. JOHNSON: If you would like to know
22
     how many people -- how many voter registration cards I
23
     had on any particular day, you know, I can -- when I
24
     get back to the office, I can look that up for you.
25
                    SEN. GALLEGOS: That's not -- that's not
```

```
the question I asked you.
                                 That is not --
 1
                   MR. JOHNSON: Well, you're asking me
 2
     about how many applications we --
 3
                    (Simultaneous discussion)
 4
 5
                   SEN. GALLEGOS: That is not the question
     I asked you.
 6
 7
                          Let me -- let me go to the second
                   Okay.
 8
     question where Sen. West asked you and you did not
 9
     answer him, and you're the Assistant Registrar in
10
     Harris County being paid by taxpayers, that Harris
11
     County disqualified nearly 70,000 -- 70,000
12
     applications, the majority minority. I mean, you
13
     didn't know about this? That's a lot -- that's a lot
14
     of disqualifications. And you're the assistant
15
     registrar. You didn't know that?
16
                   MR. JOHNSON: What is the time period
17
     that you're talking about there in the article?
18
                    SEN. GALLEGOS: I just told you, right
     before -- right before the General Election in the
19
2.0
     fall of '08.
21
                   MR. JOHNSON: Is that -- is the month
22
     before the General Election, two months, three months?
23
     You know, I have to know a timeframe, and I can tell
24
     you exactly how many letters we sent out of incomplete
25
     during that time.
```

```
SEN. GALLEGOS: Right before the
 1
 2
     election.
 3
                   MR. JOHNSON: And that is not a -- that
 4
     is not a disqualification. A letter of incomplete
 5
     just says that you have missed one of the required
 6
     fields in the application.
                                 It gives them another
 7
     opportunity to register to vote.
 8
                   SEN. GALLEGOS:
                                   These applications,
 9
     these people were disqualified. Either way you call
10
     it, you can call it -- you sent out a letter, whatever
11
     you say, they were disqualified. They couldn't vote.
12
                   All right. Let me -- let me go to
13
     another question.
14
                   MR. JOHNSON: Can I ask you a question
15
     while that --
16
                   SEN. GALLEGOS: No, no, you can't ask me
17
                 I'm the one asking the questions.
     a question.
1.8
     Obviously whoever told you you could testify here
19
     didn't tell you the rules of the Senate, whether we're
20
     in the Committee of the Whole or in a Committee.
2.1
                   Now, let me ask you, I'm looking at a
2.2
     document that Paul Bettencourt put out, and I was
23
     there because he did -- he did a PowerPoint on -- and
24
     I don't know if you were there with him when he did
25
     this PowerPoint. It was called the Texas Voter
```

```
Registrar, Keeping It Real. Do you remember that one?
1
                   MR. JOHNSON: I have seen that
2
 3
     PowerPoint.
                   SEN. GALLEGOS: Have you seen the
 4
 5
     PowerPoint?
                   MR. JOHNSON: Yes, I have.
 6
 7
                   SEN. GALLEGOS: All right.
                                                In his
8
     PowerPoint -- okay. I'm going by his document, the
 9
     document he passed out to everybody there. I'm going
10
     by his -- it says "Paul Bettencourt" on there. He was
     the registrar, wasn't he?
11
12
                   MR. JOHNSON: Yes, he was.
13
                   SEN. GALLEGOS: Okay. It says here
     "City of Houston Case Study." This is his document,
14
     not mine. "The General Election of November 2001 and
15
     Runoff Election December 2001, these are results of
16
17
     the Harris County book audit on potential fraud in
18
     these elections." He said the majority of what he
     found was the wrong precinct. And there's another
19
2.0
     column that a majority of what he found was not
21
     registered. And in another column, the majority of
2.2
     what he found was deleted. And then the graph goes
     from zero to 700. It says "moved out of county" --
23
24
     that was another one that he found -- "was right
25
     under 100."
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1.0

11

1.2

13

14

15

16

17

1.8

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
Now, the real things that we're looking
for and what this bill is trying to clear up is felons
and not a citizen and deceased, which I'm going to get
to in a minute. On felons it looks here barely ten,
about ten per Paul Bettencourt's graph; not a citizen,
it's barely a speck on this chart; and deceased, none.
This is per his PowerPoint that he passed out at the
seminar that I was at. These are his numbers, not
mine, that say there was hardly anything from felon to
not a citizen to deceased is almost zero. It's almost
zero per his chart.
              MR. JOHNSON: Can I explain this part?
              SEN. GALLEGOS: And have you even seen
this chart?
            Do you know about this chart?
              MR. JOHNSON: I've seen that chart.
I explain that chart, sir?
              SEN. GALLEGOS: Go ahead and explain it.
              MR. JOHNSON: Okay.
                                   That is a -- what
we refer to as a poll book audit that happened after a
City of Houston election in 2001. After every
election I told you we review the poll book -- this is
after the election is over with -- we review the poll
book to find voter registration problems or
discrepancies. One of the things we checked for since
it was a City of Houston election, they have what they
```

```
call split precincts, not the whole precinct can
 1
     possibly be in the city. So you can have a precinct
 2
     that's voting, but you cannot live in the City of
 3
               That's what those out-of-precincts are, is
 4
     Houston.
     those people were in that precinct voting --
 5
                    SEN. GALLEGOS: I know what they are.
 6
                   MR. JOHNSON: -- but they didn't live in
 7
 8
     the City of Houston, but they voted in the City of
     Houston election. That is -- that is someone voting
 9
1.0
     in the wrong district. That is against the law.
                    The ones that moved out of county, they
11
12
     didn't live in Harris County, and they voted in Harris
13
             That is someone that is not registered here
14
     or should not have been registered here that voted in
15
     our county.
                    SEN. GALLEGOS: Were any of these --
16
17
     were any of these what you're saying voted in the
18
     wrong county, were they convicted?
19
                   MR. JOHNSON:
                                 They were all turned
20
     over -- that whole report was turned over to the
     District Attorney's Office, and once again --
2.1
22
                    SEN. GALLEGOS: All right.
                   MR. JOHNSON: -- they didn't have the
23
24
     evidence to make the prosecution.
25
                    SEN. GALLEGOS: That leads me to my next
```

```
You said you had 133 complaints that are
 1
     still at the District Attorney's Office. Do we know
 2.
     if any of those were convicted? Do you know that?
 3
                   MR. JOHNSON: No, I do not know the
 4
 5
     status.
                                  But you did say there
 6
                   SEN. GALLEGOS:
 7
     was 133 complaints at the D.A.'s Office, and you can't
 8
     tell us yes or no? You don't know?
 9
                   MR. JOHNSON: No, the D.A. does not
10
     report to me.
11
                   SEN. GALLEGOS: Okay. All right.
12
     right. Let's go back to your deceased. I don't know
13
     how you explained it to Sen. West. Tell me the
14
     process on how you -- okay. You go through the
15
     Chronicle, you go through the obituaries. Is that
16
     correct?
17
                   MR. JOHNSON: In our office our
18
     procedures for finding out or trying to discover
     deceased voters is, yes, we go through the obituaries
19
2.0
     of the Houston Chronicle every day.
21
                   SEN. GALLEGOS:
                                   So you go through the
22
     obituaries and you find out who died?
                   MR. JOHNSON: We get the probate records
23
24
     from the probate court, and we get a list --
25
                   SEN. GALLEGOS: And you put them up
```

```
against -- up against your list on your registrar
 1
 2
     list?
                   MR. JOHNSON: Correct.
 3
                   SEN. GALLEGOS: Okay. And you
 4
 5
     determine --
                   MR. JOHNSON:
                                We get the list from -- we
 6
 7
     get the list from the Texas Health Department of the
     deceased voters here in Texas, and then periodically
 8
 9
     we also purchase the social security death index and
     run it against the voter roll to try to find matches.
1.0
                   SEN. GALLEGOS: And that's how you
11
12
     determine that they are deceased?
13
                   MR. JOHNSON: We determine that they are
14
     possibly deceased. Then on those voters we actually
     send them notices to the last known address. We call
15
     it "To The Family Of" letters asking the family
16
17
     members if they're still there to confirm our
18
     findings.
                   SEN. GALLEGOS: Okay. But the 24 in
19
20
     your testimony, that's kind of like the process you
21
     went through. You went through the newspaper, you
22
     went through the --
23
                   MR. JOHNSON: That is correct.
24
                   SEN. GALLEGOS: Okay. All right. Now,
25
     that's a "yes." Right?
```

```
MR. JOHNSON: Yes.
 1
 2
                    SEN. GALLEGOS: Okay. Well, let me --
 3
     Mr. Johnson, would it interest you to know that right
     before the end of the year it was time for me to renew
 4
 5
     my license, and I went to your office and --
                   MR. JOHNSON: Can I make a correction?
 6
 7
     We do not do driver's license renewals.
 8
                                   Well, no, no, no, it's
                    SEN. GALLEGOS:
 9
     under -- it's under the registrar's office.
10
                   MR. JOHNSON: No, we do not -- that's
11
     under the Department of Public Safety. We do not do
1.2
     driver's license renewals.
13
                    SEN. GALLEGOS: Well, it was Bettencourt
1.4
     that called me.
1.5
                   MR. JOHNSON: If Mr. Bettencourt called
16
     you, he wasn't affiliated with our office.
17
                    SEN. GALLEGOS: Well, he's the one that
                 Are you sure you're not -- you're not with
18
     called me.
19
     the driver's license renewals?
2.0
                   MR. JOHNSON: Are you talking about --
21
     now, are you talking about your driver's license, or
22
     are you talking about your --
23
                    SEN. GALLEGOS: No, no, no. I'm talking
24
     about -- excuse me -- my license on my car.
25
                   MR. JOHNSON: Your auto plates?
```

```
SEN. GALLEGOS:
                                    Yeah.
 1
 2.
                    MR. JOHNSON: The plates on your car?
 3
     Yes, we do do --
                    SEN. GALLEGOS: That's what you're in
 4
 5
     charge of.
                 Right?
                    MR. JOHNSON: We do do that in our
 6
 7
     office.
 8
                    SEN. GALLEGOS: Okay. That's a "yes."
 9
     Right?
                    MR. JOHNSON: Yes.
10
                    SEN. GALLEGOS: Okay. Would it interest
11
12
     you to know that when I went to renew my license and
13
     entered your office there at the registrar's office
14
     that they told me I could not renew, and they told me
     the reason was because on their rolls it showed that I
15
16
     was deceased? Did you know that?
17
                    MR. JOHNSON: No, I did not know that.
18
                    SEN. GALLEGOS: Okay.
                    MR. JOHNSON: That is -- that is a whole
19
20
     different department, and that is actually run by
     TXDOT.
21
22
                    SEN. GALLEGOS: Well, wait, wait, wait
23
     a minute.
24
                    MR. JOHNSON: And if that was a TxDOT
25
     record that showed you --
```

```
1
                   SEN. GALLEGOS: I went to the
 2
     registrar's office. It was your office. It's was --
                                The TxDOT --
 3
                   MR. JOHNSON:
                   SEN. GALLEGOS: At that time, was
 4
 5
     Paul Bettencourt your boss or not?
 6
                   MR. JOHNSON: Yes, he was --
 7
                   SEN. GALLEGOS: Okay.
 8
                   MR. JOHNSON: -- but we report to TxDOT.
 9
     TxDOT runs that organization.
                                     If there was your
10
     record marked as deceased, it was TxDOT that marked
11
     it.
12
                   SEN. GALLEGOS: Well, why would
13
     Paul Bettencourt call me and apologize?
14
                   MR. JOHNSON: We are -- we are an agent
15
                 I guess he was being --
     of theirs.
                   SEN. GALLEGOS: Well, then it was your
16
17
     people.
18
                    (Simultaneous discussion)
19
                                Okay. Let me -- let me
                   SEN. DUNCAN:
2.0
     interrupt.
                 I hate to interrupt, but you're not making
21
     a record.
                And if you want to make a record, you
22
     really need -- both of you need to maintain the
23
     decorum of one speaking at a time. The court reporter
24
     cannot get your testimony if you're speaking over each
     other, and both of you are doing it. It's not just
25
```

```
one of you, both of you are.
                                   So if you could slow it
 1
 2
     down a little bit and let the court reporter keep up
     with you, that would be helpful for the record.
 3
                   SEN. GALLEGOS: Mr. Chairman, I'm trying
 4
     to make a point here that it was the registrar's
 5
     office that was handling -- that was handling my
 6
 7
     renewal of my license tag, and Mr. Johnson says that's
     TxDOT, but it's the registrar that's handling that,
 8
 9
     all the information on the registrar's computer -- on
     the registrar's computer in that -- in that county
10
     office.
             Is that correct? Is that yes or no?
11
12
                   MR. JOHNSON: That is a "yes" --
13
                   SEN. GALLEGOS:
                                    Okay.
                   MR. JOHNSON: -- that it's in our
14
15
     office, but, sir --
                   SEN. GALLEGOS: All right.
16
17
                   MR. JOHNSON: -- you were just talking
     about things that I need, and that's the tools I need.
1.8
     TxDOT will not let us link into their system to copy
19
20
     or get access to those records so that we can match it
     up to voter rolls to find out when people move so that
2.1
22
     we can send them notices or applications to try to get
23
     them re-registered. So if you would like to help us,
24
     pass a bill that would allow TxDOT to give us that
25
     information.
```

```
SEN. GALLEGOS: But it was your
 1
 2
     computer, not TxDOT's.
                   MR. JOHNSON:
                                 No, it's TxDOT's
 3
                 The computers that we use in our office
 4
     computers.
 5
     belong to TxDOT.
                    SEN. GALLEGOS: But it was your agents
 6
 7
     that were handling it.
                   MR. JOHNSON: Yes, they work for the
 8
 9
     Harris County Tax Office.
10
                    SEN. GALLEGOS:
                                    Okay. All right.
11
                   MR. JOHNSON: The computer system is
     TxDOT's, and it's closed, and they do not let us have
12
13
     access to it.
14
                    SEN. GALLEGOS: Well, if you had me
15
     deceased there on that computer at the registrar's
16
     office, whether it's TxDOT or not, and your agents
17
     were handling it, if I had -- if I had voted during
     that time that you had me deceased, would I show up --
18
     would I show up on your rolls?
19
2.0
                   MR. JOHNSON: You were still a
21
     registered voter on our rolls.
                                      That TxDOT record has
22
     nothing to do with your voter registration.
23
                    SEN. GALLEGOS: That's not what -- that
24
     wasn't my question. On your computer, the computer
25
     that your agents handle --
```

```
MR. JOHNSON: On the computer that I'm
 1
     in charge of that handles the voter registration roll,
 2
     you are an active voter.
 3
                   SEN. GALLEGOS: How do you know that?
 4
 5
                   MR. JOHNSON: Did you vote?
                   SEN. GALLEGOS: I'm talking -- yeah, I
 6
 7
     voted, but I'm talking about during the time --
 8
                   MR. JOHNSON: Then you're an active
 9
     voter.
                   SEN. GALLEGOS: -- during the time that
10
     the computer showed that I was deceased, had I voted,
11
12
     would I be on that list with those 24? Yes or no?
13
                   MR. JOHNSON: No, you would not have
14
     been on that list.
                   SEN. GALLEGOS: Are you sure?
15
                   MR. JOHNSON: I'm sure.
16
17
                   SEN. GALLEGOS: You're positive?
                   MR. JOHNSON: I'm positive.
18
                                         All right.
19
                   SEN. GALLEGOS:
                                   Okay.
2.0
     and your office is not -- so you're saying it's
21
     Beverly Kaufman's Office that's in charge of the last
22
     question that Sen. West asked you on the provisionary
23
     ballots given after seven o'clock instead of letting
24
     them cast their ballots. Is that correct?
25
                   MR. JOHNSON:
                                  That is correct.
```

```
SEN. GALLEGOS: Okay. Mr. Johnson,
 1
 2
     thank you.
                   SEN. DUNCAN: Mr. Johnson, I don't think
 3
     there's any other queued up. If you want to -- you
 4
 5
     are excused. Thank you for your testimony.
                   MR. JOHNSON:
                                 Thank you.
 6
 7
                 TESTIMONY BY DANIEL B. KOHRMAN
                   SEN. DUNCAN: The Chair calls
 8
 9
     Daniel Kohrman. Mr. Kohrman, do you have written --
10
     you have written testimony, I believe. It will be
11
     Exhibit No. --
12
                   MR. KOHRMAN: Yes, sir.
13
                   SEN. DUNCAN:
                                 -- 35. We'll go ahead and
14
     put that in the record.
15
                    (Exhibit No. 35 marked and admitted)
16
                   SEN. DUNCAN: If you'll state your name
17
     and who you represent, and you have ten minutes.
18
                   MR. KOHRMAN:
                                  Thank you. Good morning,
19
     Mr. Chairman, Members of the Committee. My name is
2.0
     Daniel Kohrman.
                      I'm a senior attorney with the AARP
21
     Foundation.
                  The foundation is the charitable arm of
22
     AARP. I represent AARP, AARP's members and older
23
     persons generally.
24
                   One of my responsibilities is to
25
     represent the cause of access to the ballot for older
```

voters. By way of background, I'm one of the lawyers for voters, including older voters, in the litigation regarding photo ID in Arizona and in Georgia. I've also filed briefs on behalf of AARP in photo ID cases in Michigan and Missouri in the state courts and also in the U.S. Supreme Court in the Indiana case that we've discussed. Finally, AARP has filed a brief supporting the senior citizen plaintiffs in the absentee ballot case here in Texas, the Ray case that we discussed a little earlier this evening.

And just so that you know a bit more about me before I came to AARP, about seven years ago I worked for a big law firm, a civil rights organization, the lawyers committee that you heard about before, and also for the U.S. Department of Justice.

As you know, AARP members vote in very large numbers and are very proud of their active participation in the political process at all levels, state, local and federal. I work out of the headquarters in D.C., but today I'm here representing AARP of Texas, which is one of 53 state offices we have across the U.S.

I want to say that given the intense partisanship that we've seen here today and that has

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC. 512.474.2233

TX_00004511

JA 003934

2.

developed around this issue of voter ID, photo ID, I want to emphasize that AARP is a nonprofit nonpartisan organization dedicated to addressing the needs and interests of Americans age 50 and older. AARP has no interest in the partisan aspects of the photo ID issue.

What we do care about is representing the interests of older Americans. We have more than 40 million members nationwide and about two and a half million here in Texas, and both in this state and across the U.S. We're the largest membership organizations of older persons and older voters.

All right. While I appreciate the opportunity to speak with you here so late and so early about Senate Bill 362, just to start, I want to say that AARP's overall perspective is that the right to vote is the most basic right in our Democratic system, and our view is that legislative bodies and politicians should tread carefully in the field of voting rights. Voting rights should not be casually restricted.

Now, we understand that historically and under our Constitution the states are the principle sources of laws and regulations in the area of voting, but we do not conclude from this that states should

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC. 512.474.2233

TX_00004512

JA 003935

feel free to take whatever action is expedient.

Certainly where there's a need to act to protect

voters, there's a duty to step forward. But absent

such a need, our view is that states have a duty not

to rush in. And as it is said of doctors, so it is

true in the field of voting and elections, first do no

harm.

AARP policy is clear. We have focused on trying to encourage simple, fair procedures. And most relevant for our purposes here today our policy states that state government should adopt procedures to detect and prevent voter fraud, but policies that do not permit arbitrary and discriminatory reviews, ID challenges and misuse of provisional ballots in ways that discourage voter registration and turnout. And our concern about photo ID laws is that they do just that.

Just this past Sunday in the Rio Grande Guardian, the paper reported one in five senior woman don't have a driver's license, quoting the U.S. Census Bureau. 18 percent of Americans over age 65 don't have a driver's license, quoting the Brennan Center from whose representative you heard earlier today, and also that 37 percent of Texans over 80 don't have a driver's license.

We did some surveys in connection with some of the litigation matters you've heard about. In Indiana our survey suggested that 3 percent of people over 65 have neither a driver's license nor a state-issued ID, and that's about 23,000 people. In Georgia our estimates suggested over 100,000 over age 65 lack a driver's license and the number is something like double that in Missouri.

What's hard to understand for us is why public officials would embrace measures that have this kind of impact on older voters when in the next couple of decades the older population will be growing by leaps and bounds so that most of the growth in the voting population will be among the older voters.

I want to refer you to testimony that AARP Texas' Advocacy Director Amanda Fredericksen gave to a House Committee in 2006 noting some of the harms and difficulties posed for older persons who seek to access the ballot, but are caught up in photo ID requirements and are precluded from voting.

There was an 80 year old who sought a state-issued ID card in Indiana. We put this in our Supreme Court brief. She finally succeeded only after paying multiple fees, navigating a maze of public record laws and making multiple trips to public

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC. 512.474.2233

TX_00004514

JA 003937

1.3

offices. And then there was a 61-year-old social security recipient who was barred from voting in the lobby of her retirement home as she had done for past elections. Poll workers who had known her for years were not allowed to let her vote because she didn't have the form of ID, and we're concerned that's going to happen in Texas.

Now, let me cut to the chase here, and I've summarized in my testimony some major concerns we have with this law in particular. We've talked about big picture issues, other states, other cases and we've talked, to some degree, precious little about the actual bill that's before this chamber.

The first concern is that the law does very little to educate the public about the changes it proposes to make. It relies almost exclusively on the individual voter registration renewal process. It relies on Websites, the Secretary of State and the counties, but as far as I understand it, only one-third of the counties actually have Websites.

Our members in particular, I would have the concern, would both be adversely affected by a process that relies on a routine mailing procedure. They have voted for many, many years and cannot be expected to automatically pick up on all the new

```
More likely they're going to assume that
 1
     changes.
 2
     their registration is just as it has been before.
 3
     as far as Websites, our members are getting ever more
     tech savvy, but relying on the Internet to serve older
 4
 5
     voters is a dangerous proposition.
 6
                    The second concern is a related one.
 7
     There's lack of plans for public outreach. One way to
 8
     describe the approach of this bill, in our frame of
 9
     thinking, is that it's completely passive. You get a
1.0
     mailing, you have a bit of information posted on a
11
               There's nothing going out to the public.
     Website.
12
     There's nothing going out in terms of mobile vans as
13
     there was in Georgia or other public education.
14
     heard about advertisements. I noted that nothing of
15
     that was planned to involve photo ID in particular.
16
                    As far as the substantive concern about
17
     what the experience is like for voters, I just want to
18
     make two quick points.
19
                    SEN. DUNCAN: I've been holding
2.0
     everybody pretty strict. If somebody wants to ask a
21
     question --
22
                    MR. KOHRMAN:
                                  Okay.
23
                    SEN. DUNCAN: I think Sen. Uresti --
24
     your time has expired.
25
```

QUESTIONS FROM SENATE FLOOR 1 2 Sen. Uresti, you're SEN. DUNCAN: 3 recognized. SEN. URESTI: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 4 5 Mr. Kohrman, good morning, and I'll follow up on what you were just completing in a 6 7 moment, but I wanted to go back to something that you 8 just talked about with regard to the Websites. 9 think you mentioned in your testimony one-third of the 10 counties have Websites. But do you have an idea of 11 how many of your members actually have a computer much 12 less access to the Internet? 13 MR. KOHRMAN: Well, I would say two 1.4 One, I think it's very easy to stereotype things: 15 older voters and older people. I think more and more 16 of them -- the profile is more typical of the average 17 population than what most people think. But the plain 18 fact of it is when you look at people who are infirm, 19 older people with disabilities that is, older people 20 in assisted living or nursing homes, those kinds of 21 residential situations, people of low income 22 certainly, they are very unlikely to have access to 23 the Internet and very unlikely to benefit from that kind of information. 24 25 SEN. URESTI: And one of the reasons I

1.3

bring that up, and I realize many of our seniors are more advanced when it comes to the Internet, but speaking about my parents specifically and they're in their 70s, very intelligent. However, my father refuses to use a computer, probably because he doesn't know how, much less accessing the Internet. So I'm sure that there are many other seniors in Texas, specifically in the district that I represent, that don't have access.

You were about to complete a sentence, and I know the time cut you off. Would you like to finish that thought?

MR. KOHRMAN: No. I just -- that the public outreach activities that were demanded by the court in Georgia, in effect, are very much relevant here because what we have is a law that proposes to take effect at the very beginning of 2010 and yet relies almost completely on a notification process in the course of renewing registrations that has, as I understand it, a two-year cycle.

Therefore, in our view, the minimum time that should be required for this set of new requirements to be effective should be at least two years and probably longer than that so that you have a whole cycle. If you're going to rely on mailings that

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

2.4

25

people will only get some time in the next two years, it should be a cycle that long at least before this law takes effect.

SEN. URESTI: And the reason for the two years is so that you can allow for training and outreach?

Well, in the first place, MR. KOHRMAN: there's no guarantee that people will be notified of the requirements of the law at all. Given that there's nothing in this bill by way of funding or programs, at least as far as I can tell, to contact voters about the specific requirements of photo ID, people won't know. And what you will have is people showing up at the polls, registered voters who have -who expect that they can vote on the terms they always have. And what they will find -- in particular in some circumstances, they will come perhaps without their registration. And under current law if you come without your registration but you're on the rolls, you sign an affidavit and you cast a regular ballot. under the law as it would be revised, you have to show photo ID.

Now, if you haven't had notice except if you read the papers closely enough to know what this body and the House may pass someday, you're not going

1.7

1.8

2.0

to know that requirement. And so what you're going to do is you're going to show up -- and we think that's typical of our members. They are going to do what they've always done, they're going to show up, they may forget, they may misplace, they may lose, they may not get in time their certificate. They show up to vote and whereas in the past they could just sign an affidavit, cast a regular ballot, they'll have to file a provisional ballot that won't be counted, and that's a big problem for us.

SEN. URESTI: And we've heard over the past several hours of testimony from some of my colleagues that it's no big deal, that you can just show up with an electricity bill or another piece of documentation, but I would assume that many of our seniors may be widowed, and some of the documentation that they have was in their husband's name, for instance, or perhaps in their wife's name. And as you said, Mr. Kohrman, they may show up, they may be waiting for a ride from one of their sons or their neighbors at the end of the day. By the time they do show up to vote, they will not have that documentation. Do you think that's going to be a problem for our seniors when it comes time for voting?

MR. KOHRMAN: Well, we've heard from a

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC. 512.474.2233 TX_00004520 JA 003943

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1.8

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

25

number of people about problems with some of the specific alternative forms of identification that have been alluded to by some of the proponents. And of course older voters have and older persons have particular problems with some of those forms of ID, very much so. Older women may have been -- have moved They may have into the state from other states. marriage certificates from -- with a name that's -- in other words, the documents that they might need to get a birth certificate are different in name than their current married name, they've changed their name. And so if they have to get an out of state marriage document or divorce decree or birth certificate, they're going to have trouble. We've had problems in many of these southern states with older -- older woman, older men who were born -- and this really applies to people of color in particular who were born outside of hospitals and may not even be able to get a birth certificate. SEN. URESTI: Do you see any potential barriers for our seniors that are trying to obtain a photo identification? MR. KOHRMAN: Well, what is of concern, as I've said, is the fact that there's no -- there's no effort to notify them, and there's not a realistic

set of measures that will likely notify them.

1.3

1.4

Furthermore, there appears to be no plan or money for training of the actual people who will interact most often and most intensely at the polling place with all voters, but particularly older voters, election officers so called. There appears to be no money or plan for training these folks so that when people show up at the polls surprised by the new laws and the changes, what we're concerned about is that our people will have particular troubles, especially if a lot of folks that they're going to have to interact with are given insufficient training.

SEN. URESTI: Mr. Kohrman, do you think there will be additional barriers if this legislation does pass for the voters that may reside with family members who are in an assisted living facility or in a nursing home?

MR. KOHRMAN: Well, that raises the issue of outreach again. Our fundamental view is that -- and you've heard this from many people -- that this is an issue that is a solution that's looking for a problem. Our view of it is that what this chamber should focus on is the fact that there are lots of voters who need assistance and encouragement and facilitation to get them to vote.

2.0

We have lots of people, for instance, who change addresses when they move into different residential facilities when they become somewhat more infirm or interested in finding a situation where they can age in place and have access to medical care. When they change their address and if they don't have family who are looking out for them, what they may need is registrars who are going out to facilities where older people are to register people to vote and to help them to vote.

There are states across this country that have allocated resources, not to these ID checks that we feel are not very productive and not likely to solve this supposed problem of fraud, but instead of allocating their resources to seek out voters who have -- who are not participating but want to participate. So we would like -- we would like state officials and county officials to be seeking out older voters who are still capable of voting, but may have mobility challenges that keep them from voting like they did 10, 20 years ago.

SEN. URESTI: Mr. Kohrman, we've heard testimony about different forms of nonphoto identification, and one example that I heard earlier was Texas -- was a Medicaid card. Do you know if

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC. 512.474.2233

TX_00004523

JA 003946

Texas actually issues a Medicaid card?

1.0

2.0

MR. KOHRMAN: Well, I apologize. I don't really know that, but there may be -- there may be some forms of this photo ID that are available to many seniors, and we're not saying that this is an impossible situation, but I will say about the best you can say about this photo ID proposal is that it is not as harsh and not as bad as the most restrictive laws in a few states, but it imposes all kinds of burdens on voters who are the most committed to participating in our democracy, which are older voters, and it's going to make a lot of unnecessary impediments.

If you imagine the situation -- another situation that's covered by the law, which is someone who shows up at the polls who has their voting card but isn't on the rolls, and that's, I think, Section 9 of the bill. Right. It seems to me that that would be another situation where instead of requiring a photo ID, what you should require is a -- is an affidavit, which under other circumstances is provided for under current law.

A lot of these older voters are well known to people at their polling place. There's no need to impose these additional requirements. I would

1.7

2.0

2.1

find it highly dubious if I were to hear that there is any evidence or any record that older voters in particular pose a problem of fraud, that there's any worry that people 50 -- age 50 and older are the ones who have been identified as posing a risk of illegal voting activities.

What we need to do is to reach out, take advantage of the fact that these mature voters are very committed to participating and yet face a variety of challenges over time as they age that makes it more difficult for them to participate. This law raises barriers where there need be no such barrier.

SEN. URESTI: And, Mr. Kohrman, by placing those additional barriers through this bill on our seniors, do you -- is it your opinion that there will be some seniors that may not be able to vote come election day?

MR. KOHRMAN: Well, it's interesting. We've heard a lot of back and forth about what aggregate data shows. You know, a state -- a state shows big increases in turnout, and some people think they know exactly what the sole cause of that is, and supposedly it's photo ID.

I think -- and this is by way of answering your question. I think what we -- what

1.1

2.4

we -- what's most important here is what we don't see in the numbers. You can't measure the effect on people who are deterred from voting particularly when their numbers are swamped by turnout increases that result from a variety of causes. And what we fear is that lots of older voters -- and remember older voters are going to be a growing population. So to some degree if you just have a gradual growth in the turnout of older voters, that's a problem because that -- this should be a very significant growth trend as the population ages.

So what we're concerned about is that people will -- whether they're in their 50s and have disabilities, whether they're in their 40s, for that matter, but certainly greater numbers in their 60s and 70s who are very avid voters, you know, voting for some of our seniors is the highlight of the year or a highlight of their life. It shows that they are still active, they're still engaged, they're still contributing to society. And what we fear is that if the impediments grow and become more diverse, they're just not going to show up. And so what is important is what we won't see.

And again, when the numbers are growing in terms of older voters, what you'll see is an

```
And so people will say, "Well, older voters
 1
     increase.
 2
     are growing in greater numbers, so there's no
     problem," and we think that's just a very
 3
     short-sighted and narrow view that isn't called for.
 4
 5
                   SEN. URESTI: That's all the questions I
 6
     have, and thank you, Mr. Kohrman. Thank you,
 7
     Mr. Chairman.
                                 Thank you.
 8
                   MR. KOHRMAN:
 9
                   SEN. DUNCAN: The Chair recognizes
10
     Sen. Ogden.
11
                   SEN. OGDEN: Mr. Kohrman, I represent a
     lot of people in the AARP, and I wonder --
12
13
                   MR. KOHRMAN: Glad to hear that.
                   SEN. OGDEN: I wonder how you purport to
14
15
     represent them. How does the AARP, when you talk on
16
     behalf of the AARP, come to the position that you have
17
     espoused?
                   MR. KOHRMAN: Well, you may know, you
18
19
     may be surprised, I don't know. We spend a great deal
20
     of time and effort surveying our membership.
                                                    I would
21
     venture to say more so than any membership
22
     organization in the United States we allocate more
23
     resources.
24
                   SEN. OGDEN:
                                 So you surveyed your
25
     membership on this state law? Did you survey the
```

```
Texas membership, or did you just survey the
 1
     membership of the Continental United States?
 2
     membership did you survey?
 3
                   MR. KOHRMAN: Well, now you're -- now
 4
     you're taking what is a huge allocation of resources
 5
     suggesting it should be even probably more than anyone
 6
 7
     would suggest reasonable. No, Senator, we don't -- we
     do not every year survey nationally and statewide --
 8
                   SEN. OGDEN: All right. So then --
 9
10
                   MR. KOHRMAN: -- on every proposal, but
     we have surveyed on the specific issue of photo ID.
11
12
     I'm sorry. I'm not trying to filibuster here. I just
13
     want to answer on photo ID, but also more generally on
14
     government integrity.
15
                   SEN. OGDEN: All right. So what was the
16
     result of your survey on the Texas voter
1.7
     identification bill?
                   MR. KOHRMAN: Well, we haven't surveyed
18
     on the Texas bill because, of course, I don't think
19
2.0
     it's been out there very long, but we have the Georgia
21
     law, we have the Arizona law. And frankly, Senator,
22
     there is a commonality to a lot of these laws, and
23
     that's what we built on.
24
                   SEN. OGDEN:
                                 Okay. So what was the
25
     result of your survey amongst Texans who are members
```

```
of the AARP who you stand up here purporting to
 1
                 What is their opinion on the photo ID
 2
     represent?
            How do you know and how do you get -- how does
 3
     your organization determine that you have the right to
 4
     stand up and speak for them, some of whom are on this
 5
     floor right now and I think have absolutely no idea
 6
 7
     that the AARP has the position that you've espoused?
                                 Well, you know, Senator,
 8
                   MR. KOHRMAN:
 9
     we have an even more quick turnaround accountability
1.0
     system than even elected representatives who stand for
11
     election every two or four or six years, which is that
12
     our members can fire us at any time, and so --
13
                   SEN. OGDEN:
                                 Okay.
                                        So would it be
14
     correct to say that there is nothing specific in your
15
     organization rules that sets out a specific case that
16
     this is the position of the AARP, and it's based on a
     survey where the majority of the members or it's based
17
18
     on the majority of members expressing their opinion to
19
     you and you're just relaying what the majority told
20
     you?
21
                   MR. KOHRMAN: We have a several hundred
22
     page policy book.
23
                   SEN. OGDEN:
                                 Okay.
24
                                 And I quoted to you the
                   MR. KOHRMAN:
25
     two fundamental propositions in that book about
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

election restrictions, and they are that voting should be fair, easy and accessible. And that in regard to the -- I'm sorry. The second one is in regard to issues of fraud that it's an important priority, but never should be stressed to the exclusion of the principle of expanding access to the ballot. SEN. OGDEN: Okay. I understand that general statement, but I'm going to say that the many thousand people in my district who are members of the AARP, I believe that they do not support this position, and I believe that you do not have any evidence to counter my statement. MR. KOHRMAN: Well, we also have a process that is a grassroots process. SEN. OGDEN: Okay. MR. KOHRMAN: Every year we go state by state, we go policy by policy and we go soup to nuts ground up, and all of our policies are subject to review, analysis by all of our members. SEN. OGDEN: All right. MR. KOHRMAN: And, Senator, I have had to answer innumerable criticisms, complaints and questions from individual members over the seven years I've been with the organization about sentences, words, paragraphs in that policy book.

```
SEN. OGDEN:
                                 Okay. Mr. Kohrman, I
 1
     understand and think I made my point, and I hope I've
 2
     given you a fair opportunity to respond.
 3
                   MR. KOHRMAN: No, no, I --
 4
 5
                   SEN. OGDEN:
                                Let me ask, how do you know
     who your members are?
 6
 7
                   MR. KOHRMAN:
                                 Well, I'm not quite sure.
 8
     I see someone holding up a card up in the gallery, so
 9
     I quess that's one way.
10
                   SEN. OGDEN: How do you --
                   MR. KOHRMAN: I'm not sure I get your
11
12
     drift.
             I'm not trying to be evasive.
13
                    SEN. OGDEN:
                                How do you sign up for the
1.4
            How do you even know who is eligible to be in
     AARP?
     the AARP?
15
16
                   MR. KOHRMAN:
                                 Well, you know, that's an
17
     interesting mystery that the membership people handle,
     and I'm not trying to be cute. I don't know.
18
19
     assume we gather all kinds of information about
20
     individuals through various mailing lists and send out
     lots and lots of direct mail.
                                     But we know who our
21
22
     members are because we spend a lot of effort keeping
23
     track of them, and we know where they live and what
     their interests are.
24
25
                    SEN. OGDEN: Is it possible that -- is
```

```
1
     it possible that you use forms of identification to
 2
     identify your members, and is it possible that you
 3
     send out membership cards that identify your members?
                                  Sure, sure.
                    MR. KOHRMAN:
 4
 5
                    SEN. OGDEN: And do you have a
     reasonable level of confidence that these people are
 6
 7
     who they say they are?
 8
                    MR. KOHRMAN:
                                  Sure.
 9
                    SEN. OGDEN: Okay.
                                        So --
1.0
                    MR. KOHRMAN: Can I just add there,
11
     Senator?
               We have no reason to believe that people
12
     would impersonate someone else in taking an AARP
13
     membership, and I think that principle is the same one
1.4
     applicable here.
15
                    SEN. OGDEN:
                                 I understand that, but what
16
     I'm saying is you know who your members are because
17
     you require some form of identification in order to
18
     sign them up.
19
                    MR. KOHRMAN: No, we don't.
2.0
                    SEN. OGDEN:
                                 That identification is
21
     either through some sort of list that you've obtained
22
     identifying people who are over a certain age; that
23
     identification is associated with a form they fill out
24
     to sign; that identification is associated with a
25
     membership card; that identification is associated
```

```
with maybe some commercial transaction; I mean,
 1
 2
     identification is involved throughout this process.
     So my question is, can you think of a single member in
 3
     the AARP that could not meet the requirements in this
 4
 5
     bill, a single one? And tell me who that is.
                   MR. KOHRMAN: Well, I would point --
 6
 7
                    SEN. OGDEN: Give us one person who
 8
     could not -- could not meet the requirements of this
 9
     bill if they are members of the AARP and have an
10
     identification card that says "I'm a card-carrying
11
     member of the AARP." Give me one person who couldn't
12
     meet the requirements in this bill.
13
                   MR. KOHRMAN: Well, I tell you that I
14
     think that's a good question, and I regret not
15
     checking all the people mentioned in my testimony so
16
     that I could answer that question.
17
                    SEN. OGDEN:
                                 Well, I'm going to suggest
18
     to you that there's not a single member in the AARP
19
     who could not meet the requirements in this bill.
20
                                 Well, Senator, that may be
                   MR. KOHRMAN:
21
     right, but I doubt it, and I think you have no basis
22
     for that statement.
23
                    SEN. OGDEN: Yes, I do.
24
                   MR. KOHRMAN: And if there is a basis
     for that statement, it's that frankly our members are
2.5
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

1.7

1.8

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

among the most energetic, active seniors there are in They are also more on average well off the country. than your typical senior. They're probably more healthy than your typical senior, and they don't have a lot of the challenges. One of the things that AARP has done in the last two years is to put on our priority list in the AARP Foundation where all the legal advocates are like me, a new focus on low-income seniors, and the focus is on people who we're not likely to get as members because for them maybe even \$12 a year is more than they want to contribute. SEN. OGDEN: Well, okay, and I understand that we're talking about all the voters, but as a representative of the AARP, I assume you're speaking on behalf of your membership using a very vague standard of what it is that they support and not support, and that there is no evidence in your testimony or in my questions to suggest that there's a single member in the AARP who couldn't meet the requirements of this bill. And so I don't have anything else to add. Well, I'm not sure what MR. KOHRMAN:

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC. 512.474.2233

TX_00004534

JA 003957

The point is that this

the point is, Senator. It seems to me --

SEN. OGDEN:

```
testimony is -- well, I don't have any more to add.
 1
 2
                   MR. KOHRMAN: Well, let me just respond.
     It seems to me when you come to fundamental rights,
 3
     the burden is on the proponents of this bill to
 4
 5
     justify it. If we were talking about regulating
 6
     advertising or some other interest that is not
 7
     fundamental to what it is to be an American, I would
     take on the burden and acknowledge that it's, you
 8
 9
     know, my burden to perhaps justify in excruciating
10
     detail the names and addresses of people who couldn't
11
     be covered by your bill. But it seems to me the shoe
12
     is on the other foot when it comes to voting.
13
                   And to say that when there is no
14
     evidence of harm, but it is speculative harm that
15
     frankly -- another thing that concerns us, Senator, is
16
     that proponents of these bills are creating their own
17
     problem to be solved by complaining and suggesting to
18
     our members and other seniors and voters all over the
19
     country that there is a fraud problem that cannot be
2.0
     demonstrated, that then needs to be solved by forcing
21
     voters to jump through additional hoops.
                                                That seems
22
     to us is an unnecessary --
23
                    SEN. OGDEN:
                                 Okay.
24
                   MR. KOHRMAN: -- an unnecessary and
     unfortunate misallocation of time.
25
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SEN. OGDEN: All right. Mr. Kohrman, and I would suggest to you that the hoops that you've got to jump through to become a member of the AARP are more onerous than the hoops you've got to jump through in order to vote under this bill. And I would also suggest to you that you're perfectly within your rights to testify as an individual, but I think your testimony representing the AARP lacks merit and substance. MR. KOHRMAN: Well, we're very comfortable with our position on this bill, and we feel very confident that our members believe in an expansion of political participation rather than measures likely to discourage it and reduce it. SEN. DUNCAN: Thank you, Sen. Ogden. Senator Patrick? SEN. PATRICK: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I've heard a number of witnesses continue to say something that I believe our last witness shows it is not correct. You said there's no evidence of fraudulent voting, and our last witness from Harris County clearly showed there was fraudulent voting there. So would you like to correct that statement? MR. KOHRMAN: On the contrary, he showed no evidence whatsoever of fraudulent voting. What he

```
showed was evidence of fraudulent registrations, and
 1
     those are two very different things.
 2
                   Moreover, what's so stunning about this
 3
     whole debate is that there are innumerable forms of
 4
 5
     electoral malfeasants, but this kind of law gets at
                     The only thing it does, as Ms. Wang
 6
     none of those.
 7
     said at the very beginning of this long debate, the
 8
     only thing that this bill can prevent is in-person
 9
     impersonation, and the notion that people --
10
                    SEN. PATRICK: Let me -- excuse me.
     didn't ask that question. The question was our last
11
12
     witness clearly indicated, proved that people voted on
13
     behalf of dead people. So don't sit there and say
14
     there's been no evidence.
15
                   Secondly, in terms of AARP, did I
16
     clearly hear you say to Sen. Ogden that AARP members
17
     are more affluent, more well informed, very active,
18
     and basically what I heard you say was AARP members
19
     wouldn't be impacted by this bill. Is that correct?
20
                   MR. KOHRMAN:
                                 Well, let me answer --
21
                    SEN. PATRICK:
                                   That's a yes or no.
22
     that correct?
23
                   MR. KOHRMAN: Well, you asked me two
24
     questions.
25
                                   I'm asking you one
                    SEN. PATRICK:
```

```
question now. Based on your testimony previously --
 1
 2
                   MR. KOHRMAN: Uh-huh.
                    SEN. PATRICK: -- you said that your
 3
     concern was for those members that may not -- or those
 4
 5
     people who may not be -- can't afford $12 a month to
     be in AARP, that AARP members wouldn't be impacted.
 6
 7
     So is your testimony that AARP members won't be
 8
                That's what you said a moment ago.
     impacted?
 9
                   MR. KOHRMAN:
                                  They will be impacted
     in -- to a lesser degree on average than seniors
10
11
     generally and certainly --
12
                    SEN. PATRICK: How much is lesser,
13
     5 percent?
                   MR. KOHRMAN: -- low-income seniors.
14
15
                    SEN. PATRICK:
                                   5 percent?
                                               What's
16
     lesser, 99, 1 percent?
17
                                 There's no disputing that
                   MR. KOHRMAN:
18
     this is a small percentage of the overall electorate,
19
     and the question is whether the proponents of this
20
     bill care about the absolute number of people.
21
                    SEN. PATRICK:
                                   And do you have any proof
22
     of even a lesser percent? Can you present any
     evidence, solid evidence, that any member of AARP will
23
24
     be impacted by this bill? Do you have any evidence?
25
                   MR. KOHRMAN: Our surveys shows that
```

```
small percentages consistent with all the surveys --
 1
                   SEN. PATRICK:
                                  Is there a name?
 2
                   MR. KOHRMAN: -- have concerns that they
 3
     would not be able to provide --
 4
 5
                    SEN. PATRICK: Is there a name?
                   MR. KOHRMAN: -- the kind of ID that is
 6
 7
     required in these laws.
                                   So you don't have any
 8
                    SEN. PATRICK:
             You just have this kind of general small
 9
10
     percentage.
11
                    So let me ask you this question: Have
12
     you done a survey of Senate District 7 in Harris
1.3
     County?
                   MR. KOHRMAN: Can I answer? Because my
14
15
     answer was just given to me when it was not the answer
16
     I would have given.
                    SEN. PATRICK: Let me -- let me ask
17
     another question. Did you survey any one in Senate
18
     District 7 in Harris County who is a member of AARP?
19
20
                   MR. KOHRMAN: Not as far as I know.
                                   Okay. Would it shock you
21
                    SEN. PATRICK:
     if I told you that the majority of seniors in Senate
22
23
     District 7 support voter ID?
24
                   MR. KOHRMAN: I would challenge you to
25
     demonstrate that you've surveyed them and know who
```

```
those members are.
 1
                    SEN. PATRICK: Oh, I can -- oh, I can
 2
     assure you I meet with hundreds, thousands, I talk
 3
     with them, I know my district, I'm very well connected
 4
     to the people in my district. And the seniors of my
 5
     district, many who are members of AARP, support this
 6
 7
     bill.
            Thank you.
                                         All I can --
 8
                   MR. KOHRMAN:
                                 Okay.
                    SEN. PATRICK: I have no further
 9
10
     questions.
                 Thank you.
11
                    SEN. DUNCAN: Sen. Williams, you're
1.2
     recognized.
13
                                    Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
                   SEN. WILLIAMS:
14
     You know, I've just -- during this discussion I've
15
     just been on your Website here, and I've gone to the
16
     policy and research, and I went to election issues and
1.7
     scanned every article that you have on your Website
1.8
     here under that category, and there is not a single
19
     article in here about photo ID voting. And so I put
2.0
     that under the search, policy and research, and I came
21
     up with one article that says "the Supreme Court
22
     upholds the voter -- voting ID laws. The U.S. Supreme
23
     Court upheld an Indiana law despite acknowledging it
24
     burdens poor people." I mean, you've got one article
25
     on here that you have to really go to a lot of
```

trouble.

1.8

2.0

I think it's so disingenuous for you to come here and say that you represent all the members of AARP when I have done surveys in my district -- you know, I've got 750,000 constituents just like every other member of the Senate does here -- and I find consistently across all age brackets and across all ethnic lines, they all support this measure. I just -- I don't see how you can sit here and assert this and offer no statistical proof or anything in writing that you've ever surveyed any of our districts. This is ridiculous. You are a political hack coming in here and asserting this stuff that you don't have any basis for whatsoever.

MR. KOHRMAN: Senator, I'd be happy to help you with your Internet search skills any time and provide you with a lengthy list of the Web links to the various articles on the various cases, but -
SEN. WILLIAMS: You know, I've just

searched your Website.

MR. KOHRMAN: I understand, Senator, and obviously you have some limitations in your search skills because we have -- I've told you the number of cases on this issue that we have, and you haven't found them.

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC. 512.474.2233 TX_00004541 JA 003964

```
SEN. DUNCAN: Sir, I'm sorry, I think
 1
 2
     the question --
                   MR. KOHRMAN: Okay.
 3
                    SEN. DUNCAN: -- there is no other
 4
     members queued up, so you are excused. Thank you for
 5
 6
     your testimony.
 7
                    MR. KOHRMAN:
                                 Thank you.
 8
                    TESTIMONY BY COBY SHORTER
 9
                    SEN. DUNCAN: The Chair calls Coby
10
               Mr. Shorter, I think, has been listed as a
     Shorter.
11
     resource witness.
12
                   MR. KOHRMAN: Actually, Mr. Chairman --
13
     Mr. Chairman?
14
                                 Sir, you're not recognized
                    SEN. DUNCAN:
15
     at this point, at this time.
16
                   MR. KOHRMAN: I've been -- I've been
17
     criticized without a chance to respond by three
     Senators.
18
19
                    SEN. DUNCAN: You're out of order;
20
     you're out of order. You'll have to leave.
21
                   MR. KOHRMAN:
                                  Okay.
22
                    SEN. DUNCAN:
                                 Thank you.
23
                   MR. KOHRMAN: I was just going to
24
     request a chance to respond in writing.
25
                    SEN. DUNCAN: Mr. Shorter, you'll need
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

1.7

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
to state your name and the office that you're with,
and you'll have ten minutes as the other witnesses,
and then you'll be subject to questions at that time.
Go ahead. Do you have any written materials?
              MR. SHORTER:
                           No, sir.
              SEN. DUNCAN:
                            Okay.
              MR. SHORTER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman
and Senators. I am Coby Shorter, the Deputy Secretary
of State for the State of Texas, and it's a pleasure
to be here with you this morning.
              First of all, I just want to say on
behalf of Secretary Andrade, she sends her regrets for
not being here, and I have been invited here to be a
resource to you on some of the issues that you are
debating, and hopefully the information that I am able
to provide through answering your questions will help
you in your deliberations.
              I only say this: It is our goal as the
Secretary of State's Office, the chief elections
office, to make sure that every election in Texas is
fair, every election in Texas is credible and
accessible to all the Texas voters. And our office
recognizes the importance of protecting the integrity
of elections and ensuring that all eligible Texans
have the opportunity to participate in the Democratic
```

process.

1.4

So with that said, please know that whatever deliberations and whatever bills you pass related to elections, we look forward to working with you and helping you to -- helping you in terms of implementing the bills that you pass.

With that, I would ask that since I am a resource, that I'm here to answer questions. We also have, Mr. Chairman, our General Counsel John Sepehri here to answer questions as well, but we are open now to any questions we may -- you may have for us today, this morning.

QUESTIONS FROM SENATE FLOOR

SEN. DUNCAN: The Chair recognizes Sen. Fraser.

SEN. FRASER: Deputy Secretary Shorter, thank you for being here. We -- it doesn't escape us that you've been sitting over here since ten o'clock this morning being available to this body. And as someone that serves the state, we appreciate you being here.

The questions that I have today for you are -- I think I want some clarification, making sure that the bill that I'm laying out that I am understanding correctly the interpretation of someone

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC. 512.474.2233

TX_00004544

JA 003967

```
that fills out an application, sends it in, receives a
 1
     registration card and then takes that registration
 2
     card and attempts to vote with that.
 3
                                  Yes, sir.
                   MR. SHORTER:
 4
                   SEN. FRASER: And I guess the first
 5
     question I would have is the election code is under
 6
     Chapter 63 and, in fact, the start of that is
 7
 8
     Section 63.001, the Regular Procedure for Accepting a
 9
     Voter.
             Do you happen to have that --
10
                   MR. SHORTER:
                                 Yes, sir, I do.
                   SEN. FRASER: -- that law in front of
11
12
     you?
          And I would ask you -- if possible I'd like to
13
     walk through and make sure I understand the Texas law
14
     and what provides for the ability for someone to vote.
15
                   Here in my hand I have the voter
16
     registration card that I believe that is issued.
17
     that correct? It is issued to a voter. It looks like
18
     it is mailed out, and this would be the card that I
     would use when I would walk into the --
19
20
                   MR. SHORTER: Senator, that does appear
21
     to be our voter registration card.
22
                   SEN. FRASER: And it looks like -- it
23
     says "Except as otherwise provided, acceptance of
24
     voters shall be conducted as provided" under this
25
     section. "(b) On offering to vote, a voter must
```

```
present the voter's voter registration certificate to
 1
 2
     an election officer at the polling place." So it
     appears to me that if I walk in a polling place and I
 3
     take this voting card and I show it to the person at
 4
 5
     the polling place, the first thing they're going to do
 6
     is accept this card that I'm offering.
 7
                                 That is correct, sir.
                   MR. SHORTER:
 8
                   SEN. FRASER: Okay. "(c) On
 9
     presentation of a registration certificate, an
     election officer shall determine whether the voter's
10
11
     name is on the registration certificate is on the list
12
     of registered voters for the precinct." So I'm
13
     assuming that after I hand him the card, if I remember
14
     correctly, they've got a list in front them, they look
15
     and find my name on the list, they look at my address
16
     and they determine am I voting in the right precinct.
1.7
     I think -- is that what they're looking for?
18
                   MR. SHORTER:
                                 Yes, sir.
19
                                  Okay. "(d) If the voter's
                    SEN. FRASER:
2.0
     name on the precinct list of registered voters, the
21
     voter shall be accepted for voting."
22
                   MR. SHORTER:
                                  That is correct.
23
                    SEN. FRASER: Now, is that what happens?
24
                   MR. SHORTER: Yes, sir, that is the --
25
     what it's going to say on that.
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1.0

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
SEN. FRASER: Okay.
                                   I want to clarify.
On this card, there's several other things on the
       One of them is, it has date of birth.
the person that I'm giving this to looking at that
date of birth, and has it been reflected in state law
that that's something they check?
              MR. SHORTER: According to state law
right now, as it is written now, date of birth is not
something that is checked.
              SEN. FRASER: On this card, it has an
area for my sex. We've had a lot of fun today with
the sex change argument, but on the card it says that
there is a registration for someone's sex.
                                            My card
says "male," and I put it there. Is that something
when I hand this card to the registration person that
they would be verifying on the card?
              MR. SHORTER:
                           They would not be
verifying it under current law.
              SEN. FRASER:
                           Okay.
                                   So let me -- let me
make sure I understand the way this works. I have a
card in my possession.
                      I walk in and I give this to
the person.
            They look at the list, they determine I'm
in the right precinct. If I'm on the list and I'm in
the right precinct, they hand me my card back, and
they hand me a ballot, and I go over and vote.
```

1 that correct? MR. SHORTER: Yes, sir. 2 SEN. FRASER: Okay. Well, I'm a little 3 confused about how -- what could happen because let me 4 continue this questioning here. I live in Horseshoe 5 Bay, Texas. It is a small community, a retirement 6 community, which by the way, most of them are AARP 7 8 members. And the retirement people there that still are going to vote, when they walk in, the people in 9 10 the polling place, they know me as their Senator. And 11 if I walked in and I brought my voting card and I put 1.2 it in, they'd say "Senator, it's good to have you 13 today," and I would register and I would vote and then 14 I would walk back out to my car. 15 But what would happen after I voted 16 that -- in my car I had my brother Steve's voter 1.7 registration card, and I walked back into that polling 18 place that I just left and I laid Steve Fraser's 19 voting card down and said "I'm here to vote." Now, 2.0 the registrar probably would say "Well, Senator, you 2.1 were just here, and you just voted." And I said "No, 22 I'm Steve Fraser. I'm his twin brother. I'd like to 23 vote." What authorization under state law does that 24 polling place person have to tell me that I am not 25 authorized to vote?

```
MR. SHORTER:
                                 Under current state law,
 1
 2
     there is no authorization to prevent that polling
 3
     person from --
                   SEN. FRASER: So if I present my
 4
     brother's card and even though they know or they
 5
     suspect -- greatly suspect that I am not Steve Fraser,
 6
 7
     do they have the authorization under state law to stop
 8
     me from voting?
 9
                   MR. SHORTER:
                                 I don't think -- based on
10
     my understanding of state law and based on my
     consultation with our staff, they don't have the
11
12
     authorization to stop you.
13
                   SEN. FRASER:
                                  Okay. Let me -- let me
     carry it a step further. Let's say that I'm not in
14
     Horseshoe Bay. I'm in Houston, Texas. And in
15
16
     Houston, Texas if I was voting, probably they wouldn't
17
     have any idea who I was. And I walked in the voting
18
     booth and I didn't have my card or my brother's card,
     I had my wife Linda's card, and I went in to vote.
19
20
     And my name -- Linda Fraser's name was on the list in
21
     the precinct.
                    They would check and see if her name
22
     was there, and they would check the address, and I'm
2.3
     in the right place, would they hand me a ballot and
24
     allow me to vote? Is there anything under state law
25
     that they would check the person verifying the -- you
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1.8

19

2.0

21

22

23

2.4

25

know, that I'm not Linda Fraser in that? Is there anything under state law that would cause them or allow them not to allow me to vote? MR. SHORTER: Senator, under these provisions of the law as they are, there are no provisions that would prevent that. Okay. Let's carry it a SEN. FRASER: There was a case that was represented step further. to me this week -- there have been a lot of these now that we've been working on this -- this happened in Plano. A poll worker in Plano had a lady came in, bright red hair, big blue hat, feathers on the hat, one of those people you would remember when they came She voted. An hour later she came back in with somebody else's registration card, went down to the next poll person and was registering to vote. The person she just voted with went to the election judge and said "This person was just They just voted. She's trying to vote again," here. and the election judge told them "I'm sorry. nothing under state law to stop them. You have to allow them to vote." Now, is that -- under current law could that have happened? MR. SHORTER: Under current law as it is written, that could have happened, yes, sir.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

25

Okay. Let's carry it a SEN. FRASER: step further. Let's assume there's an unscrupulous person that has the address of someone that they know was a registered voter and that person has passed away. Let me back up and ask the question. My understanding is that when someone dies that your office requests death records, and that you now have the ability to try to take people off the roll. Is that correct? Well, Senator, what MR. SHORTER: happens on a weekly basis, the Bureau of Vital Statistics submits to our office their records on deceased individuals, and we forward that information to the counties for that person to be taken off the roll. How long does it take for SEN. FRASER: that data to -- to have the person deceased till you get it and you get them taken off, what is the time lag? Senator, I don't know the MR. SHORTER: exact timeline that it takes, but the challenge that we sometimes have is that the information that is forwarded to our office from vital statistics, there's a lag between the time that we get it and the time the individual sometimes actually has expired.

```
I've been told it's six
                    SEN. FRASER:
 1
              Is that the average time that it takes to
 2
     remove them off the roll?
 3
                   MR. SHORTER: We do have instances of
 4
 5
     knowing it has taken six months.
                                  Okay. If it took six
 6
                    SEN. FRASER:
 7
     months and if someone died and if someone sent in a
     letter of a change of address and said that person
 8
 9
     just died, asked for a new registration card to be
10
     sent to X address and they did that with every one
11
     that died during that period, and there were as many
     as 30 or 40 or 50 of these people and the same
12
13
     address -- request change that went to the same
     address, do you have the ability or does the County
14
15
     Clerk have the ability to catch that under our current
16
     system?
17
                   MR. SHORTER: If they all went to the
     same address?
18
19
                    SEN. FRASER: If someone sent in a
20
     change of address --
21
                    MR. SHORTER:
                                  Yes, sir.
22
                    SEN. FRASER:
                                 -- of a valid voter and
23
     said "Send me their registration card and send it to
24
     this address" --
                    MR. SHORTER: Yes, sir.
25
```

```
SEN. FRASER: -- and whether it was one
 1
     or two or ten or thirty or fifty, that they change
 2
     that address, if someone sends you in a change of
 3
     address, would you likely send it to that address?
 4
                   MR. SHORTER: Yes, sir, we would.
 5
                   SEN. FRASER:
                                  So it's possible that
 6
 7
     someone could collect, could harvest multiple cards at
 8
     this address. Hypothetically is it possible they
 9
     could hand them out to random people that didn't
10
     belong to the card, and that person -- the random
     person could walk in with the fake card and give it to
11
12
     the person at the polling place and vote that card?
13
                   MR. SHORTER:
                                 Hypothetically, yes,
14
     Senator.
15
                                 Well, hypothetically if it
                   SEN. FRASER:
     could happen and someone could do it, we have to
16
17
     assume that somebody has thought about that, and that
18
     some of these people that we've heard on these stories
19
     of people that were dead that voted multiple times
2.0
     possibly that could have been what happened.
                                                    Is that
     correct?
21
                                 Yes, sir.
22
                   MR. SHORTER:
23
                                  Okay. If Senate Bill 362
                   SEN. FRASER:
24
     was in place and that person that stole that
25
     identification or stole the card or the Troy Fraser
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1.1

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

```
that was voting Steve Fraser's card, if they had to
come in and show either a photo ID proving who they
were or they had other means of identification that
they would have to show, would that not give us a lot
better chance of identifying that that person is
fraudulently voting?
              MR. SHORTER: Yes, sir, if you could
verify that.
              SEN. FRASER:
                            Okay. Well, let's change
this for a second. I have the -- your voter
registration card here in front of me. I know it
looks like it's got a lot of spaces for things to fill
out, but down at the bottom it's got a place to fill
in your driver's license number, and there's another
place that says your social security card number.
                           Yes, sir.
              MR. SHORTER:
              SEN. FRASER:
                            The data that I received
from you it looked like that because of motor voter
we're receiving about -- I think the number is
somewhere in the high 80s. You know, 75 to 80 percent
of people right now are using their driver's license
number. There is a smaller number, you know, 10,
15 percent uses a social security number, but there
were a number of people -- I think there were 3,700
people in Texas last year -- 37,000 people in Texas
```

```
last year that used neither.
                                    They said "I don't have
 1
     a driver's license.
                          I don't have a social security
 2
     card," and they turned this in.
 3
                   Now, if they turn this in to the
 4
 5
     Secretary of State or to the registrar in Houston, the
     guy that was just up, once they do that, would this be
 6
 7
     processed, and will they be issued -- even though they
     have no forms of identification, they don't give you a
 8
     driver's license number or a social security card, all
 9
     they gave you was just a blank card, will they be
10
11
     issued a voter registration card?
12
                   MR. SHORTER: Senator, they will be
13
     issued a voter registration card if they sign the
     affirmation statement at the bottom.
14
15
                    SEN. FRASER: If they sign the bottom
     saying "I'm who I say I am" on the bottom, they send
16
17
     this in, they're going to get a registration card?
                                  That is correct.
18
                   MR. SHORTER:
19
                    SEN. FRASER: Okay. But I also
2.0
     understand that when they go to vote there's going to
21
     be a flag on that, and when they come in they've got
     to show something to prove that they are who just
22
2.3
     signed up.
                 Is that correct?
24
                    MR. SHORTER: Yes, sir, they will have
25
     to
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

1.7

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

```
SEN. FRASER:
                           Okay. But you also -- if
I understood the person from Houston, is that when
they fill this out, they mail them a notice that
they're going to have to provide some kind of
identification. And if they took that letter that
they just mailed them in and said "Here is my proof of
identification. They just mailed this to me,"
basically they could game the system by showing no
identification, mail it to the address, take that as
their form of identification, and they could use --
and let me give you kind of a ridiculous case. But if
I filled this out as Mickey Mouse and it was 103
Lighthouse Drive and I sent it in, would you send me a
card for Mickey Mouse?
              MR. SHORTER: You would get a card,
Senator, if you have a -- have signed the affirmation.
              SEN. FRASER: If I sign the bottom of
it, you're going to send me a card for Mickey Mouse.
Okav.
      Now I've got a registration card that says
Mickey Mouse. I'm going to walk in to my precinct
with that card, and you've also sent me a notice
saying I've got to show other identification. I take
the letter you just mailed me, walk in to my polling
place, I lay down my Mickey Mouse card, I also lay
down the letter you just mailed me, if I give them
```

```
1
     that, are they going to allow me to vote?
 2
                   MR. SHORTER:
                                 If you're using that
     letter -- if we're talking about the letter from the
 3
     government agency, it will be counted as a form of
 4
 5
     identification.
                   SEN. FRASER:
                                  Okay.
                                         So if someone is
 6
 7
     unscrupulous and they know how to do this, let's say
 8
     some random group like ACORN that decided they wanted
 9
     to try to use something to register people to try to
10
     get a card and to game the system and then to go in
11
     and vote and falsify that vote by not giving the
1.2
     proper identification, the scenario that I just laid
13
     out, is that possible under current law?
1.4
                                 It is possible, Senator.
                   MR. SHORTER:
15
                   SEN. FRASER:
                                  Okay. In the this last
16
     election cycle -- and I'm sorry. I'm not going to ask
17
     you that question there. We should have asked the
18
     registrar because of the people that voted late in
19
     this last election cycle I have been told that they
2.0
     suspected thousands and thousands of that scenario
21
     that I just suggested.
22
                   But if I took that Mickey Mouse voter ID
23
     and I laid it in front of the person, would the person
24
     say "Thank you, Mr. Mouse. Here is your card," and
25
     they would allow me to vote? Is that not correct?
```

```
Theoretically, Senator,
                   MR. SHORTER:
 1
 2
     that -- that could happen.
                                  Okay. So I guess what I'm
 3
                   SEN. FRASER:
     trying to establish with you is that it sounds like
 4
 5
     today if I want to game the system and I want to
     cheat, it's very difficult for either the Secretary of
 6
 7
     the State or that election clerk or that election
 8
     judge to identify that I'm cheating and know for sure.
     Is that correct? Especially if I'm voting in Houston
 9
10
     or Dallas or someplace where they have no reason to
11
     know who I am, is it difficult -- would you say that
12
     it is difficult for them to identify, to determine for
1.3
     sure, that that person representing themself as Mickey
14
     Mouse really is Mickey Mouse?
15
                   MR. SHORTER: Senator, I would say that
     there may be -- they may have an opportunity to
16
1.7
     identify it.
                   However, to do something about it based
18
     on what is currently in statute would be difficult.
                                         Well, actually that
19
                    SEN. FRASER:
                                 Okay.
20
     was the next question. It's difficult to identify,
21
     but it sounds like it's even more difficult to proceed
22
     to prosecute because if you can't identify it, you
23
     don't have the authority to ask them questions to
     prove who they are. And even if you think you know
24
25
     that it's the wrong person, if you accuse them of
```

```
doing that, there's really nothing under current law
 1
     to allow you to do that, is there?
 2
                                 Well, they're a registrar
                   MR. SHORTER:
 3
     who has some concerns, has reasonable concerns, does
 4
     have the capacity to challenge, but there's not
 5
     provisions for once those challenges are made for you
 6
 7
     to do much with it.
                   SEN. FRASER: Okay. Under current law,
 8
 9
     as we say here, even if there's a challenge -- and
     let's say that -- let's go back to the Horseshoe
10
     Bay -- let's go to the Horseshoe Bay example.
11
12
                   MR. SHORTER:
                                 Yes, sir.
                   SEN. FRASER: If I voted twice there,
1.3
1.4
     they'd know it was me and probably they would say it's
15
     a challenge, and they probably could call the D.A. and
     say "The Senator just voted twice. We need to check
16
1.7
     into it." But the question is, I just voted twice, I
18
     just placed two ballots, what would happen to those
     ballots? Would they be put in the pile to be counted?
19
2.0
                   MR. SHORTER:
                                 Yes.
21
                   SEN. FRASER: Okay.
                                         So I just voted
22
     illegally. You knew I voted illegally. You're going
23
     to report me to the D.A., but I just voted. And if
     that is a close election, that County Commissioner
24
25
     that I voted for, and they're going to be within one
```

```
or two votes, I just impacted an election by voting
 1
 2
     illegally.
                   MR. SHORTER:
                                 Would you allow me to
 3
     defer to my general counsel on whether or not that
 4
     actual vote would count twice?
 5
                   SEN. FRASER: I'm sorry. I didn't say
 6
 7
     it was going to count twice.
                                    I voted twice.
     saying I voted as Troy Fraser and I voted as Steve
 8
     Fraser, and both of those votes I voted under current
 9
           The vote, my understanding is, and I've asked
1.0
     law.
     the registrar of these counties what they would do, if
11
     someone comes and votes and they place a vote, they
12
13
     have to put it in the pile to count. But even if
     there's appeal, they could pursue it and possibly get
14
     an indictment. But I think what you're saying is if
15
     it's hard to catch them, it's even much harder to
16
17
     prosecute.
                   MR. SHORTER: And, Senator, on that --
18
     on that particular question, I think my best response
19
     to you is for you to allow me the opportunity to
2.0
     research that one and get back with you as soon as
21
22
     possible --
                   SEN. FRASER: Okay. Okay.
                                                That's good.
23
24
                   MR. SHORTER: -- because I'm really not
25
     clear on that one.
```

1.7

SEN. FRASER: The other question I would ask you and that I want to clarify, if someone suspects the scenario that we just talked about where they suspect somebody has voted illegally, it got put in the pile to count and they think that they voted twice like the lady with the big hat, if that is referred to someone to check it out, if it happens in Dallas, probably that's going to go to the District Attorney, or they could send it to -- directly to the Attorney General, or it's possible it could be sent to you, but if they send it to you, aren't you going to refer it to the District Attorney and the AG? Is that correct?

MR. SHORTER: If a complaint is sent to the Secretary of State's Office, our office looks at the complaint, and there's a general assumption among the staff and the Secretary of State's Office and that assumption is if the information that is being presented on that — on that complaint is actually considered true, and if it's true we — of course understand, Senator, our office does not do the investigation. But if the allegations as presented would present a crime under the elections code, our office would refer it to the Attorney General's Office.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

Okay. And I guess the SEN. FRASER: follow-up question to that is if it's hard to identify and it's hard to prosecute and there's two other sources, the assumption is that the number of these going to your office probably -- is it great? they're having trouble identifying it and they're having trouble prosecuting it, do you --MR. SHORTER: Correct. Senator, the number -- in terms of -- I can give you some statistics. In terms of the Secretary of State's Office since September 1, 2007, there were 50 written complaints sent to our office, and those -- those were -- our office looked through those. We looked at them to see if there was merit. Actually related to -- complaints related to voter impersonation, we found about seven of those complaints. Two of them were actually referred, one was not referred, and one is pending. One is pending with our office now to be actually referred to the AG's Office. SEN. FRASER: Thank you, Mr. Shorter. do appreciate the information. Sen. Van de Putte or --SEN. DUNCAN: Sen. Van de Putte? And the court reporter has been going for about almost two hours. If we could -- you take as long as you need, but I would propose that we

```
let her take a break in about ten minutes, if we
 1
 2
     could.
                    SEN. VAN de PUTTE:
                                        Thank you,
 3
     Mr. Chairman, and thank you very much for being here
 4
     particularly in the late -- excuse me -- the early
 5
     hour that we're in now in the next day.
 6
 7
                    I wanted to ask a few of the questions
 8
     earlier yesterday. In speaking to Sen. Fraser when he
     laid out the bill, I asked several questions at that
 9
           He said that the Secretary of State's Office
10
     time.
     would be the most appropriate. So the questions that
11
12
     I'm asking you are actually the ones that Sen. Fraser
13
     had asked me to ask.
                        And please give our regards to my
14
     dear friend and fellow San Antonian Hope Andrade.
1.5
                    I wanted to ask you if you would just
16
17
     take a look at the bill, and hopefully you have a copy
18
     of that or your general counsel has that for you.
                                                          On
     the first page in Section 15.005 --
19
2.0
                   MR. SHORTER: Uh-huh.
                    SEN. VAN de PUTTE: -- there's a
21
22
     timeline between the requirements when each voter
     registration certificate issued under Section 13.142
23
24
     or renewal registration certificate issued under
25
     Section 14.001. In the Senate Bill that is proposed,
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
we have to do a lot of changes to educate our election
judges and our clerks. What happens in the timeframe
between the people with current registrations that are
caught between the renewal for purposes of education?
              MR. SHORTER: For purposes of
education of --
              SEN. VAN de PUTTE:
                                  Yeah.
              MR. SHORTER: -- of the new bill?
              SEN. VAN de PUTTE:
                                  Yeah.
              MR. SHORTER: Well, Senator, what we
would do -- what our office is planning on doing for
all elections-related bills, we're in the process
right now of doing the long-term planning for voter
education for our office. And voter education in our
office deals with some specific things. Voter
education in our office deals with we want -- we want
to talk about where to vote, how to vote, what do you
need to vote, what are the items that you need to
vote, all the resources. So we're planning that now.
              If this bill were passed or any other
bill that you would pass related to changes in a
requirement for voting, as we continue to develop our
module for voter education, we would be able to put
the requirements that this bill or any other bill has
into our planning module for voter education that
```

we're currently developing.

1.8

2.2

SEN. VAN de PUTTE: Since the proposed regulations and rules would be greatly changed from the process that Texas voters have used in the past several years with the requirements of the voter certificate and a photo identification, how would the Secretary of State's Office propose to inform voters of these changes?

MR. SHORTER: Senator, we would inform through the existing process that we have, which is a pretty extensive process. For instance, during the last year on voter education, it was a \$3 million process that we undertook to inform voters of what's going to be going on in the election cycle that ended in November of 2008. We're doing that now.

It would mean that our office would have to make sure that all of the changes are implemented, and implementation would mean all notifications that would need to be made, we would have to get that done. All training for county Election Officials through our current -- through our current system of educating county workers, election workers, which we hold periodically through the year, we would implement or make sure that this new information is a part of that process. Poll worker training, which we're looking at

2.0

right now, we would also make sure that those things within the bill that actively affect poll workers, those changes will be put into what we're doing now or what we're planning to do.

Generally after -- during a session when a session is over, there are a lot of election bills that are passed, and our pattern of preparing for coming out of session, going into a season of getting ready for elections, we start looking at what bills have been passed. We're putting together the structure now on what we're doing on voter education and then fit those into the model. Sometimes when there are major changes like this, it does require more work on our -- a heavier workload on our staff, but the staff at the agency has proven time after time that they're capable of doing it.

SEN. VAN de PUTTE: Well, I believe that the Secretary of State's Office and particularly this Secretary of State, is more than willing and it's been shown. My concern is that -- have you seen the fiscal note that is attached to the implementation of this bill?

MR. SHORTER: Yes, ma'am, I have.

SEN. VAN de PUTTE: According to the

fiscal note, your -- the Office of the Secretary of

1 State. 2 MR. SHORTER: Uh-huh. SEN. VAN de PUTTE: -- is to absorb the 3 Given that, how do you plan to notify each of 4 5 the voters, given that in Indiana and Georgia individual mailings were made to each registered voter 6 7 informing them of the change in voter ID? And since we have no legal, I quess, basis, at least in our 8 fiscal note, for the Secretary of State to implement 9 10 that, how would the Secretary of State's Office plan 11 to inform each voter, which were the requirements that 12 they felt under -- to get pre-clearance under the 13 Voting Rights Act, how would you-all achieve that with 14 zero money? 1.5 Senator, we would -- we MR. SHORTER: 16 would achieve that by using the funds that we've been using to do it in the past. We would use the HAVA 17 Funds that have been set aside for voter education. 18 remember your asking this question earlier about the 19 2.0 zero fiscal note that our office put on it. We put it 21 on there, and when this bill -- when a similar bill 22 was filed like this in the previous session, staff 2.3 shared with me that there was a zero fiscal note on it 24 as well, and it was because HAVA dollars were able to

25

be used.

```
SEN. VAN de PUTTE: I believe the fiscal
 1
     note for the voter ID bill in the 80th Legislative
 2
     Session that was passed by the House was at $600,000,
 3
     and so we --
 4
                   MR. SHORTER: Yes, ma'am.
 5
                   SEN. VAN de PUTTE: So that's what I
 6
     wanted to ask is that -- I know that Hope is very good
 7
     at squeezing dollars, but how do you -- how does the
 8
     Secretary of State's Office plan to inform -- and it's
 9
     not just the training. From what we understand of all
10
11
     voter ID laws that have been passed, each of those
     Secretaries of State have done an individual mailing,
12
13
     not just posting on the Web, not that. Do you plan to
14
     send an individual mailing to each voter with or
15
     without the passage of this bill?
                   MR. SHORTER: That -- first of all, that
16
17
     would be, of course, Secretary Andrade's decision.
                   As for that $600,000 fiscal note in the
1.8
     previous bill, that was not a fiscal note that was
19
20
     put on by the Secretary of State's Office.
21
     understanding is that was a fiscal note that was put
22
     on by DPS. Even though that fiscal note was $600,000
23
     put on by DPS, our fiscal note on that previous bill,
     if I'm understanding correctly, is that it was still
24
25
     zero because we were not looking at those funds to --
```

2.0

SEN. VAN de PUTTE: Okay.

MR. SHORTER: -- and we do still now. For instance, right now in voter education, in HAVA dollars, we still have \$2 million left. Our staff, in anticipation of bills coming out of the session, we've been working with the EAC already to see -- make sure that bills that are passing through the House and through the Senate or through the Legislature of Texas would be able to -- we would be able to use HAVA dollars for that. We feel comfortable that we can, but we don't want to get towards the end of the session and find out that we were incorrect.

SEN. VAN de PUTTE: Thank you. I would also ask the questions that I asked Sen. Fraser that they said that the Secretary's Office would be more appropriate. With regard to naturalized citizens, what is the difference between a certificate -- a citizenship certificate, which is in the first section of the bill that's allowable with the photo ID, and citizenship papers, which is allowed under the second part of the bill?

MR. SHORTER: Senator, I remember your asking that question earlier today, and I asked our staff today to help me and answer that question. They have not gotten back to me, of course, at four o'clock

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC. 512.474.2233

TX_00004569

JA 003992

1.0

2.2

this morning. But if you would allow me to get you the answer to that in a few hours, I will provide that for you.

SEN. VAN de PUTTE: Thank you. That would be helpful to us since we have 56,000 naturalized citizens. And I believe the certificate is the eight by eleven certificate that is issued at the time of naturalization, which has a photo, but in the case of many of our constituents who have been naturalized it is a photo of them when they were a young child or a young adult, and the citizenship papers may be the little wallet size card. So it doesn't have a photo.

MR. SHORTER: Yes, ma'am.

SEN. VAN de PUTTE: But I think because of the language and since it's each of those documents, it would be very helpful to us.

And I know that there are probably some more questions from other members, but I know that our Stenographer has been there, but when you come back, I know that some of the questions, if I have the chance to ask, or maybe one of the other members, is the statistical and demographic data of our current Texas voters and who are registered.

MR. SHORTER: Yes, ma'am.

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC. 512.474.2233

TX_00004570

JA 003993

```
SEN. VAN de PUTTE: So I will stop at
 1
 2
     this point the questions so that we can take a break,
     but just to let you know that's probably coming up.
 3
                   MR. SHORTER:
                                  Thank you.
 4
 5
                   SEN. VAN de PUTTE:
                                        Thank you,
     Mr. Chairman. I'll proceed after.
 6
 7
                   SEN. DUNCAN:
                                 Do you want to maintain
     the floor when you come back?
 8
                   SEN. VAN de PUTTE: I would love to
 9
1.0
     maintain the floor after just to continue, but I don't
11
     want to go beyond the 4:40 a.m.
12
                   SEN. DUNCAN:
                                 Okav. We will then --
13
     Members, with that we will stand at ease for ten
     minutes and be back at 4:50.
14
15
                    (Recess: 4:41 a.m. to 4:53 a.m.)
16
                   SEN. DUNCAN: Okay. Members, we'll come
     back to order. Sen. Van de Putte has the floor.
17
                   Before she begins again, we'll have -- I
18
     need to make this announcement to the folks who are in
19
20
     the gallery or who are waiting to be in public
21
     testimony.
                 The Chair would request that anyone
22
     wishing to testify return to the registration desk and
     check in with the clerk. This will allow the clerk to
23
24
     pull the relevant witness cards, and we can proceed
25
     through public testimony more efficiently. All
```

witness information will be entered into the record and witnesses present and testifying will be noted as such. Those who do not testify will be entered into the record as nontestifying but registering their position for or against the Senate Bill 362. We think this will be a way to help facilitate those and also give us a little better idea of how to manage yours and our time.

Sen. Van de Putte, you're recognized.
SEN. VAN de PUTTE: Thank you,

Mr. Chairman.

2.3

Thank you. It's good to be back with you again. Before I ask some of the demographic data, there was one part that I forgot to ask that I had asked Sen. Fraser and wanted to reiterate. Under the proposed bill, we have two different types of military ID as well: Those military IDs that have a photograph and the military IDs that do not have a photograph, and they are listed, I think, in two different sections of the bill.

My question is having to deal with the inconsistencies of addresses with our military members, not veterans. Once they're veterans, they're living in Texas and not here because of the duty station. Under the provisions of this bill or maybe

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1.0

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

```
even currently, but currently our military members do
not have to show a photo identification. Under this
bill they would. How would a clerk or election judge
treat the inconsistencies in nonalignment of address
on the photo ID with the -- with the certificate?
              MR. SHORTER:
                           Senator, I don't have that
answer, but I will get it for you, and that would
involve -- and I'll tell you what I will go through:
Asking my staff and also visiting with the clerks to
see have they seen this, is this something that has
happened already, or based on this particular
bill would it happen.
              SEN. VAN de PUTTE: Well, I don't think
we know because they don't have to show a photo ID.
              MR. SHORTER: Correct; you're right;
you're right; absolutely.
              SEN. VAN de PUTTE:
                                 And because many of
those members that are here still have their own
state's driver's license because -- or they're issued
the DOD license on some installations, it doesn't
reconcile with the voter certificate.
              MR. SHORTER:
                            Right.
              SEN. VAN de PUTTE: And particularly for
those career military who then become civilian, they
keep their -- that because they may be going to
```

```
retire, and it's particular. So if you would do that?
 1
 2
                   MR. SHORTER: Yes, ma'am.
                    SEN. VAN de PUTTE: And in that also how
 3
     would, under the proposed bill, we treat the
 4
     inconsistencies in addresses in college students who
 5
     may still keep their primary place of residence, which
 6
     is their home and the home of their parents, for
 7
     purposes of their driver's license because that's
 8
 9
     their permanent address and they are only temporary,
     yet choose to register in the town that they are now
1.0
1.1
     going to college. So the photo identification or
12
     driver's license does not match up with the
1.3
     certificate. So those are two instances where how
1.4
     would that -- those be treated.
1.5
                   MR. SHORTER: Yes, ma'am.
                    SEN. VAN de PUTTE: My other question
16
17
     is -- we know from some of the data that the affected
18
     groups and what we -- what is the state's burden to
19
     prove at the Department of Justice is the availability
20
     for African-Americans, Hispanics, language groups to
21
     be afforded the same ability, in other words, no
22
     discrimination? Can you tell us of the 13 million
23
     plus voters, do we know how many voters are
     African-American in the State of Texas?
24
25
                    MR. SHORTER: Senator, we don't know
```

```
because that data is not tracked on race and ethnicity
 1
 2
                 The only thing that is tracked is we
     right now.
 3
     can -- based on our new TEAM system, we can
     cross-reference Hispanic surnames, but that's
 4
 5
     inconclusive, so --
                   SEN. VAN de PUTTE: Van de Putte.
 6
 7
                   MR. SHORTER: Exactly.
                                            So the answer is
 8
     right now there's not a mechanism to track race or
 9
     ethnicity.
                    SEN. VAN de PUTTE: So how would we be
10
11
     able -- if we don't know -- if we're not capturing the
1.2
     data, the data is not available as a base point of how
13
     many registered voters we have who are
14
     African-American or Latino or Spanish speaking, how
15
     can we benchmark and prove up to the Justice
16
     Department and support litigation that there will not
17
     be a negative effect since we have no data?
18
                   MR. SHORTER: I would assume that our
19
     staff has been using some other means to do that. I
20
     don't know what that is, but I will find out for you.
                                        Okay.
21
                    SEN. VAN de PUTTE:
                                               So what I
22
     have so far is that you will get back to us on
23
     certificate versus papers --
24
                   MR. SHORTER: Yes, ma'am.
25
                    SEN. VAN de PUTTE: -- for naturalized
```

```
citizens --
 1
 2
                   MR. SHORTER: Yes, ma'am.
                    SEN. VAN de PUTTE: -- since the other
 3
     states that have passed this so far do not have nearly
 4
 5
     the degree of those naturalized citizens as we do; and
     that you will also check on the incongruencies of
 6
 7
     address for both our military members and college
 8
     students.
                   MR. SHORTER: Yes, ma'am.
 9
                    SEN. VAN de PUTTE: And you will also
1.0
11
     get back with us the data, if it exists, of how many
12
     voters we have that are indeed African-American and
     Hispanic so that we can have a benchmark. We need
13
1.4
     that data to be able to prove that. So those are the
15
     things that you are going to be helping us with.
16
                   MR. SHORTER: Yes, ma'am, I will; we
           John and I will be notifying staff so they can
17
     will.
     get on it and hopefully give you an answer before the
18
19
     end of the day.
2.0
                    SEN. VAN de PUTTE:
                                       Well, thank you, but
21
     I know you haven't gone to sleep yet, so I appreciate
22
     the hard work of your staff. And again, my regards to
     my dear friend, our Secretary of State.
23
                                  Thank you.
24
                   MR. SHORTER:
25
                    SEN. VAN de PUTTE: Thank you.
```

```
The Chair recognizes
                   SEN. WENTWORTH:
 1
                                              The Chair
     Sent. Whitmire. Sen. Whitmire?
                                       John?
 2
 3
     recognizes Sen. Whitmire.
                   SEN. WHITMIRE:
                                   No, I'll pass
 4
 5
     (inaudible) I've got a quick question, quick, quick,
     quick. You were responding to Sen. Fraser's
 6
 7
     hypotheticals, and he was talking about if he ran in
     and voted and then he went back out and got his
 8
     brother's card and voted again that nothing could be
 9
            Is it not true, sir, that the election officer
1.0
11
     is in total control of his precinct? I've seen folks
12
     be arrested for handing out cards too close, poll
1.3
     watchers for harassing voters.
                                      Isn't it true that if
14
     you try to go in and vote twice in the same timeframe
     that you could be arrested for voter fraud at that
15
16
     moment and maybe even have a mental warrant served on
     you if you tried to do it like he described it?
17
     aren't we -- aren't we really being a little
18
19
     ridiculous at five in the morning with some of our
20
     hypotheticals?
2.1
                   MR. SHORTER:
                                 Sir, I'm not --
22
                   SEN. WHITMIRE: You were being awful
23
           I realize you're in a difficult position, but I
     nice.
24
     don't -- is it realistic that someone, the same person
25
     could vote twice within a 30-minute timeframe?
```

```
MR. SHORTER: It's possible, sir.
 1
 2
     Whether --
                   SEN. WHITMIRE: It's possible to get
 3
     arrested for doing it, too, is it not?
 4
 5
                   MR. SHORTER: That is correct.
                   SEN. WHITMIRE:
                                    Okay. That's all I
 6
 7
     wanted to clear up because -- and I could go through
 8
     his other hypotheticals.
 9
                   What really concerns us and I guess it's
     been somewhat addressed is the cost and the commitment
10
11
     to educate the public.
12
                   MR. SHORTER: Yes, sir.
13
                   SEN. WHITMIRE: Have you been in any
14
     planning sessions where you're going to have the
15
     resources and you have the spots, as Sen. Williams
16
     pointed out? I mean, are we really serious and ready
17
     to go with that, or is that a hypothetical, too?
                                 No, sir. Our office is
18
                   MR. SHORTER:
     actively planning our voter education program for the
19
2.0
     next cycle now.
21
                    SEN. WHITMIRE:
                                    Well, that's great, but
22
     what's the provisions for doing a voter ID plan?
23
                   MR. SHORTER: What we're doing now is
24
     looking at -- because this is one of our new bills
25
     that we're working on and that has been brought to us
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
for us to look at, we're looking at what costs would
be associated with doing those things within the bill
and fitting those into the funds that we have
available. Based on the fact that it is not a
Presidential Election year, we feel that the funds
that we have available now we could -- we could
theoretically -- we could undertake this.
              There are -- in terms of training for --
training for elections and new initiatives, that's
already -- we're already directed to do that. So our
agency as a whole is -- there's some things you
anticipate and you know and you plan for, and we're
already there, sir. Because what we will have to do
is we'll have to prioritize in terms of maybe some new
initiatives versus -- that are not legislatively
mandated versus those that you-all mandate to us.
              SEN. WHITMIRE: Okay. I yield at this
time.
              SEN. DUNCAN: Sen. Watson, you're
recognized.
              SEN. WATSON:
                           Thank you, Mr. Chair, and
thank you for being here. I know it's been very long.
              And, Members, one bit of information.
Yesterday, not today, but yesterday, although it feels
like one day, was his ten-year old son's birthday, and
```

```
he stayed with us all during that period of time,
 1
     snuck away I think briefly to wish him a happy
 2
     birthday, but we really appreciate your being with
 3
 4
     us --
 5
                   MR. SHORTER:
                                 Thank you.
                   SEN. WATSON: -- and hope you will tell
 6
 7
     him we said happy birthday.
                   Just a couple of quick questions.
 8
     is you shared with me some numbers on a piece of
 9
     paper, and I don't know what the paper was created
1.0
     for, but it has at the top of the page the number 5,
11
12
     and then it says "Number of voters who have registered
     since 2006 without a driver's license number."
13
14
     was this document created for?
                   MR. SHORTER: Sir, this document was
15
     created -- Senator, this document was created in a
16
17
     response to questions that were asked of our staff
1.8
     last week by House Elections.
                    SEN. WATSON: Okay. And in that, what
19
20
     you did is you created two sets of numbers: One was a
21
     set of numbers of voters who registered since
22
     January 1, 2006. And explain for me again why that's
23
     an important number date.
                   MR. SHORTER: When the Help America Vote
24
25
     Act was passed in 2002, there was a requirement put in
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

```
the provisions of the Help America Vote Act for
uniformity standard purposes for the driver's license
to be a required form of ID in terms of registration.
Prior to January 1, 2006, it was optional as to
whether or not you included your driver's license on
your voter registration application.
              The voter registration application
now -- the first thing it asks for in Section 8 is
either your driver's license and your Texas -- or your
Texas ID, and that's a requirement if you have one.
Prior to January 1, 2006 it was optional.
              SEN. WATSON: Okay. So the numbers you
came up with you demonstrated -- and I think we had
had some conversation -- Sen. Fraser and I had had
some conversation earlier in the day. And when you
look at those who have registered since January 1,
2006, the key date that you mentioned, and you look at
those numbers, about 91.9 percent have registered
using a driver's license. Is that correct?
              MR. SHORTER:
                           Using a driver's license
or social security number.
              SEN. WATSON:
                           Well, here is the way --
let's make sure we're clear on this. The first
category of numbers who registered with a driver's
license, and I quess that's with a driver's license
```

```
exclusively.
                   Is that correct?
 1
                                 That is correct, sir.
                   MR. SHORTER:
 2
                   SEN. WATSON: And then the second
 3
     category is those who registered with a social
 4
     security number, and that would be exclusively with a
 5
     social security number?
 6
 7
                                  That is correct.
                   MR. SHORTER:
                   SEN. WATSON: And then the third
 8
     category would be those who did something you don't
 9
10
     really have to do, but they did it, and they filled in
     both driver's license and social security?
11
                   MR. SHORTER: That is correct.
12
13
                   SEN. WATSON: So if I wanted to identify
     the number of people who registered with a Texas
14
     driver's license and get a total number, I would add
15
16
     Category 1 and Category 3?
17
                   MR. SHORTER: That is correct, Senator.
18
                    SEN. WATSON: Now, something else you
     did in response to the question from House Elections
19
20
     was you said "In addition agency staff queried the
     entire statewide file which reflects the following
21
2.2
     breakdowns concerning identification numbers for all
     voters." So that would be folks with voter
23
     registration certificates, voter registration
24
25
     certificates including those from before January 1,
```

```
2007?
 1
                                 That is correct.
                                                    That's
                   MR. SHORTER:
 2
     everyone in our vote registration system.
 3
                    SEN. WATSON: And those folks weren't
 4
     required, as you've said, to utilize a driver's
 5
     license or social security number?
 6
                                 Yes, sir.
 7
                   MR. SHORTER:
                    SEN. WATSON: And that -- when we look
 8
     at those numbers, and you have the same categories,
 9
     you have number of voters with a driver's license,
10
     again exclusively, number of voters with a social
11
12
     security number exclusively, number of voters with
     both and the number of voters with neither.
13
     put those numbers together, we know that about
14
15
     25 percent of the population that have voter
     registration certificates don't indicate that they
16
     have -- that they didn't use a Texas driver's license
17
     to get that.
18
                   Is that right?
                    MR. SHORTER: Yes, sir.
19
20
                                 Now, you also would have
                    SEN. WATSON:
21
     no way of knowing in either of those that have been
22
     registered since January 1, 2006 or those that have
     been registered since well before that time who might
23
     have lost their driver's license during that period of
24
25
     time?
```

```
No, sir.
                   MR. SHORTER:
 1
                   SEN. WATSON: And the Secretary of
 2
     State's Office wouldn't have any way of knowing whose
 3
     driver's license might have been expired for over two
 4
 5
     years now?
                                 If they already have their
 6
                   MR. SHORTER:
 7
     voter registration card.
                                          So, for example,
 8
                    SEN. WATSON:
                                  Right.
     if I registered to vote, let's say ten years ago, just
 9
     to use a round number, I wouldn't have been required
10
     to use a driver's license to register. Is that
11
12
     correct?
                   MR. SHORTER:
                                  Correct.
13
                    SEN. WATSON: And if I continue to vote
14
15
     on a regular basis, as I understand it, I am
     re-registered each time I register to vote or I go
16
17
            Right?
     vote.
                    MR. SHORTER: Yes, sir.
18
                    SEN. WATSON: So if I lost my driver's
19
     license nine years ago but I continue to register, I
2.0
     might be a registered voter in the State of Texas
21
     maybe even use my driver's license when I registered,
22
23
     but I no longer would have a driver's license?
                                 That's a possibility, sir.
24
                   MR. SHORTER:
25
                    SEN. WATSON: No one has asked the
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

1.7

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

```
Secretary of State's Office to do any sort of studies
or provide any information demonstrating whether there
are certain populations or demographic groups in Texas
that are less likely to have a driver's license and
use their driver's license when they apply for a voter
registration certificate, have they?
                            To my knowledge, no, sir.
              MR. SHORTER:
              SEN. WATSON: And the truth is you
wouldn't have any way of putting that data together,
would you?
              MR. SHORTER: Not as an agency alone.
              SEN. WATSON: Well, if I told you that
the Texas Department -- you'd have to go to DPS?
                            Probably.
                                       That's one of
              MR. SHORTER:
the agencies that pops into my head.
              SEN. WATSON: And I think we talked a
little bit earlier today -- I think I showed you an
answer that DPS has given. You wouldn't be surprised
to know that DPS is not aware of any studies regarding
a way to demonstrate whether certain populations or
demographic groups are less likely to secure a
driver's license than others, you weren't surprised
when I shared that with you earlier today, were you?
              MR. SHORTER: No, sir. I recall.
              SEN. WATSON: Yeah.
                                   Thank you very
```

```
much, and I really do appreciate it along with
 1
 2
     everybody that you've given us so much time.
                    SEN. DUNCAN:
                                  Thank you, Sen. Watson.
 3
     The Chair recognizes Sen. Patrick.
 4
                                   Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
 5
                    SEN. PATRICK:
     Just a quick question. There was an earlier comment
 6
 7
     made that it was very unlikely that someone would vote
     twice in 30 minutes. But the truth is if someone did
 8
 9
     fraudulently get, let's just say ten voter
10
     registrations, and they didn't send in a name like
11
     Mickey Mouse but sent in a very normal name that
12
     wouldn't catch anyone's attention. And if I had ten
13
     cards or that person had ten cards, they could go to
     one precinct and vote, and they could go down the
14
     street to another precinct and vote --
15
                   MR. SHORTER:
                                 (Nodded)
16
17
                    SEN. PATRICK: -- and another precinct
18
     and vote because they'd go in over a different
1.9
     registration card each time.
                                 Okay.
20
                   MR. SHORTER:
                    SEN. PATRICK: So a person could, if
21
22
     they wanted to, or they could register in the same
     precinct and go back three days later if they started
23
24
     during early voting. Right?
25
                    MR. SHORTER: Repeat your -- repeat the
```

```
1
     last part of your question.
                                   The last part of the
 2
                    SEN. PATRICK:
 3
     question, if you had registrations in the same
     precinct, you could go back over a period of multiple
 4
 5
     days if you were willing to take that risk and vote.
 6
     So a person could vote more than once. I mean, it's
 7
     not an extreme thought that someone could register
     under several different names.
 8
 9
                   MR. SHORTER:
                                 It's a hype -- it is one
10
     of those hypotheticals that could happen.
11
                    SEN. PATRICK: All right. Thank you.
12
                                  Thank you, Sen. Patrick.
                    SEN. DUNCAN:
13
     The Chair recognizes Senator -- do you want to go
     ahead, Sen. Watson, and enter -- you've got a document
14
15
     you want to enter?
1.6
                    SEN. WATSON:
                                 Yeah, let me just ask a
1.7
     quick question. I should have done that. Do you have
18
     a clean copy of the sheet that has Question No. 5, the
19
     answer from the House Elections Committee that we
20
     could make an exhibit for our record?
21
                                 Yes, sir.
                   MR. SHORTER:
22
                                Okay. We'll wait until
                    SEN. WATSON:
23
     you're done, but if you'll just remind me of that,
24
     we'll attach that after your testimony.
25
                   MR. SHORTER: Yes, sir.
```

```
Thank you very much.
 1
                   SEN. WATSON:
 2
     Thank you, Mr. Chair, for letting me do that out of
     order.
 3
                   SEN. DUNCAN: The Chair recognizes
 4
 5
     Sen. Davis.
                   SEN. DAVIS: Good morning.
 6
 7
                   MR. SHORTER: Good morning.
 8
                   SEN. DAVIS: I join my colleagues in
 9
     saying thank you to you for staying so long with us.
10
     And I have a very quick question for you. I apologize
11
     if you've already asked -- been asked this question
12
     and answered it, but what is the amount of money that
13
     the Secretary of State has set aside in anticipation
     of the possibility of having to educate our voter
14
     community about the requirements -- the new
15
16
     requirements that would be placed upon them under the
1 7
     Senate Bill that we're looking at today?
18
                   MR. SHORTER: We haven't determined the
19
     actual amount, Senator. We are looking at all of our
20
     opportunities and looking at the available resources
     we already existed -- already have. We know right
21
22
     now -- if there were no other funding, we know that we
     have access to $2 million through our current HAVA
23
     Funds for voter education.
24
25
                   What we need to do now is -- and we feel
```

2.0

2.2

comfortable based on the projections -- being that it is not a Presidential Election year, we feel comfortable that we can do what needs to be done within that window. There are also some opportunities potentially for us to maybe draw down some additional HAVA Funds. We're not -- we're investigating that as well.

So what we're looking at is if this bill is passed as it is, staff is looking at, based on

is passed as it is, staff is looking at, based on access to HAVA dollars, what would it cost to do this, to implement this, to do the training, to do the voter education statewide. We don't have those figures yet. However, based on past precedent within the agency and with the access to those federal funds, we feel like we can do it with those funds and be consistent with how we've done it every year.

SEN. DAVIS: Let's say we weren't examining the issue that's before us right now and we weren't going to create any kind of new voter ID requirement in the State of Texas. What would the Secretary of State's Office have used that \$2 million amount for? What kind of educational programs do you typically engage in?

MR. SHORTER: Well, we don't -- we don't anticipate this particular bill consuming all of

```
that -- those funds. For instance, our entire effort
 1
 2
     last year would focused on some key things.
     you don't mind, I'd like to kind of just --
 3
                   SEN. DAVIS: I'd appreciate that.
 4
                   MR. SHORTER: -- share with you some of
 5
     the ideas from talking with our staff on voter
 6
 7
                 In 2008 we have what's called a Vote Texas
               That's our voter education program.
 8
     Program.
 9
     focuses on newspaper, radio, TV, PSAs, interactive
           It allows us an opportunity to be creative to
10
     Web.
     reach the people where they are, and we do several
11
12
     things: We focus on the basics of education. Number
13
     one, how to vote, what needs to happen to vote, where
14
     to vote, where are you going to vote, what do you
15
     bring -- what do you need to bring with you to vote.
16
     If this were -- if this bill were to pass, what would
1.7
     you need to bring to vote would be the -- it would
18
     change.
19
                   We're at a point in our development
2.0
     where we can now make those changes. Theoretically
21
     what happens in the Secretary of State's Office is we
22
     get through with the session, we look at all of the
23
     changes and we use the summer months -- we use the
24
     spring to answer all your questions and start
25
     planning. We have certainty after the session as to
```

```
what you as a legislative body have given us, the
 1
     mandates and directions you have given us. We use
 2
     that time then to start implementing, plugging in.
 3
                   One of the other things we do is what is
 4
     the process and -- what is the actual process and then
 5
     what are the rights of the voters.
                                          So based on that,
 6
 7
     it seems very plausible that we would be able to take
     the directives of this bill or any bill that you as a
 8
     legislature provide and fit it into that formula and
 9
     meet HAVA requirements for what we are mandated to do
10
     in terms of educating our voters.
11
                   SEN. DAVIS: And in the past when you've
12
     implemented a program like that, and I gather from
13
     what you're saying you've engaged in exactly this kind
14
15
     of --
                   MR. SHORTER: Yes, ma'am.
16
17
                   SEN. DAVIS: -- education effort before,
18
     what would the cost be in a typical election cycle for
19
     you to administer that program?
20
                   MR. SHORTER: Last year we -- last
     year -- the last election cycle was $3 million.
21
22
                   SEN. DAVIS: It was $3 million.
23
     that's $3 million educating a voter group that has for
     many years been operating under the same rules
24
25
     repeatedly. Correct?
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1.0

11

12

13

14

15

16

1.7

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

MR. SHORTER: Yes, if there were -- I came to the agency during the middle of that process. If there were legislative changes during the last legislative cycle, those changes were intertwined into the voter education process. I'm not -- I'll have to go back and ask what changes were made during the last legislative session that would have affected how we rolled out this particular -- last year's initiative. For instance, within all of that, there's also the Project Vote where we start -- the education process of educating voters starts also at the age of educating our school-aged kids. 1.1 million people -- 1.1 million students in over 300 school districts last year participated in Project So those were some extra things that we've Vote. always done even with legislation like this we will still be able to do because we have it down to a science now on how to do it, and we've been able to bring those costs down.

SEN. DAVIS: And now that you have it down to a science and in the last election cycle given that you have it down to a science, you've spent, you said, about \$3 million on the program. Could you anticipate a scenario where with a new voter ID requirement, one that is, well, quite lengthy in terms

of the amount of paper that it this takes up on the bill that's been proposed, could you anticipate given the need to educate on so many new features of a voter program that it might cost you more than \$3 million to educate Texans on that program?

MR. SHORTER: Senator, based on past precedent, I think it will be highly unlikely that the expenses would increase that much because many of the things that this bill is requiring us to do we're already doing it on legislation that has existed for a long time.

When we have a poll -- when we have the poll worker training or the training for Election Officials, it's very detailed information, and there are little tweaks that the legislature makes, and these are not 30-minute trainings. These are generally two- to three-day trainings. So it's not like if we -- if this bill were implemented the training needs would be -- or the training modules that will be developed would be any different than the modules that we are already developing because the ones we're developing now are pretty extensive.

SEN. DAVIS: And did the \$3 million figure that you -- that you cited a moment ago on your voter outreach program in the last election cycle, did

that include the costs of training poll workers on 1 whatever tweaks came in the last legislative session? 2 MR. SHORTER: It is my understanding 3 that it did, but, Senator, I don't mind verifying that 4 5 for you to make sure that it's all-inclusive. SEN. DAVIS: I would appreciate that. 6 7 And if you could provide us with information in terms 8 of exactly what that poll training looked like, the 9 poll worker training looked like, I would appreciate 10 that. 11 MR. SHORTER: Senator, we're very 12 excited about our poll worker training because we have 13 It can be done in person, but we also two aspects: have poll worker training now that can be done on 14 line. And one of the things we're really trying to 15 do -- and with the hope of encouraging more people to 16 volunteer or sign up to be poll workers. 17 18 SEN. DAVIS: Would you anticipate that a 19 bill suggesting the changes of this magnitude might be 20 a more complex training program -- that might require a more complex training program than you've had to 21 22 engage in in the past where the legislature may have 23 tweaked, to use your word, the voter requirements? 24 MR. SHORTER: And maybe, Senator, using 25 the word "tweaked" was not probably the appropriate

```
word to use. I don't see anything at this point that
 1
 2
     would cause me as the operations person within the
 3
     agency to be alarmed.
                   SEN. DAVIS: Okay. Thank you. I
 4
 5
     appreciate it.
 6
                   SEN. DUNCAN: All right. Thank you,
 7
     Sen. Davis.
                 There are no other members in the queue
     to ask questions. So, Mr. Shorter, you are excused.
 8
 9
     Thank you for your testimony.
10
                   MR. SHORTER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
11
                    TESTIMONY BY DENNIS BOREL
12
                   SEN. DUNCAN: The Chair calls Dennis
13
     Borel.
             Mr. Borel, do you have written testimony?
14
                   MR. BOREL:
                              (Inaudible)
15
                   SEN. DUNCAN: Do you have pictures for
16
     us? All right. We'll need to get those marked at the
17
     right time.
18
                   MR. BOREL: Good morning. My name is
     Dennis Borel with the Coalition of Texans with
19
20
     Disabilities. Yes, I am from the Texas --
21
                   SEN. DUNCAN:
                                 Hang on just a minute.
22
                   MR. BOREL: Sure.
23
                   SEN. DUNCAN: We need to get your timer
24
     started.
25
                   MR. BOREL: Okay.
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

All right. You're off. SEN. DUNCAN: MR. BOREL: Dennis Borel with the Coalition of Texans with Disabilities, a cross disabilities statewide organization, and I think listening to this day has been tremendously interesting. I haven't heard a lot of talk about We've talked about a lot of people with disabilities. So I will use my time different demographic groups. to talk about people with disabilities and my experiences with them and how I think this bill may impact them. It's been -- one of the most fun things I've done for the last about four or five years is work with the Secretaries of State, starting with Mr. Conner, then Roger Williams and Phil Wilson. I met Secretary Andrade recently and look forward to working with her, but working on HAVA stuff and most specifically the opportunities to go around the state and do training sessions on accessible voting and accessible voting technology to disability groups around the state. I've been from El Paso to Beaumont to Laredo to Odessa and Dallas, points in between. It's been a pretty interesting experience, and I've met some incredible people doing that, and it's been inspiring.

1.4

2.0

21.

In a few of the -- a few of the most inspiring ones, I've worked with a lady that was totally without sight, and she was able to use the new voting technology to cast a private ballot for the first time. I met another guy with very significant cerebral palsy. He didn't even have enough control to speak, and he had -- he wore a cap with a stick that came perpendicular out of his forehead, and he would use a speak synthesizer, but his brain was clear and fine. And using that stick out of his cap he was able to work a voting machine on his own.

But to me the best was a gentleman I met who was a member of the paralyzed -- Texas Paralyzed Veterans. He had broken his neck very high up, and he has no movement below the shoulders. He operates his power wheelchair with a sip and puff device. And incredibly he told me this story about going to his polling place and hooking up his sip and puff device to his machine, and for the first time since he broke his neck was able to cast a secret ballot. And, yes, there were tears in his eyes when he told me this story. These are the kinds of things that I have really loved doing for several years now.

To me perhaps the most amazing one was out in Palestine. I was invited to come out there and

1.5

2.2

do a training. I went out there and I -- there's some photographs you're looking at. I didn't give you written stuff. By this time of night, it's better to look at photographs anyway. But I went out to Palestine and I got there and they said "Okay. Now we're going to take off and take you where you're going to go for your training, and we went to a sheltered workshop, which, you know, is not something I particularly like. It's a place where people with disabilities, cognitive disabilities spend the day. They were assembling nuts and bolts all day, and they get some piece -- piece of work payment out of it.

But going in there I was kind of wondering "Well, how am I going to -- how am I going to do this training?" I had the local County Clerk with me and an accessible machine, and we set it up. And I quickly found out that even though I work with people with disabilities all the time I'm capable of making misassumptions. Even though these were folks with cognitive disabilities, they knew who McCain was, they knew who Obama was, they knew a Presidential Election was coming up. A handful of them were already registered. The others got registered there by the County Clerk, and they were tremendously enthusiastic. In fact they were the most enthusiastic

1.5

group I trained in the four years I've been doing this. They loved working the machine. They were excited about it. It told me a lot.

You know, this group, I'm quite sure that not a single one of them had a driver's license. I'm quite sure that none of them had a passport. I doubt that any had utility bills in their name. I don't think any of them were licensed hunters, fishers, carry a concealed weapon. Some may have Medicaid cards.

But the thing I was left with at the end of that day as I was left with at every single one of these trainings is all of us see the right to vote as something precious. I think for our citizens with disabilities it's at an even higher level. It's even more precious to them, how much they value it and to be able to do it on their own.

You know, I mentioned that they don't often have photo IDs, in fact they rarely do. And I tried to find some statistics on this and, you know, I've heard a few people talk about that some things are not tracked. Well, one thing I found out that we don't track is whether a driver has a disability or not. We track if they need corrective lenses of other things like that, but not disabilities. So I have to

rely on only my observations and spending the last nine years going around Texas and working with groups of people with disabilities and my own anecdotal ideas about it. And I'd have to say that there's no doubt that people with disabilities just don't have a driver's license like the rest of the population. They simply maybe cannot operate a vehicle, maybe they don't see well enough, maybe they don't have enough manual dexterity, but their incidents of driver's license is certainly far below that of the general population.

As far as things like passports, people with disabilities are three times as likely to be living in poverty as a general population. They're not doing a whole lot of international travel. That's not to say that there aren't people with disabilities doing that, not to say that people with even very significant disabilities have driver's licenses, even quadraplegics, but as a general -- a generalization they're less likely to have those kinds of photo IDs.

You know, I was thinking about this, and I think that there's probably only one other demographic group that has maybe a lesser participation in driving and passports, and I think that would be the very elderly. You know, I think

2.0

those two groups are kind of off by themselves of having a little bit less access to that.

Now, I do think that there are some of those alternative credentials that could work, but I think in almost every single case the likelihood of a person with a disability having one of those alternative credentials is far less than in the general population with the sole exception of the Medicaid card.

And the other thing is in our state,

Texas is better than some other states. Some other

states do not allow people with cognitive disabilities

to vote. We do in Texas; we do. But, you know,

people with cognitive disabilities are recently

returned veterans with traumatic brain injuries.

Sometimes their ability to gather all the documents

they need to go somewhere is not so good. Sometimes

they're not so good at doing that. Sometimes they

might end up at the polling place and maybe they

forgot one of the things they need. Or if they had

that traumatic brain injury and they have a short-term

memory condition, they just simply forgot to bring

them, forgot their ID.

You know, to me it comes down -- the one question I keep coming down to is in any piece of

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

legislation, would this affect people with disabilities more than other groups? And I think this one does, and I think it does that. There would be a level of effort required of them to line up everything they need more so than other segments of our population. With that, I'll take any questions if vou have them. QUESTIONS FROM SENATE FLOOR SEN. DUNCAN: Thank you, sir. Sen. Zaffirini, you're recognized. SEN. ZAFFIRINI: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Mr. Borel, thank you for your very compelling testimony. I hope that everyone listened to you and listened to you carefully. Are persons with disabilities less likely to have photo IDs? MR. BOREL: Yeah, absolutely, certainly in the case of the driver's licenses. Obviously one of my member organizations is the American Council for the Blind of Texas. I mean, 100 percent of them don't have driver's licenses. Other people with cerebral palsy, quadraplegic spinal cord injuries, amputations, cognitive disabilities, traumatic brain injury, all have far less likelihood of driver's licenses and

```
It's more a function of the fact that --
 1
     passports.
     generally considered to be the lowest income
 2
     demographic group in our society and, therefore, less
 3
     likely to travel.
 4
 5
                    SEN. ZAFFIRINI: Have you read Senate
     Bill 362?
 6
 7
                   MR. BOREL: I have; I have, yes.
                                     So you're familiar with
                    SEN. ZAFFIRINI:
 8
     the other forms of documentation that can be used to
 9
     prove one's identity?
1.0
11
                   MR. BOREL: Pretty much. I don't know
     if I can recall every single one of them off the top
12
13
     of my head.
                    SEN. ZAFFIRINI: Are persons with
1.4
15
     disabilities less likely to have that kind of
16
     documentation available to prove their identity?
17
                   MR. BOREL: Yeah, absolutely. You know,
     very few would have a permit to carry a concealed
18
19
               There are people, even people visually
     handgun.
20
     impaired, even people totally blind, even people with
21
     quadraplegics, that do have hunting licenses.
22
     I'll tell you as a rule, they're far less likely to
     have hunting licenses. They're also far less likely
23
24
     to have utility bills in their name.
25
                    SEN. ZAFFIRINI:
                                     What additional
```

2.

3

4

5

9

10

11

14

17

18

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

```
barriers do persons with disabilities have in
     obtaining the kinds of identification required in this
     bill?
                                        Well, there is the
                   MR. BOREL:
                               Uh-huh.
     monetary thing as an extremely low-income group, and
     then just getting around and gathering that
 6
 7
     information, you know, being reliant on public
     transportation or transportation provided by other
8
     people or having to have the caregiver with you, or
     perhaps a person is living in an institution like a
     nursing facility or intermediate care facility for the
12
     mentally retarded or even in an assisted living
              These are all folks that just are not as
13
     center.
     mobile as the rest of us, and they're not getting
     around as well as the rest of us.
15
16
                   SEN. ZAFFIRINI: Thank you.
                                                 Is the
     notice provided for in Senate Bill 362 sufficient to
     ensure access to accurate information about this new
19
     ID requirement for the full range of persons with
```

MR. JOHNSON: No, not in my mind. think we have a pretty aggressive campaign, the HAVA campaign. And like the gentleman in front of me, Coby, was talking about the Vote Texas, those things. The first Vote Texas effort was a \$5 million effort.

disabilities with whom you work?

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC. 512.474.2233 TX 00004604 JA 004027

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

The second was a \$3 million effort, and that was about accessible voting for the most port. But that actually took stuff around, put stuff on PSAs, on TV. It did radio ads. It funded people like me to go out and do hands-on types of training. All those kinds of things, all those variety of things are needed. think the written notice is just inadequate frankly. SEN. ZAFFIRINI: What else would be needed if this bill were passed to ensure persons with disabilities really understood this law? MR. BOREL: Well, I would -- well, I believe the Vote Texas campaign was successful, but you know, even though we're, I believe, five years in to Vote Texas I still think there's an additional need just for the accessibility components of HAVA. think it's not a short-term deal. I think this would need to be planned over perhaps several biennium. really believe in the traveling road show, the hands-on deal where you go out to disability groups and out to their communities and find out where they are and do it face to face. That would be my recommendation. SEN. ZAFFIRINI: What effect do you believe that this bill, if it becomes law, would have on the turnout of persons with disabilities on

election day or early voting?

1.3

1.8

2.0

MR. BOREL: Yeah, that's -- I've thought about this a lot, Senator. I would say at the -- at the beginning of HAVA, I would have said that there were two groups of voters with disabilities. The first group had gone to vote and voted, but had a hard time doing it and was less likely to go back, and the second group never went because they heard their friends talk about how they couldn't get in the polling place or couldn't get a private ballot. So there was kind of like this word of mouth negativity.

I think in the last few years as successful voting technology has come into play, polling places have become more accessible, I'm seeing a third category of voters with disabilities, ones that are telling their friends that "I did have a successful experience."

And I think if we set up experiences where voters with disabilities go to the poll and they don't have the right ID, and they will be less likely to have this ID and they haven't learned about it and they do a provisional ballot or they just simply leave, then those kinds of word of mouth stories will spread, and I think that will have an affect on some, a negative side frankly.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

Thank you. Thinking SEN. ZAFFIRINI: specifically of Advocacy, Incorporated, do you believe that persons with disabilities who work with Advocacy, Incorporated would be able to meet the identification standards of this bill? MR. BOREL: You know, the ones who work with Advocacy, Incorporated, I bet they would because in many ways activists people with disabilities are going to -- have figured out some way to get a photo ID, whether it's like the DPS, the state identification. I'd be more concerned about the people who aren't necessarily activists who are more maybe staying in their communities and their home most of the time, maybe in an institution, maybe in an assisted living center. SEN. ZAFFIRINI: What effect do you believe the bill would have on the number of provisional ballots cast by voters with disabilities? MR. BOREL: Well, I think it would clearly increase them because they would be casting them instead of just a regular ballot. I'm a little unclear about whether they would have to then go back again and show correct ID -- is that correct -- or is it -- would it be counted just as the initial provisional ballot? That I'm a little unclear about.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1.0

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

But if they would have to go back, you know, return back and show correct ID, now that's another trip. And for people that aren't all that mobile who have to rely on others, who don't see well, who use wheelchairs, who use walkers, who are 85, 90 years old, any time you're making a second trip to go do something, you're less likely to do it. SEN. ZAFFIRINI: What are the most pressing issues reported by voters with disabilities in using the Advocacy, Incorporated hotline? MR. BOREL: I think they need to know more about it, but I tell you the one I hear more about is poll workers, you know, that -- the poll workers are -- haven't perhaps set up the site as well to make it as accessible as possible, don't understand the accessibility features of the voting machines, haven't had enough training on how to effectively interact with a voter with a disability and how to deal with someone who perhaps has a speech impediment, who doesn't hear, who has very limited use of their arms and hands. The poll worker issue and poll worker training is, in my opinion, the top issue for voters with disabilities. SEN. ZAFFIRINI: And, of course, the bill doesn't address any of those issues?

```
MR. BOREL: Not that I'm aware of, no.
 1
                   SEN. ZAFFIRINI: Not that I'm aware of
 2
 3
     either.
                   MR. BOREL:
                                Yeah.
 4
 5
                   SEN. ZAFFIRINI: You looked at the bill
     and you looked at the fiscal note, and I know that you
 6
 7
     heard our discussion regarding the cost of
 8
     implementing Senate Bill 362.
                                     I am one of many
     persons I know who do not believe the fiscal note that
 9
10
     indicates that there would be no fiscal impact to the
     state. How would you better use that money, the money
11
12
     that would be used to implement Senate Bill 326, in
13
     terms of working with persons with disabilities and
14
     ensuring their access to vote?
15
                   MR. BOREL: Good question.
                                                I'm really
16
     glad that I followed Coby here because he was talking
17
     about how they had a budget for this kind of stuff,
     the HAVA money. And it's still my opinion that the
18
19
     accessibility, the polling place features, the
2.0
     accessible technology, voting technology features that
21
     are part of HAVA, we're not done with that project.
22
     There's a lot more out there. Whenever I go out,
23
     there's just, you know -- I mean, we could be doing
24
     this several more years at the same level, I think.
25
                   You know, I want to encourage people to
```

```
I want to encourage people with disabilities to
 1
     go out and vote. And to me the Vote Texas projects
 2
 3
     have done that. That's what I'd like to see happen.
                   SEN. ZAFFIRINI: Thank you very much for
 4
     your testimony and for answering my questions.
 5
     certainly are an inspiration.
 6
 7
                   MR. BOREL:
                              So are you, Senator.
 8
                   SEN. DUNCAN:
                                  Senator Ogden?
 9
                   SEN. OGDEN: Mr. Borel, thank you for
10
     your testimony. And, Sen. Zaffirini, thank you for
11
     your good and detailed questions. I mean, you raise
12
     some very important issues, but I'd like to clarify
13
     that the type of documentation that can be used in
14
     lieu of a photo ID is very similar to the type of
15
     documentation that somebody -- or is the same as the
16
     type of documentation of an individual who has some
17
     sort of cognitive disability or other kind of
18
     disability that they must produce in order to
19
     receive state services or in order to receive
20
     healthcare, whether you're talking about a Medicaid
21
     card or Medicare card, correspondence from the
22
     State Department of Health and Human Services,
2.3
     correspondence from the Social Security
24
     Administration, a social security card.
25
                   So I would think that it -- and I would
```

```
ask you this:
                    It would be very, very rare based on
 1
     the way I read this bill to find an individual who
 2
     wouldn't have several of the types of identification
 3
     that are acceptable, even if they don't have a
 4
 5
     driver's license. Wouldn't you agree?
                               Well, what I would say,
                   MR. BOREL:
 6
 7
     Senator, is if you took a population -- a group of
     people from the general population and a group of
 8
 9
     Texans with disabilities and lined them up on every
10
     one of those types of credentials with the exception
     of the Medicaid card, I think the general population
11
12
     would be more likely to have them.
13
                   SEN. OGDEN:
                                 Well, but you can't make
14
     that exception because the Medicaid card is just as
15
     valid as any other.
                   MR. BOREL:
                               It is.
16
17
                    SEN. OGDEN: If fact if you have a
18
     Medicaid card, you will get monthly correspondence
19
     from the state notifying you that you're still
20
     eligible for Medicaid, and that's your two
21
     requirements, you're done.
2.2
                   MR. BOREL: Well, that's true, sir.
23
     again, if you look at the full list of alternative
24
     credentials, that's perhaps two of the alternative
25
     credentials where the person with the disability might
```

```
have the access edge whereas the others, they do not.
 1
 2
                   SEN. OGDEN:
                                Might have the what?
 3
                   MR. BOREL: An edge in having access to
     those things like a Medicaid card.
 4
                   SEN. OGDEN: Well --
 5
                   MR. BOREL: I mean, they'd be less
 6
 7
     likely to have it.
 8
                    SEN. OGDEN: You know, maybe, maybe.
 9
     mean, the people of which you speak are going to have
10
     more access to some of those cards than somebody like
     me, for example. I know I've got a driver's license,
11
12
     so it's not a problem, but --
13
                   MR. BOREL: I was referring to the whole
14
     list.
15
                                 I quess the point is while
                    SEN. OGDEN:
     we're going through the discussion here is that it
16
     seems to me like almost every -- if not every single,
17
     almost every single individual which you described who
18
19
     is receiving some sort of state assistance or needs
20
     medical assistance almost certainly has to have the
     type of documentation needed to receive those
21
22
     circumstances -- that assistance, which will also be
23
     more than adequate to vote, in my opinion.
24
                   MR. BOREL: Well, Senator, I know you're
25
     aware that to be Medicaid eligible in this state you
```

```
1
     really are the very poorest of the poor. And if
     you're just plain poor and not the very poorest of the
 2
 3
     poor, you don't have a Medicaid card. Thank you.
                   SEN. DUNCAN: There are no other members
 4
 5
     in the queue. You can be excused. Before you do
     that, though, we will introduce Exhibit 36, which is
 6
 7
     the photograph that you provided, and that will be in
 8
     the record.
 9
                    (Exhibit No. 36 marked and admitted)
1.0
                    TESTIMONY BY GARY BLEDSOE
1.1
                   SEN. DUNCAN: The Chair calls Gary
12
     Bledsoe.
               Mr. Bledsoe, before you begin, let's -- you
13
     have written testimony as well.
14
                   MR. BLEDSOE:
                                  I do.
15
                   SEN. DUNCAN: And we will submit that in
16
     the record as Exhibit 37.
17
                    (Exhibit No. 37 marked and admitted)
18
                                 Thank you.
                   MR. BLEDSOE:
19
                   SEN. DUNCAN: Yes, sir. If you'll state
2.0
     your name and who you represent, and you'll have ten
21
     minutes.
22
                   MR. BLEDSOE: Okay. Thank you,
23
     Mr. Chairman.
                    My name is Gary Bledsoe. I'm President
24
     of the NAACP here in Texas. I want to thank all of
25
     you for staying here so long. Obviously this is a
```

1.7

very important matter. I probably can't be held to be responsible for what I say at this time, but I will try to be as clear as possible.

organization. We have always been a multiracial organization, and indeed we've been present here in Texas since 1915 and have a long history with voting rights within this state. And indeed we try to identify issues that are problematic without regard to any partisan issues, and I'm hoping that the information that I provide here can provide a good discourse and understanding of how we see the legislation that is at issue here.

I know some years back when the Wolens bill was up for consideration, we thought that would be problematic. His party didn't make a difference, and we were there to oppose that legislation, and we feel the same way about the bill here, SB 362.

And I took a little bit of a different tact in how I prepared the written testimony, but based on a number of things that I've been hearing today and maybe some of the questions that some of you have had, I thought maybe what I would do here is somewhat try to focus on a couple of those issues because I think they are important.

1.1.

1.7

You know, to begin with, I think that we have to look at this matter in terms of what the constitutional requirements might be and secondarily what the requirements of the Voting Rights Act might be. And in that regard, if we can say that there is an impact, a noticeable impact on African-Americans or Latinos, that we have to look at the question of whether or not there might be retrogression or whether or not there might been a way of narrowly -- more narrowly tailoring the actual statute that we're talking about.

Now, I think that for a number of just very clear reasons to me we would have a negative impact on people of color. I think -- number one, I think you've heard many people say today that indeed that there's a lower percentage of individuals who have driver's licenses or photo identification cards and I think that's a fact.

I think we've also done -- when we did one of our racial profiling studies with the Department of Public Safety or data, one of the things we were able to determine is that the actual car ownership data indicated that minorities had less access to automobiles and owned fewer automobiles and more were without automobiles at all in their home,

1.7

2.0

2.1

and so this creates more of a burden in terms of the ability to travel.

I think also one of the other things that I see in the bill is the -- is the affidavit. So if you look at the affidavit and the affidavit that you have to sign when you don't have the proof according to the bill, that affidavit makes you make a representation that you are -- that you are eligible to vote right then and there. And I know the problems I've had getting people to register to vote who might have had felony histories or what have you, there's a little intimidation. And with the nature of the wording, I think it's very intimidating and threatening and will make individuals feel challenged to sign that particular affidavit.

I think also there's the issue with communicating the new requirements of the law so people can be prepared when they go to the polling place in order to vote, in order to be able to vote effectively. And because I think of the nature of how it's been done with the likelihood of not being able to reach all voters the way they need to be reached, I think that when the voters turn out and the ones who will be rejected on election day I think will show — it will show clearly there will be a disparate impact

on racial and ethnic minorities.

1.

1.7

1.8

2.0

And one of the things that I can say that also I feel will be a major problem is that — and I went down to Venezuela to be an official election observer down there, and I saw people in lines for eight hours, you know. And they have all these incredible requirements there where you've got to give your thumb print. You have untrained people trying to determine if your thumb print is the same one that's in the computer, you have to show different forms of identification, and it takes a long time to get through those lines. And so you have people in those lines — they start lining up like 3 or 4 a.m. in the morning.

And I think -- not that this would be that burdensome, but I think that we already see in too many minority areas where there's not enough ballots, there are not enough voting machines at the specific locations, the lines are already too long, it's already a major problem. And I think when you add this kind of requirement there, it's going to make it a lot longer and make it more difficult for folks to desire to stay and to be part of the process. So I think indeed that -- for many reasons even beyond that, I think we would have a clear discouraging or

discouragement of African-American voters.

Now, there are a couple of things I wanted to mention here. I think that when you look at the law -- when I looked at the bill analysis, the bill analysis talked about problems with registration. It said that because no identification is required during registration, it makes it possible or likely that you will have people who can get -- who can get voter registration cards who may not actually be the person.

And so the first thing that grabbed out of me was well, if there is a problem -- but I don't think it's really been shown -- but if there is a problem, why isn't it addressed at the point where there is a problem? And if the point of the problem is at registration, instead of something that will be discouraging to people who are registering to vote, then that is where the focus would be, in my mind.

The affidavit again is intimidating. I think I mentioned the long lines.

Another thing is when we looked at the categories of information for the nonphoto ID items that are provided for in the bill, there are a couple of things that leap out at me: The general provision that would allow a catch-all because when you're

1 1

2.0

writing a bill, it's kind of hard to envision and know all the different circumstances where indeed a situation where a person might present something that should be considered proper proof. And I think the fact that there was kind of a laundry list provision that's deleted and not allowed to be used, I think that is problematic.

Secondarily, the list of items that are actually there are not really properly comprehensive, in my mind, and they really would discriminate against a number of individuals because of the types of items that are there. And some of the things that are there are kind of private, some things that people may not want to produce or show someone at a polling place. And so I think that by the nature of the documents that are listed there, that they're not reasonable in terms of how they're fashioned.

And the bill doesn't state exactly how it will be executed. It leaves a lot of things up to be determined later by the Secretary of State. So exactly how the law will be applied, how it will be interpreted will be a big problem.

One of the things that we've seen around the state is -- and we've done -- we've had about five different hearings around the state relating to

1.8

election irregularities, and we've found just incredible things all around our state to indicate there's still a real problem with folks understanding and appreciating minorities being able to vote.

In this last election cycle, we saw a particular problem with Election Officials. Because of the different ways Election Officials are selected, we had a number of people that were rejected and had real conflicts with individuals who were Election Officials.

And I might say it was really broad-based kinds of things that we've seen over the last few years. We've seen hate crimes where white supporters of a black candidate had their home burned. We've seen situations where people were purged from voting lists when they should not have been. We've seen the improper use of off-duty police officers, the use of mailboxes to put intimidating communications. We've seen a lot.

QUESTIONS FROM SENATE FLOOR

SEN. WENTWORTH: Thank you. The Chair recognizes Sen. Ellis of Harris.

SEN. ELLIS: Thank you, Mr. President, and thank you President Bledsoe for being so patient. I know you were here earlier today. And you were

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC. 512.474.2233

TX_00004620

JA 004043

wrapping up your testimony, you can submit it, of 1 I have some questions I want to ask, but if 2 you just have some summation that you want to add in 3 addition to your testimony, I'd like to hear that. 4 5 MR. BLEDSOE: Sure. Thank you, Senator. What I was trying to complete was the types of things 6 7 that we have found around the state in our inquiries in terms of both our -- every election we have a group 8 of lawyers that volunteer and run an 800 line, and we 9 10 take calls from around the state. And we also have 11 had those five hearings, a couple in Houston, Dallas, Fort Worth, Texarkana out in East Texas, and we found 12 13 that people were not allowed to tender -- challenge 14 ballots according to law. We've seen individuals that 1.5 were soldiers in Fort Hood who had their voter 16 registrations who were wrongfully purged from the 17 voting rolls. We've seen just a number of problems 18 that have disenfranchised African-American voters, and 19 it still is a major, major problem throughout the --20 throughout the state but particularly in the urban 21 areas and East Texas. 22 SEN. ELLIS: Thank you. Mr. Bledsoe, 23 some people would say that the Voting Rights Act is no 24 longer needed, that it's a thing of the past 25 particularly as it relates to Texas. Can you cite

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

some examples or give reasons that would show why we still need the Voting Rights Act protection in Texas? Well, you know, we have MR. BLEDSOE: had a number of -- you know, I'll thank Attorney General Abbott. You know, he assisted the folks in Prairie View when a situation arose where you had a number of people who were wrongfully purged from the rolls who could not vote in the city election, and you also had I think about a thousand ballots -- I mean voter registration applications that were just sat on, that no one -- just sat on, so the folks were not able to vote in a particular election, but they were found there in a county office, and they were -- and the Attorney General had them file them. But, you know, that was a clear violation of the Voting Rights Act. And we have the situation I mentioned earlier in Wharton County where an African-American candidate for Sheriff had a white couple that were working on the campaign, one was a County Commissioner, and they received a number of hate crime calls, and their home burned down as a result of that. You know, we've had problems in Fort Bend County with there being changes in polling places much too late and not properly publicized in the We've had problems there in terms of newspaper.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

```
individuals who wanted to file -- challenge ballots,
and they were not allowed to. We've had problems
there where people were sent to the wrong polling
places and they ultimately could not vote.
              We've had all the problems in Dallas
County where indeed there are too few ballots that
have shown up a number of occasions in Dallas County,
and so the lines get long, people get frustrated,
people leave.
              We've had people hire off-duty police
officers, and that's in conjunction with making
contact with an African-American newspaper, having
articles in the newspaper talking about if you have an
outstanding warrant, you're going to be arrested.
so you go and hire all the off-duty police officers
and stand them outside the polling places to
intimidate individuals from voting.
              So I mean it's just -- there's just a
huge number of things that have occurred and have
occurred in most places around the state.
              SEN. ELLIS:
                           Mr. Bledsoe, is there a
well-documented history of voter suppression that is
specifically related to race and ethnicity in Texas?
And how would this voter ID law fit into that history?
              MR. BLEDSOE:
                            Well, there is a long
```

history, and our state still hasn't come to where our state needs to be. You know, that's one of the issues before the United States Supreme Court in a case that the NAACP is involved in, and it's going to be argued in April of this year relating to the need for the continued existence of the Voting Rights Act.

I think when we look at the history and the continued problems that we've had in a number of areas and fronts and we see the problems with language voters, we see the problem with racial minority voters, those things, the problems are never -- never alleviated because we've continued to have voter intimidation.

And when you have voter intimidation -and the record is really replete. I mean, I don't
think there's any question that when we look at what's
occurred and -- let's take a look at Harris County.
This is kind of an unpopular thing to say, but I know
there's a coalition that's running a group countywide,
and the only ones to lose are really minority
candidates that makes you think -- and I talked to
Dr. Davidson about that. That cries out to me is
there something wrong with that particular election
there when you've got a coalition of people running.
And people like Goodwill Pierre or people like the

```
District Attorney actually lose, they happen to be
 1
     people of color, and so that makes you want to look
 2
     further at those issues.
 3
                   But we do have the problem all around
 4
                 It continues to be a problem. We've made
 5
     the state.
             It's not the same state it was in 1960, but
 6
 7
     it's not nearly where it needs to be.
 8
                    SEN. ELLIS:
                                 That's an interesting
 9
     comment, and I might add a bipartisan comment because
1.0
     in Harris County where there was a Democratic sweep,
     for some strange reason the candidates that didn't win
11
12
     in that sweep were the candidates of color primarily.
13
                   MR. BLEDSOE: And there were also
     problems when there were -- when there was a
14
15
     Republican sweep with the Republican blacks not
     winning as well. So that's been a problem both ways
16
17
     in Harris County.
18
                    SEN. ELLIS: How would this voter ID
19
     law, this specific law -- I know you've looked at the
20
     statute -- discriminate against people of color?
21
                   MR. BLEDSOE: Well, what this specific
22
     law will do is it will clearly reduce the minority
     vote, both because of the pressure that will be put on
23
24
     the polling place that will cause the longer lines,
25
     that will give more discretion to -- it will give a
```

1.0

lot more discretion to Election Officials that are already having problematic relationships with people of color, and it will — it has intimidating provisions that will make individuals take a look at the law and say "Well, I'm not sure I want to sign that document." And it will prevent people who were there in good faith from being able to come up with the right information to be able to vote because of the great burdens it will place on them.

I know that I got -- I visited with a former official in the Department of Justice Civil Rights Division. They indicated like how in Presidio County it's 3800 square miles in the county and the high number of language minorities in the county and the real difficulty in that county in making it to a location to get a driver's license. So -- or to get a photo identification. So there will be a real problem that way. So I think it clearly will cause retrogression.

But secondly, the other point that I wanted to make was that not just the Voting Rights Act and the retrogression, it clearly will show a drop in the vote -- in the African-American vote, but I think that it could have been a lot more narrowly tailored. It could have been focused specifically on the problem

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC. 512.474.2233

TX_00004626

JA 004049

that's at hand, that's at issue, and it's not focused 1 on the problem at hand in the kind of narrow way that 2 I think that it should. So it unnecessarily tramples 3 upon rights of minorities that it doesn't need to. 4 5 SEN. ELLIS: I think I saw you in the room when the testimony was given from the person from 6 7 And despite some of the questions that were 8 asked from present counsel, I assume that there will 9 be an attempt to maybe exempt the elderly out of this 10 bill in this great deliberative body. After being here 24 hours, there might be an attempt to do that. 11 12 My question is if the legislature does 13 decide to exempt certain classes of voters, like maybe seniors or some others from this in bill, would that 14 15 direct -- in your judgment, would that direct an even greater amount of its potential to disenfranchise 16 17 voters of African-American and Hispanic ancestry? MR. BLEDSOE: Well, I will say that -- I 18 19 don't know if I'll say it will be greater, but it 2.0 won't dilute it because the impact that would be 2.1 illegal or unconstitutional will be just the same 22 because the -- you'll be taking out one group, but 23 when you look at those who are younger than -- younger 24 than 65, you have an enormous problem with racial and 25 ethnic minorities.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1.8

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

I think in one sense it makes it worse in that the other group that might be -- one of the other groups that might be unnecessarily adversely impacted will no longer be adversely impacted. So it will be primarily blacks and browns that will be negatively impacted by the bill. So I don't think that that would go far enough. There would still be a deleterious impact on the African-American community. SEN. ELLIS: Did you see the press accounts from '05 and '06 of the Attorney General's highly publicized campaign against voter fraud? MR. BLEDSOE: I haven't actually seen I've discussed some parts of them with them. different individuals. SEN. ELLIS: If you can, I just want to get a sense of based on what you know about that anti-voter fraud campaign, that the Attorney General used materials that included images of sickle cell stamps based on press accounts and photos of African-Americans to illustrate signs of voter fraud. And my question is, what does that tell you about the state's attitude and enforcement of voter fraud? did the conduct and targets of those prosecutions fit into a pattern of voter suppression in Texas? MR. BLEDSOE: Well, I think it's very

2.0

2.2

disappointing that that would be used because obviously that makes a suggestion. Whether it was intentional or not, one cannot know, but it's clear that you send a certain signal when you use things like that. And something so innocent as a sickle cell stamp to be used there would be completely inappropriate, and I don't understand how that could be there. So obviously that would be offensive and problematic.

And I do know that -- I think the data I looked at in terms of the prosecutions were 18 out of 19 or something along those lines were racial and ethnic minorities, and that is one of the reasons why we opposed the bill. When Representative Wolens had put the bill together, you know, we were one of the groups that vehemently opposed it because what we feared at that time when he put that bill forth was that it was going to be disparately used against racial and ethnic minorities, and indeed I think that was probably the case.

SEN. ELLIS: One last question. You may have heard some of the testimony from Indiana and Georgia from the experts of representatives that were here. So what I want to ask you is you suggest the photo ID law would suppress the African-American

1.0

turnout, but some voter ID advocates claim that a voter ID law does not suppress turnout and even claim that turnout increased in Indiana and Georgia in '08 and that it was caused by voter ID laws compared to the 2004 turnout when there was no voter ID law in place in those states.

Obviously turnout can vary a lot between the election cycles based on a lot of factors like who is in the race, but my question is, did

African-American turnout in Texas increase in '08 without a voter ID law? And do you think the reason might be the same as the real reason for reports of higher turnout in Indiana and Georgia?

MR. BLEDSOE: Well, the African-American turnout did increase greatly this past election in the State of Texas, and obviously it wasn't due to a voter ID law, and I think it increased greatly nationally, including many states that did not have voter ID laws. So I think it really would be completely inaccurate to say that the voter ID laws had anything to do with an increase.

I do know that they did cause folks to be turned away from the polls in Georgia from my communications with the Georgia State Conference. The reason why you had the increased turnout was the Obama

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC. 512.474.2233

TX_00004630

JA 004053

candidacy joined with an effective Obama campaign that 1 in many ways would be very hard to duplicate because 2 it wasn't just the Obama candidacy, but you had a 3 great deal of finances assisting the folks in getting 4 5 out votes in different communities. So there was a real green element to 6 7 that turnout as well. So that went well beyond any voter ID law. And I think that when the proof is in 8 9 ultimately there will be absolutely no question that 10 the voter ID law will have a negative impact on the 11 African-American vote in Georgia and I would presume 12 Indiana as well. Mr. Bledsoe, thank you for 13 SEN. ELLIS: 14 being so patient and being here. 15 To all Members present, thank you. 16 with that, good morning. I'm signing off and good 1.7 night. MR. BLEDSOE: Thank you, Senator. 18 SEN. DUNCAN: Thank you, Mr. Bledsoe. 19 2.0 The queue is clear. You're excused. 21 MR. BLEDSOE: Okay. 2.2 SEN. DUNCAN: We appreciate your presence today. 23 24 TESTIMONY BY ERIC NICHOLS 25 SEN. DUNCAN: The next witness will be

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

1.4

1.5

16

1.7

1.8

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
Eric Nichols with the Attorney General's Office.
Mr. Nichols, if you'll approach? Mr. Nichols is being
presented as a resource witness. If you'll state your
name and the office that you are with, please?
              MR. NICHOLS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
Eric Nichols, Deputy Attorney General for Criminal
Justice with the Office of Attorney General.
              SEN. DUNCAN:
                           Mr. Nichols, you have ten
minutes, and then we'll open it up for questions.
              MR. NICHOLS: Thank you, sir.
Regardless of the hour, I'm pleased to appear before
the Committee of the Whole, and I've had the
opportunity to speak with many of you individually as
well as Senate and House Committees on the topic that
I've been asked to be a resource on tonight, which is
the election code enforcement activity that has
occurred at the Office of the Attorney General.
              By way of background, I've spent a good
deal of my legal career working in the legal justice
system, previously on the federal side as an Assistant
United States Attorney and now on behalf of the State
of Texas.
              As part of my duties at the AG's office,
I oversee the agency's Criminal Justice Divisions,
including the two divisions of that office that are
```

1.5

2.0

2.2

principally responsible for matters arising under the Texas Election Code that come to our attention. Our Criminal Investigations Division investigates election code cases that are referred to our office. The Criminal Prosecutions Division brings criminal prosecutions and election code cases on behalf of the state when an investigation reveals facts that warrant prosecution.

Again, I understand from discussion among the committee members earlier here today that I'm here to be a resource on the issue of the election code enforcement that's occurred through our agency, and so I'm prepared to give you some historical data. But before I do that, I want to provide three caveats that I've provided to many of you before, and I apologize to those of you who have heard these caveats, but I think they are important to put the data in context.

First, it's important for anyone looking at data that comes out of our office to keep in mind that our agency's election code enforcement efforts are entirely referral driven. What does that mean? We do not currently have nor have we ever had any officers, investigators, prosecutors or any kind of officers, agents or employees watch, supervise or

1.7

2.0

otherwise monitor a polling place, voting station or voter roster during any election in this state.

We, therefore, depend on third parties to make us aware of alleged election code violations. Accordingly, if a third party does not for whatever reason detect a potential violation of the election code or if they do detect a potential violation of the election code but choose not to file a complaint or make a referral to our office, then our office is obviously unaware and unable to investigate what would have been the underlying subject matter.

Referrals to our office on potential election code cases come from three primary sources. As you've heard, the Secretary of State is the state's chief elections officer. Under the election code, the Secretary of State takes questions and referrals from a variety of sources, including members of the public. The Secretary of State's Office reviews those matters, and under the statute when they determine that there is "reasonable cause to suspect that the alleged criminal conduct occurred," the SOS then refers the matter to our office for further investigation.

There are also provisions in the election code that allow concerned local registered voters to file complaints about alleged wrongdoing

2.0

directly with our office by filing sworn affidavits. And then finally the third, another primary referral source for election code cases, are local elections officials and local law enforcement.

Given our office's role in coordinating on law enforcement generally with local law enforcement agencies and officials, including local, District and County Attorneys, Sheriffs and Police Departments, we receive direct referrals from these local officials.

The second point for context is this:

The legislature -- this legislature has given the

Attorney General's Office direct authority and
jurisdiction to prosecute election code cases, but our
authority and jurisdiction in this area is not
exclusive. Local prosecutors at the county level also
have jurisdiction to prosecute election code cases,
and they do, in fact, as you've heard in testimony
today, exercise that jurisdiction.

We do, in fact, coordinate with local prosecutors. And as in the case of that Dallas County case you heard about earlier, we do not generally move forward on a case if a local prosecutor decides -- or declines to prosecute.

A quick review of available new stories

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC. 512.474.2233

TX_00004635

JA 004058

1.7

in addition to what you've heard today shows that from Hidalgo County to Harris County there is local election code enforcement going on by local prosecutors. With all this in mind, to get a complete picture of all of the prosecutions in Texas under the election code would require you to collect data from all 254 counties. So I can only give you a piece of the statewide picture here tonight.

The last context point that I always give, and I apologize again for those of you who have heard it, is that for obvious reasons of law enforcement and respecting the rights of those who may be under active investigation or prosecution, I can talk publicly in terms of numbers and largely general fact scenarios rather than talk in a public hearing about specific investigations or cases.

So with all that being said, I'd like to provide you-all with historical data that dates from August 2002 to present. In that time period, the Office of Attorney General has received 192 referrals of potential election code violations from the three sources I mentioned previously. There have been some statements made before the committee about the Office of the Attorney General engaging in a lengthy statewide investigation. Those comments are not quite

1.8

accurate in terms of what our office does in terms of reacting to referrals. We only act upon referrals that we receive, and as you would expect having given the office the authority and jurisdiction to investigate these matters, our charge is to thoroughly investigate the matters that come to our attention.

Those 192 referrals break down as follows by source: 82 came from the Secretary of State, 44 came from voters in the method I described earlier, and 66 came from local officials and law enforcement.

Furthermore, those 192 referrals break down by the type of election as follows: 82 involved Party Primary Elections, 33 involved General Elections, and 77 involved other Local or Special Elections. And so only about 15 percent of the referrals to our office deal with issues arising in General Elections, and 85 percent arise in either Party Primary or Local Special Elections.

Now, of course, once these investigations are conducted by our office, a significant number of these cases are going to be determined to not rise to the level of an election code violation either based on the facts or law.

However, these 192 referrals have to date resulted in

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC. 512.474.2233
TX_00004637
JA 004060

1.7

2.0

30 prosecutions of offenses under the election code by prosecutors from the Office of Attorney General, again, often working in conjunction with local prosecuting attorneys in Texas counties. And in terms of the cases that have been prosecuted, three of those cases involved General Elections, the other 27 involve Party Primary or Special or Local Elections.

The 30 cases involved in general, fact scenarios such as these, unlawful abuse of the mail-in ballot process, campaign finance violations, unlawful conduct at the polling place and other obstruction of the elections process.

Finally to give you a general idea of the kinds of cases that go behind these numbers, I just want to briefly lay out a couple of fact patterns for you. The first fact pattern that I've talked about in the House Elections Committee before resulted in a five-year sentence by a jury for a defendant who was involved in a scheme that resulted in two resident aliens voting improperly in a Local Party Primary Election. This case arose out of Calhoun County. It was tried in Jackson County.

The second fact pattern concerns persons who fill out voter registration applications using names and/or addresses of nonexistent persons. We've

```
had several cases that have involved that fact
 1
 2
     scenario.
                    The third fact pattern involves
 3
     situations in which a person attempts to impersonate
 4
     or does impersonate others in casting a ballot.
 5
     There's one case that's been prosecuted that I think
 6
 7
     you-all have heard about involving an attempt to vote
 8
     a mail-in ballot of a deceased mother.
                                  I'm sorry. Your time has
 9
                    SEN. DUNCAN:
10
     expired.
11
                    Senator Huffman, you're recognized.
1.2
                   QUESTIONS FROM SENATE FLOOR
13
                                   Thank you, Mr. Chair.
                    SEN. HUFFMAN:
14
                    Mr. Nichols, did you want to just finish
15
     quickly?
                    MR. NICHOLS: Just real quick.
16
17
                    SEN. HUFFMAN:
                                   Okay.
18
                    MR. NICHOLS: I just wanted to finish
     that. On the fact pattern is also evident in cases
19
20
     that are under investigation by our office where there
21
     are allegations that votes were cast at the polling
22
     place by persons other than the registered voter.
23
                    And with that, there were some
24
     additional items that I want to speak about, but I'll
25
     be happy to answer questions.
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

1.4

1.5

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

```
SEN. HUFFMAN:
                             All right. Basically I
just want to summarize that it is -- the way that the
Attorney General starts investigating the case is
always through a referral from the local officials.
Is that correct?
                           Yes, Senator. It can come
              MR. NICHOLS:
from the Secretary of State, from voters through the
election code or from local Election Officials or
other local law enforcement.
              SEN. HUFFMAN: Has the AG taken on the
responsibility of going out into the communities and
sitting up at polling places or going to the
registrar's office in any way, shape or form?
              MR. NICHOLS: No, Senator.
                                          That's not
our charge.
                             All right. In looking at
              SEN. HUFFMAN:
the numbers that you've given us and that you've
summarized, it's clear that, in fact, there are a lot
of difficulties in prosecuting these cases. Is that
correct?
              MR. NICHOLS:
                           It is.
                                    It depends on the
kind of violation involved, but all cases of this type
are difficult to both investigate and prosecute.
              SEN. HUFFMAN: And is that just the
nature of the cases and the fact that, of course, they
```

1 have to be proved like any other criminal case, and 2 that is, you have to -- the level of proof is proof 3 beyond a reasonable doubt. Is that correct? MR. NICHOLS: Absolutely. As you know, 4 5 there are certain screenings that go on through the 6 investigation of a case, both internally and of the 7 law enforcement agency. All the cases that we prosecute are eventually -- if there is a decision 8 made to proceed to a grand jury, to present it to a 9 10 grand jury which makes the decision as to whether 11 charges will issue. And then, of course, you've got 12 ultimately a jury trial where those allegations don't 13 mean anything unless a jury of that person's peers 14 enters a conviction. 15 SEN. HUFFMAN: Would the bill that's 16 being proposed that would establish or attempt to 17 establish voter identity assist in the prosecution of 18 at least some of these cases to help to establish the 19 identity element that is often missing or just 20 impossible to prove under current law? 21 MR. NICHOLS: Well, Senator, I don't 22 understand that I'm here to testify about the bill one 23 way or the other. 24 What I would say just generally speaking 25 any effort that would assist in detecting persons who

```
attempt to vote illegally could conceivably give rise
 1
     to evidence that would be useful in a criminal case.
 2
                   SEN. HUFFMAN: All right. I want to ask
 3
     you about a document that we have and ask you if it is
 4
     a work product of the AG's Office that we can enter
 5
     into the record.
 6
 7
                   Mr. Chair, may I have permission to
     approach the witness? Mr. Chair, may I approach the
 8
 9
     witness briefly to show him --
10
                   SEN. WHITMIRE: Sen. Duncan, she wants
     to approach the witness.
11
12
                   SEN. HUFFMAN: I can? Okay.
                                                  May I
     approach the witness briefly to show him a document?
13
                   SEN. DUNCAN:
14
                                 Yes.
15
                   SEN. HUFFMAN:
                                   Thank you, sir.
16
                    (Discussion off the record)
17
                   SEN. HUFFMAN: All right. If I may
18
     proceed?
               What I'd like you to clarify, there's been a
     lot of testimony, I guess, in the last 20 hours or so
19
     about money that was spent by the AG's Office in
20
2.1
     investigation of voter fraud cases. I want to make
22
     sure that the record is clear what the actual facts
           So if we could go through those, or if you have
23
     are.
24
     a summary of that and you want to give that to me for
25
     the record, we could do that and go through that
```

1 quickly. 2 MR. NICHOLS: I can. SEN. HUFFMAN: Please do that. 3 MR. NICHOLS: It has -- it has been 4 reported initially in the media and has been repeated 5 by certain folks that the AG's Office spent 6 7 1.4 million on cases that the AG's Office has prosecuted under the election code. This information 8 9 that apparently has been provided to members of this body is inaccurate. The confusion stems, I think, 1.0 from the fact that there were references to the grant 11 12 funding when the Special Investigations Unit of the 13 Criminal Investigations Division was created. people reached the conclusion that because it was 14 15 funded through grant funding that all that money was used to prosecute and investigate election code cases, 16 17 which is inaccurate. 1.8 As a matter of fact, if you go to the grant package that sought that funding, the grant 19 20 package made it clear that that funding would be used to prosecute not just election code cases, but cases 21 22 of public corruption, fugitive apprehension, child 23 protection such as on-line solicitation and child 24 pornography cases, as well as a host of other matters. 25 And so, in fact, if you crunch the

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC. 512.474.2233

TX_00004643

JA 004066

1.0

1.8

2.3

numbers and trace those grant funds, you'll find at the end of the day that with respect to the OAG's election code prosecutions, \$93,579 of that grant funding can be traced to those prosecutions. And so it's just an example, in my mind, of how everyone, including members of this body through no fault of their own, need to be careful about information that's being provided to them about the OAG's enforcement efforts because sometimes that information can be less than accurate.

SEN. HUFFMAN: All right. Thank you, sir. One last question. There's also been some testimony about a depiction of a sickle cell anemia stamp that was shown I believe on a PowerPoint presentation that was presented perhaps from someone from the AG's Office at some point somewhere. Are you familiar with why a sickle cell anemia stamp was used in a presentation from the AG's Office? And explain that, please.

MR. NICHOLS: Yes, I am. That slide actually took evidence from an actual case. It was the Willie Ray case that you-all heard a little bit about today. And that stamp that was actual evidence from a criminal case was included on a slide that had as one of the bullet points the words "unique stamp."

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1.0

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1.8

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The point of that PowerPoint that was produced was to try to educate law enforcement officers about ways they could investigate potential cases of election code violations. The point of including that evidence was to show that in a particular case, in the Willie Ray case, a key facet of that case was the use of the unique stamp. didn't matter if it was a sickle cell stamp, a Lou Gehrig stamp, an Abraham Lincoln stamp, it wouldn't matter. The point is that the fact that that stamp was used on a number of mail-in ballots allowed the investigators to go trace those ballots back to a single source, which of course is the charge that Willie Ray was ultimately charged with. So first of all, I wasn't at the office In hindsight I can see how somebody at the time. would look at a PowerPoint like that, and frankly given the sensitivities involved, somebody might be offended by that, but it is important to understand exactly why that PowerPoint was put together. SEN. HUFFMAN: All right. Thank you. Thank you very much, Mr. Nichols. SEN. DUNCAN: Thank you, Senator. Sen. Hegar?